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## PREFACE.

I have given up my first intention of publishing an Edition of the Greek Testament: and have confined myself to Extracts from the Sacred Books, and Notes bearing on the points to which I desire to direct attention.

I avoid thereby the very great and unnecessary expense of printing the whole Text merely as a vehicle for distinctive marks exhibiting the Hebraisms and Non-Classical peculiarities of style; and also the difficulty of selecting a Text, under the present uncertainty as to final recension.

But I have preserved and re-issue the Gospel of S. Matthew previously published by me, as a sample of my original design.

I am thoroughly aware of the incomplete and fragmentary character of my little work. I earnestly disavow any claim to an exhaustive exhibition of all the Hebraisms, or all the deviations from Classical phraseology contained in the Greek Testament; of which I have gathered together and put forward only a few specimens, in the hope of stimulating others to fuller and more exact research.

And I repeat what I said in my former Preface (which I subjoin herewith in explanation of my object and aim throughout, and for the perusal of which I venture to ask a few minutes), that I have thought of the perplexed and embarrassed Student, rather than of the accomplished Scholar, in most of what I have written; for which I beg the indulgent forbearance of more learned critics.

My extracts (except on S. Matthew) are from the Textus Receptus. But I do not anticipate, generally, any discrepancy of such a character, as to prevent my book being used side by side with any of the more recent editions.

The theory about Melchisedek (Heb. 7. 1, note) was suggested to me, nearly 40 years ago, by the late lamented Archdeacon Freeman; and formed the subject of one among many very interesting Essays on some obscure passages of Holy Writ, which he had prepared for the Press, but never published in his own name. I was not aware that it had ever been put forth by him, till I discovered it, this day, in an anonymous Article on Jerusalem in the Christian Remembrancer of Oct. 1849, to which he refers in his Principles of Divine Service, Vol. 2, page 116, and in which his views are most lucidly and fully exhibited.

I trust that the kind reception given to my S. Matthew by many eminent Scholars, Classical and Hebrew, and by several of the leading Critical Journals, in England; and in Germany by the learned Professor Schürer (Theologische Literaturzeitung, Leipzig, 1 September, 1877), may be extended to the rest of the work.

W. H. GUILLEMARD.
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## PREFACE.


#### Abstract

"Two distinct elements were combined in that marvellous dialect, the language of the New Testament ; which was destined to preserve for ever the fullest tidings of the Gospel. On the one side there was Hebrew conception, on the other Greek expression : the thoughts of the East were wedded to the words of the West. This was accomplished by the gradual translation of the Hebrew Scriptures into the Vernacular Greek. The Greek of the LXX, like the English of the A.V. or the German of Luther, naturally determined the Greek of the mass of the Jews, ...had a commanding authority over the religious dialect." B. F. W. "The language of the Septuagint is the mould in which the thoughts and expressions of the Apostles and Evangelists are cast. In it the peculiar idioms of the Hebrew are grafted on the stock of the Greek. Hence it is a treasury of illustration for the Greek Testament." W. S.

From the Articles on "New Testament" and "Septuagint" in Smith's Dictionary of the Bible. in Smith's Dictionary of the Bible.


If we regard the Greek Testament from the religious point of view, as the medium of communication between God and the World, in its two marked divisions of Jew and Gentile, (or as S. Paul defines them "Jew and Greek", it is impossible to estimate fully or adequately its marvellous adaptation to the end for which it was designed in the divine economy;-as a bond of union and basis of coherence between the two dispensations, past and future, the Mosaic and the Christian;-a golden chain let down from Heaven to link together those who were standing
 $\tau \eta \sigma \epsilon$ :-a channel for conveying to mankind at large the mysterious truths of the new Revelation. But under its merely human aspect-on the philological and etymological sides-as a specimen of language, a subject of word-study-it must be conceded that it contains incongruities and anomalies which perplex and sometimes baffle the investigator. It is unlike any other Greek book, with one single exception, and absolutely
unique in its peculiarities. Nor are these due, principally or most frequently, to variations from the old pure Attic style,-to corruptions of later dialects,- or to the natural influence of the Macedonian element, traceable in contemporary writers. They startle all the instincts of the Classical Scholar, and in many instances defy his attempts to classify or account for them satisfactorily.

It will be granted, I hope, that any attempt-if a genuine and honest one-to grapple with them, is justifiable and allowable; even though it proceed by a method not ordinary or generally recognised.

My object is not so much to suggest improvements in the translation of the Sacred Text, as to enquire how the irregularities in its fabric and texture arose, and to what causes they may be assigned; to discuss, not so much its meaning, as the history of its construction. And I desire to do this in a reverent and cautious spirit; with freedom, but with the diffidence and moderation becoming such an enquiry.

My endeavour will be-
(1) To shew how in a work, professing to be Greek, such violations of the ordinary rules of the Greek language found admission, and whence they arose.
(2) To elucidate the difficulties of the Text, thus traced to their probable origin, by illustrations drawn from the same source.

Its Authors, we must remember always, first of all, were Orientals. Greek was still a foreign tongue to them and their countrymen, only lately introduced among them. And as Oriental ideas and processes of reasoning were essentially distinct from Greek; so the vocabulary and modes of expression were all strange. They thought as men of the East, while they spoke or wrote in words borrowed from the West.

But they were also $\mathcal{F c w s}$, scions of a race whose literature, so far as we know, was all connected with the Old Testament; which, with many of them, we may believe, was the only book they knew, certainly the one they knew best; and this, probably, only in the Version of the LXX.

Their acquaintance with zuritten Greek was possibly confined to that; their religious phraseology, in Greek, obtained chiefly from that, as ours from our English Version.

We should expect then, à priori, that the authors of N.T. would have been influenced, in the terminology and style of their writings, by the Alexandrine Version: and that we should find reproduced in them the main characteristics of a work so familiar and so sacred. And we see that this was so, by unmistakeable proofs; we find traces of it in almost every page.

And therefore we may look upon the LXX., not merely as a store-house of illustration for the more difficult portions of N.T., but as the basis of its distinctive and peculiar phraseology -the fountain which has coloured its stream with most of the irregularities which confront the philologer.

This is, of course, a view familiar to all thoughtful students of the Sacred Text: and recognised, in its widest and fullest extent, by the distinguished men whose words I have set at the head of this Preface. My hope is to produce reasons to justify it: to show the LXX. thread running through all the web; and to lead others to acknowledge it as the predominant cause of the introduction of most of what is so strange and remarkable.

I take it for granted, according to all the received traditions, that the Alexandrine Version was the work of Jews: that it was a translation from Hebrew into Greek, by men who knew the former best, and were comparatively strangers to the latter. This is transparent on the face of it. Greek was clearly a foreign language to the Translators: a material to which they were unaccustomed, and which they had scarcely learnt how to handle. We feel, as we read the book, that they were not men adequately educated or scientifically qualified for the task; that they were not masters of the new and wonderful instrument put into their hands. We have their work before us, with all its manifold and inevitable defects: its evident and irrefragable signs of the unskilful character of the process by which it was produced.

We need not, we ought not, to shut our eyes to its true character and value as a Translation. Its very blemishes in that respect-its Oriental and Hebraic characteristics-were probably the very causes, that made it so useful to those for whom it was intended, the Hellenized Jews of the dispersion: who, though they were losing their old language, had not lost their modes of thought or idiosyncrasies of expression. It suited them better, and was more easily understood by them; than a

Version into genuine Greek would have been; preserving, as it did, Hebrew idioms under a Greek dress; literal reproductions of Hebrew phrases and turns of speech; the syntax-the gram-mar-the very prepositions-frequently, we may almost say generally, unaltered.

Was ever any Greek book that we possess composed under similar circumstances? Nay: are there extant any books written by Orientals in Greek, of the age when the Greeks were fresh in the East: i.e. the period of the compilation of the Alexandrine Version? Are the productions of contemporary Greek writers at all like it in their peculiarities and variations from the Classical Standard?

Let us regard it with all befitting respect, as The Venerable Version, that commanded the reverence, and shaped and moulded the religious phraseology, of God's people scattered throughout the World; as the Book probably quoted by our Blessed Lord Himself: let us gratefully own and value its many uses in Sacred Criticism. I am myself pleading now for an extension and development of its use in one particular direction, in urging that it may be advantageously employed to elucidate the process of transmuting Hebrew thought and speech into Greek forms; and so to illustrate and account for many peculiarities in the language and style of the Greek Testament.

It is confessedly full of irregularities of construction-syntax -grammar-diction-idiom-due to an Hebrew origin alone. If we find the same in the Greek Testament, must we not assign them to the same cause, or to one or other of two causes closely connected with it? Either that the writers of the latter thought in Hebrew or some Hebraic dialect, and so rendered their thoughts at times, word for word, in Greek:-or else that their ancestors had unconsciously constructed a dialect on that basis, reproducing Hebrew idioms and forms of speech in Greek guise and shape; which dialect they were themselves using;-or that their language and ways of expression, especially upon religious topics, were insensibly affected and coloured by their familiar acquaintance with the diction and style of the Book which they prized and loved beyond all others ; most of which, we are told, they had learnt by heart, and could repeat from memory.

And if S . Paul,-with all his wider acquaintance than the
other contributors to the Sacred Volume, with Greek men, Greek speech, Greek philosophy,-thinks, argues, reasons as a Ferw rather than a Greek:-if his logic and dialectics are Ori-ental;-Hebraic and not Hellenic:-should we not expect him to speak, to frame his utterances, under the same influence? Should we not anticipate, as in fact we find, that his familiarity with the LXX. would be shewn in His Epistles? How can we account for his writing, at one moment, passages of perfectly grammatical Greek, and then suddenly introducing violations of all ordinary Greek constructions, deviations from the customary modes of expression,-which seem to master his pen, as it were, in the strangest way,-but on the ground of his being under the influence of some book which had filled his memory with its peculiar phrases and terms, and made it natural for him to copy and repeat them, when his subject-matter was Religion?

I propose to apply this method more widely than is usually done ; to trace Oriental forms and idioms in the Greek dialect of the New Testament, and to illustrate them by parallel passages in the Greek of the Septuagint, exhibiting similar peculiarities. We know that the latter were due to the efforts of men,-if not unlearned, yet with small scientific knowledge of the principles of language,-to clothe Hebrew ideas and words in a Greek dress: we may clearly, in all fairness and logical accuracy, refer the former to a corresponding effort, under different circumstances. And it appears, to be a more natural process, and more consistent with true principles of criticism, to do this, than to endeavour to account for what surprises us, by bringing forward doubtful parallelisms from obscure Greek authors, or by straining occasional solecisms or violations of grammar met with in writers of better repute, into a justification of unquestionable anomalies and irregularities of construction in the text of the Sacred Volume.

It may be regarded probably as unscientific and unphilosophical; but I venture to plead that the more scientific and philosophical method can scarcely be applied successfully to a dialect formed on so unscientific a basis, with such frequent interruptions and intermissions of grammatical precision. I think it probable,-nay, almost certain,-that this attempt may be looked upon as a departure from the principles of sound scho-larship,-an infringement of the recognised maxims of modern

## PREFACE.

criticism,--a return to old exploded methods;-a backward movement altogether. It is, no doubt, in some sense, a return to old methods; but such as I believe to be sound and safe, if employed with due discrimination : methods followed by the great critics of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, to whom we owe so much of our biblical knowledge ; to some of whom we Englishmen owe our Authorized Version.

I venture to think that it was their intimate familiarity, first with the Hebrew original, and next with the Alexandrine and the Vulgate, that enabled them, above all their other qualifications for the task, to produce their wonderful translation. I doubt whether they could have done their work so well had they been better Greek and worse Hebrew scholars; if they had known more about the former, and less about the latter. They were so conversant with Hebrew idioms and constructions that they intuitively detected them and caught their meaning, in many a passage, which would have perplexed and baffled more advanced Greek scholars ; and transferred them easily to English, in which they found congenial and natural and ready expression. Since their day Greek criticism has advanced with strides so rapid as to leave Hebrew far behind ; and we know what zeal and devotion the most accomplished Greek scholars have brought to the study of the New Testament. I do not, in the very slightest degree, gainsay or undervalue the results of their labours. But there are still, to say the least, some enigmas that Greek criticism has not yet solved, some difficulties that seem to be beyond its sphere and out of its province, due to the complex elements of the Sacred Text, its double character, as not simply Greek, but Hebræo-Greek. May I dare to say that it seems to me too fine a weapon, forged for Plato and Xenophon and Thucydides, for the great orators and dramatists, and sharpened expressly for dealing with a language the most perfect the world has ever known? It is of a temper and polish unsuited to the unvarnished simplicity and natural artless flow of the narratives and epistles of Apostles and Evangelists. The dialect at their command was but a coarse material to work with, however admirably adapted, in the providence of God, for the majestic edifice they were inspired to construct out of it ; and many of them were rough workmen, though divinely fitted


May we not sometimes have gone too far in the effort to prove that the material is the finest marble, and the chiselling that of the most refined and skilled artists; whereas granite,cut sharp and strong, in lines of simple but imperishable beauty, by men faithful and true to the idea supernaturally impressed upon their minds, but uneducated in, and strangers to, the processes of artistic composition,-would be a worthier and more accurate description of their achievement?

I have long been wishing and hoping to see the different method for which I plead applied by other and more competent hands: and it is only because I see no indications of such an intention anywhere else, that I have resolved to put forth what I have myself observed and collected. I confess, candidly and unreservedly, that I have studied very little the works of other Commentators. From various causes,-chiefly from constant engagement in other pursuits and from physical inability to read much or long,-I have been unable to enter deeply into the labours of others. I have kept to my own line of investigation and followed it by myself alone ; simply because I seemed to have come upon a track not much traversed by other feet now-a-days, by pursuing which I hoped to contribute my little share to the great cause of Biblical Criticism.

I have a sincere and very real sense of the incomplete and superficial character of much that I have advanced: I trust others, with stronger heads and more time, may go deeper into the substance. I am content to be a pioneer along a path which I cannot but think may lead hereafter to a clearer understanding of Holy Scripture.

I have not touched, save occasionally, on doctrinal questions, as not falling within the scope of my plan; nor on separate points of minute and intricate criticism,-such as the use of the article,-which I recognise as out of my depth; nor on the Recension of the Text, on which I frankly avow myself too ignorant to form an opinion. I have confined myself almost exclusively to the matters on which I seem to myself to have some little knowledge ; some faint hope of assisting others.

I have taken the Text of Tischendorf, 1862.
My main object being to shew that the Greek Text owes its distinguishing characteristics to three causes-(I) Orientalisms, (2) the influence of the LXX. or Alexandrine Version, (3) deteri-
oration of style, due either to the Macedonian element in it, or to the "serioris Grecismi innovationes,"-I have marked the most prominent examples of each as they occur, in order to arrest attention and secure careful examination: but when a particular phrase or idiom has been once thus pointed out, I have not usually noted it again, if recurring in the immediate context.

I wish to say a few words in conclusion on the elementary character of much that I have said in this Preface and in my Notes throughout. I have made it so intentionally and from design. I am unfeignedly conscious of my very slight qualifications for any wide or deep enquiry, and of the very little hope I can possibly have to win the ear of the learned. But I may perhaps do something to smooth the path of the Student, and shew him the true nature of the obstacles which impede his progress, and clear a few stumblingblocks out of his way, or help him over them by a straightforward process, and so save him from the humiliation and moral injury of going round-about or avoiding or ignoring them altogether. I may be able to relieve him of some of the perplexities, which embarrassed me in the days of my first introduction to the Greek Testament. Many a man, fresh from ordinary Greek books, is bewildered and confused by the dialect there set before him. I have tried to distinguish and classify the difficulties which beset his path, and to assign them each to its separate source, that he may learn to discriminate between the changes, which the Macedonian Greek incurred by coming into the East and among Jews, and the variations from the old pure style which it brought with it, or gradually evolved and developed out of itself.

Of course, without some knowledge of Hebrew, most of what I say will be unintelligible.

But I hope to encourage those who have a fair acquaintance with the Sacred Tongue, to use it in a fresh direction, with the zest and spirit that always accompanies labour in a new field: and to stimulate others, who have as yet only mastered the elements, to strive after that proficiency which will alone give them the key to this method of investigation.

It is as an aid to such Students that I have adopted the system of marks in the Text, as more likely to attract notice and make an impression, than notes alone, detached from the Text, or isolated explanations in a Lexicon.

My chief books of reference have been "Schleusneri Lexicon in N. T.," and "Tromm. Concordantice Grace in LXX. Interpretes."

My attention was first turned to this line of enquiry by accidentally meeting with a copy of the former some thirty years ago, but his doctrinal opinions and want of critical exactness startled and repelled me, and I took no pains to procure the book or consult it again ; though I pursued, at intervals, by my own personal investigation, the path he had opened to me. More recent recourse to his pages has shewn me how much I have lost by neglecting his help in this particular, and how much time I have wasted in researches which his discoveries would have saved.

I have found the greatest assistance from Trommius, though reliance cannot always be placed on his accuracy or his judgment. His plan and arrangement are admirable, but his evident deficiency in the critical faculty has lamentably marred the execution of his design, and lessened the value of his elaborate performance.

Quite lately (in Nov. 1874) I have become acquainted with the invaluable work of Grimm, "Lexicon Greco-Latinum in Libros N. T." He refers to Schleusner as one of his authorities; and would, no doubt, explicitly acknowledge his manifold obligations to the acumen and research of one from whom he has evidently derived so much assistance in this portion of his work.
"Grinfield's Editio Hellenistica,"-a repertory of parallel passages from the V. A., corresponding to each verse of the N. T.,-I have not found so serviceable as I had hoped, from his having selected parallelisms of sense and meaning, rather than of verbal expression.

W. H. GUILLEMARD.

[^0]
## ABBREVIATIONS AND INITIALS.

M. = Matthew, Mk. = Mark, L. = Luke, J. = John.
V.A. $=$ Versio Alexandrina or Septuagint.
N.T. = New (i.e. Greek) Testament.

Tromm. = Trommii Concordantiæ in LXX.
Schl. =Schleusneri Lexicon in N.T.
Grimm. $=$ Grimmii Lexicon in N.T.
E.H. = Grinfield's Editio Hellenistica N.T.
$\mathrm{Br} .=$ Bruder's Concordance.
Asterisks ** before and after a phrase, mark a Hebraism; either original or reflected from the V.A.

Brackets enclose instances of later Greek usage, or of debased style.
Uncial type indicates some word or phrase derived, directly and specially, from the V.A.-some peculiar use, originating apparently with its Compilers.



## TO KATA MATEAION EYAГГEMION.





















Cap. I. 2. The use of the article in this genealogy, always with object, never with subject, seems to be borrowed from similar genealogies in V.A.: e.g. that in Genesis v., where the same use is found throughout the list : and in I Chron. passim. In most of these instances the object has $ת$ תefore it : and the article was probably introduced to express the supposed meaning of that particle. But this does not meet all the cases: e.g. Gen. v. 1


12. нeтоккєia Baßu入ติv:s] "the Babylonish emigration:" Hebrew genitive of qualification, equivalent to an adjective. S. Matthew uses, in all probability, a mode of expression familiar to the Jews of his day, by which the national pride was soothed, when he speaks of the captivity as a migration.









 үєขєаї סєкатє́ $\sigma \sigma a \rho \epsilon \varsigma$.
 $\tau \hat{\eta} S \mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̂ ~ M a \rho i ́ a s ~ \tau e ̂ ̀ ~ ' I \omega \sigma \eta ̀ \phi, ~ " \pi \rho i ̀ ~ \hat{\eta} ~ \sigma v \nu \in \lambda \theta \in i ̂ \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau o u ̀ s, ~$













 aủrov̂ 'IHEOTN.

## cap.

20. viòs] Nominative for vocative: very common, though not universal, in




 a different construction, being the literal translation of the Hebrew definite article with noun, for vocative. See xi. 26 , Mk. v. 8,41 ; x. 47 ; Lk. viii. 54 .
21. This and similar violations of the natural sequence of tenses, so common in New Testament, must, I think, be
set down to debased dialect. Instances are no doubt to be found in classical authors of the like: but there they are the exception, and may be allowably assigned to inaccuracy. In the New Testament they occur so frequently as to suggest a radical deterioration of style.
$\left.{ }^{23 .} \dot{\eta} \pi a \rho \theta e^{\prime} \nu o s\right]$ as in original and in V.A.
22. A Hebraism, always literally rendered in V.A. But the phrase occurs also in Greek authors of good repute.

Cap. II. ı. raîs ì.] passim, e.g. 2 Chr. ix. 20, and















xxvi. 5. Our English idiom is the same, and the phrase is natural and familiar to us; but unnatural and incongruous in Greek, and betrays a foreign source.
2. I note once for all this infringement of the ordinary rules of grammar, too frequent to be due to accident or incuria, as the result of the deterioration alluded to i. 22. See iv. 1. To explain it by supposing the omission of $\tau o \hat{u}$ before infinitive seems far-fetched and unsatisfactory.
 Israel.
6. No quotation at all, strictly speaking. The Hebrew is (Micah v. 1) וְ וֹאָּ

 לאֵּדָּשְּen, "And thou, Bethlehem Ephratah, insignificant to be among the families of Judalh, out of thee shall come forth for me one to be a Ruler in Israel." The V.A. renders it thus: кai $\sigma \dot{\nu}$, B $\eta \theta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \mu$, otkos

 єis $\alpha \rho \chi$ रovтa тoû 'I $\sigma \rho a \eta \lambda$. I quote this not only to show the real words of the prophecy, and the variations from it in N.T. and V.A., but also to draw attention to the translation of לִהְיוֹת by rov̂ eivac twice in the latter. An apt example of the practice almost universal, in that Version, of rendering $\zeta$ with infinitive, after neuter or passive verbs, by roo with Greek infinitive; to the loss
very often of all intelligibility or sense: e.g. 2 Sam. xix. 27 , לָרֶדֶ






The translators appear to have concluded that a Greek idiom, which was the appropriate interpretation of the Hebrew idiom under certain conditions, e.g. verse I 3 , was always to be employed as its equivalent: and so have introduced into their Version renderings which are otherwise inexplicable. And to this we owe, I venture to think, in great measure, the strange and startling instances of the roû with infinitive, occasionally met with in the New Testament.
The above passage illustrates likewise the use of eivac cis as equivalent to
 found both in V.A. and N.T., and so familiar to the writers, that in this case they have forced the phrase into the Greek, without the occurrence of the corresponding form in the Hebrew.
7. "The time of the star that appeared," not "of the star at its appear-" ing" or "of the appearing of the star:" though we can scarcely doubt that the writer meant to convey that meaning, or that the words, according to the usage of the time and the dialect, may have expressed it.


 $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ่ т o v ̂, ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \sigma o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \kappa v ่ \nu \eta \sigma a \nu ~ a u ̀ \tau \hat{\omega}, ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ a ̀ \nu o i \xi a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~$




















 $\pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \lambda a \beta \epsilon \nu$ тò $\pi a i \delta i o \nu ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ a u ̀ \tau o v ̂, ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \epsilon i \sigma \eta ̂ \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ \gamma \eta ̂ \nu ~$
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 $\kappa а \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi \nu \rho \grave{l}$ à $\sigma \beta \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \varphi$.
8. $\pi . \kappa$.
9. Our idiom, "think to say," suits this exactly: and so we do not see the difficulty of extracting that meaning out of $\delta o \kappa \epsilon \omega\rangle=\hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \bar{\nu}$, according to its correct and classical use. Grimm sees it, and translates " nolite putare licere vobis dicere," which, of course, is right. Naturally the phrase means "do not think you are saying." There are three uses of $\delta 0-$ $\kappa \in \omega$, in its sense of "cogito," in N. T.

 ऽwì̀ alürov є $\chi$ civ. (3) The passage before us, where apparently $\overline{\xi \xi \in i v a l \text {, or some }}$ similar word, is understood.
II. ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu$ ] Literal translation of $\underset{3}{3}$; and used for it, in all its various shades of meaning, indiscriminately in V.A., though utterly inadequate to express its real meaning. E.g.






 הֵמִּרוּ בְבוֹדָם בְּחבְבִּת




 àaßónoovè toxuc
The last five may be said more or less to express the manner (A):
Numbers xiv. 10 , кат $\lambda \lambda \theta 0 \beta \dot{\beta} \lambda \eta \sigma a \nu$ év $\lambda$ (toors
Deut. xxiv. 16 , and 2 Kings xiv. 6 ,


I have cited the above in full, because the writers of N.T. have gone in the same track, in their use of $\varepsilon \nu$, more especially in (A), (B), (C), for which we have dative alone comparatively seldom in either.
There are some startling examples of this use in N.T. E. g. vii. 6, ix. 34, L. xi. 20, 1 Cor. iv. $2 \mathrm{I}, \epsilon^{\ell} \nu \dot{\rho} \dot{\beta} \beta \dot{\beta} \varphi \in \lambda \theta \psi$ $\pi \rho d \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{u} \mu a ̂ s ;$
 ioxטouta Is. xx. 21 for Greek word here and Mk. i. 7, L. iii. ir, would seem too weak to express the idea, but for this use of it in V.A.










 2 ［ $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota]$ ن́тò то̂̀ $\delta \iota a \beta o ́ \lambda o v . ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon v ́ \sigma a s ~ \tilde{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma a-~$ 3 ра́коута каі עи́ктаs тєббара́коута，ข́бтєроу є่тєірабє．каі̀ тробєл－









 єis ôpos v́ұŋ入̀̀̀ 入íav，каì סєíкvvбıv aủtề тáбas тàs ßaбı入єías






15．$\left.\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu \delta_{\iota x \alpha \iota} \sigma \dot{v} \nu \eta \nu\right]$ every claim of religious duty and piety．
 quently put for it，e．g． 2 Sam．xxii．19， by V．A．：which also follows literally other varieties of the Hebrew idiom con－ nected with

 אַרְ бov̂．

Cap．IV．4．V．A．Deut．viii． 3 ：בִּ nịn ：
but＂any thing－coming out from the mouth of the J．ord，＂＂any thing ap－ pointed by God．＂Ordinary food is not necessary for human life when God pro－ vides extraordinary．$\zeta \hat{\eta} \nu \quad \epsilon \pi l=ל y{ }_{V}$ literally：but not really．

6．V．A．for כַ פַּ tegral part of the quotation from V．A． answering to in the Hebrew．I note this，that it may not be considered an in－ stance of the $\delta \tau \iota$ recitativum，as Grimm styles it ；classing under this head ii． 23 ， vii． 23 ，xvi． 7, \＆e．：on which I hope to offer some observations hereafter．



























 'Iєробод $\dot{\mu} \mu \omega \nu$ каì 'Iovסaias, каì тє́pav тoû 'Iopסávov.
15. The confessedly obscure passage Isaiah viii. 23 and ix. I is made hopelessly unintelligible in V.A. We have here a literal translation of it. © $\delta \dot{\nu} \nu \quad \theta a \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \eta$ s] for in our Auth. Vers. "by the way of the sea."
 "in the land of the shadow of death :" a purely Hebrew idiom; as in Ps. xxiii. 4, xliv. 19, cvii. 10, Jerem. ii. 6, derived, apparently, from the idea of death as a dark mountain-barrier casting its glonmy shadow up the long valley through which it must be approached.
${ }_{23}$. ix. 35. цалакia in V. A. $=\stackrel{\text { ' }}{\text { ח }}$,
e.g. Deut. vii. 15 , xxviii. 61, from "delinivit, demulsit," and so $\mu a \lambda \alpha \kappa \grave{\nu}$ є̇ $\pi$ ol $\eta \sigma \epsilon$ : as if $\mu a \lambda \alpha \kappa \grave{s}=$ "languidus."
 $\xi^{\prime} \mu a \lambda \alpha \kappa i \sigma \theta \eta$. The word is found in this sense in Arrian de Ven. vili. 4, and Xenophon de Ven. v. 2, as Schleusner shows.
 19. Hebrew idiom seems to have influenced the LXX. in their frequent use of d́ко̀̀ in this sense : though it is also found in classical authors.

CAP.
5













 $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ v i \mu \omega ิ \nu$.









Cap. V. 3. 4. $\pi \tau \omega \chi$ dेs and $\tau a \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ òs are used indifferently in V.A. for ${ }_{\text {עָ }}$ ar עָ in sense of "humble, modest, gentle, meek" as opposed to $\begin{gathered}\text { רָ "proud:" e.g. }\end{gathered}$ ${ }_{2}$ Sam. xxii. 28, Ps. xviii. 28, which are two copies of the same hymn; where עֲ rendered by $\pi \tau \omega \chi \delta$ in the one and $\tau a \pi \epsilon \iota-$ עòs in the other. But the word is much more frequently translated by $\pi \tau \omega \times 0$ s, even where the meaning is "humility" and not "poverty." See Trommius. This is an instance in which the Septuagint use of a word seems to have won for it, by mere force of familiarity, a meaning not its own before, in the popular phraseology. See cap. xi. 29, where our Lord, applying to Himself the terms $\pi f a \dot{o} s$ and $\tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \delta_{s} \tau \hat{y}$ kapסiq, corresponding to the adjectives in vv. 3,4 , bids His foilowers learn of Him and so find peace and blessing. Ps. xxxvii. II, ol $\pi \rho \alpha \in i \in s ~ к \lambda \eta \rho o \nu о \mu \dot{\eta}$ ooval $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$.
13. $\mu \hat{\omega}$ pos $]=$ dull, sluggish, slow : hence metaphorically (a) of the mind, "silly,
foolish;" (b) and of taste, "insipid, flat:" as fatuus in Latin, with its double meaning answering to $(a)$ and $(b)$ : and (which is primarily "calx tectoria," mortar, Ez. xiii. 10, 12) signifies in Job vi. 6, "insulsum;" and in Threni ii. It, "ineptum quiddam," and is rendered in V.A. むфробúvך.
16. $\notin \mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu]$ " in front of," for $\epsilon^{z} \nu \omega$ $\pi$ rov "in presence of:" both being equivalent to "לְקֵ, ? which has the two meanings, are constantly confused in V. A., and not kept distinct: and so, naturally, and as might be expected, in N.T.; e.g.
 27, xvii. 2. 'Evavtlon also which corresponds to "ְְְְֵ" "against," is similarly misplaced in Mark ii. 12 , instead of $\ell \nu \dot{\omega} \pi \iota \iota \nu$; whereas in Matt. xxiii. 14 we have $\epsilon \mu$ $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \in \nu$ instead of $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu a \nu \tau i o \nu$.

This seems to be peculiar to V.A. and N.T. Ncither Grimm nor Schleusner, nor Liddell and Scott, give any instance,


















in classical authors, of $\epsilon_{\mu} \mu \rho \rho \sigma \theta \in \nu$ "in sight of."
18. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu]$ never used in this sense by
V.A.: seldom put at all as a Greek word: generally rendered by $\gamma^{\epsilon} \nu 0 \iota \tau 0$.
19. ôs éà $\lambda$ dú $\quad \eta$ ] I mark once for all this use of $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu$, so frequent in N.T., as indicative of deviation from pure Greek style.
22. $\dot{\operatorname{pan}}$ ] from Heb. 과 evacuari, or Pרָ conspuit: each of them suggesting contempt and insult.
 under Mount Zion, where was הֲ
 and Jerem. vii. $3^{1}$; the "locus combustionis" (Gesenius), the "furnace" or "fire" sacred to Molech, the fire-shrine, where the children passed through the fire: which was desecrated by Josiah, and made the place for burning the filth of the city, carcases of criminals, and the offal of the victims sacrificed in the Temple, brought down into it by the great sewers recently discovered. The name $\gamma^{\epsilon-\epsilon \nu \nu a}$ тои̂ $\pi$ ирòs, "The flaming Gehenna," would have been appropriate, in the days of its honour and dishonour alike. The loathsome task of burning the garbage was probably performed by convicts, employed, both in ancient and modern times, as scavengers of great cities: as in Spain and

Portugal till quite recently. Hence the force of êvoxos $\epsilon i s \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma^{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu \nu a \nu \tau o u ̂ ~ \pi u p o ́ s . ~$ "Obnoxius pœnæ usque ad Gehennam ardentem." Our Lord names threedegrees of offence, deserving of citation before a recognized tribunal, of less or greater jurisdiction, naming in the last case, not the tribunal (as in the others) but the penalty. We must carefully note the
 $\sigma u v \in \delta \rho i \varphi(b), \epsilon i s \gamma \epsilon \in \nu v a \nu(c)$. The latter cannot be considered as equivalent to the
 taken alone, as "pœnæ obnoxius." InV.A. it stands, I believe, always alone (except in two cases: Deut. xix. 10 וְלָא
 upon thee the guilt of blood," oủk $\begin{gathered}\text { E } \\ \text { orat }\end{gathered}$
 in the midst of thee any one liable to punishment by reason of blood," i. e. "guilty of manslaughter;" and Gen. xxvi.
 obnoxius erit): Schl. gives three mean-
 סov入elas. (2) $\dot{v} \pi \in \dot{v} \theta v \nu o s$, as above, and Mk. iii. 29. (3) úraltios, as 1 Cor. xi. 27 ,
 ii. 10, $\pi d \boldsymbol{d} \nu T \omega \nu$ évoxos. But thronghout N.T. its construction is very irregular, and it appears to take genitive or dative indifferently.


















29. In the parallel passage, Mk. ix. 43, 44, we have the additional descrip-
 $\tau \dot{\grave{o}} \pi \hat{v} \hat{\rho}$ ovं $\sigma \beta \in \nu \nu v \tau a \iota$. Compare Isai. lxvi. 24. V.A. $\dot{\eta} \xi \epsilon \epsilon \pi \hat{a} \sigma a \sigma d \rho \xi \tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \nu \nu \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma a \iota$




 єбоעтає єls 8̈
LXX. seem to have had לְרָ. Note the use of $\epsilon l \mu l \epsilon l$ for $?$ ? vopa.. Comp. Dan. xii. 2, where is ojveioi $\sigma \mu \dot{\partial} \nu$ in V.A., "shame and everlasting çontempt," "abominatio." The carcases of offenders against God were to be seen by all who should come up to Jerusalem, devoured by worms, rotting away, or consumed by a fire kept constantly burning,-apparently in $\gamma^{\epsilon} \in \nu \nu a$, the ravine of Hinnom "outside" the city: verse 22.

The horrible and loathsome sight, ever before their eyes, day and night, with all its foul accompaniments of smell and sound, where the bodies of transgressors against God or man, exposed to "shame and contempt," suffered the extreme penalty of their crimes, suggested naturally the idea of the place of torment in Hades, recognized as the doom of simers by our

Lord, x. 28, xxiii. 33, L. xii. 5, xvi. 23, more especially as Daniel used the same word in describing the future doom of the wicked. Whether the particular passage before us here (verses 29, 30) refers to punishment of this world or the next, may be thought doubtful, as it makes no allusion to the soul. It may possibly contain only the counsel to destroy an offending member,-remove the canse of temptation and instrument of some besetting $\sin$,-to prevent any chance of its leading to such crime, as would entail the death of a criminal and subsequent exposure to worn and fire in the reeking pit of Gehenna. A counsel of worldly prudence, as vv. 25, 26 ; capable, no doubt, of a higher and spiritual application; but not, in the first instance, necessarily and essentially involving it.
32. $\lambda . \pi.]=$ M ${ }^{1}$ ! adultery," "the case of...," as Phil. iv. 15
 Hebrew idiom, here literally translated. Grimm gives ratio as the meaning of $\lambda 6$ ros. and quotes many passages from class. authors; but in all these $\lambda$ óros stands alone, with no genitive, as here: e.g. $e^{\epsilon} \kappa$
 does not cover our phrase, which is simply IIcbraic.




 vaì vaì, oû oư тò ठè $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o ̀ \nu ~ \tau о u ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \kappa ~ \tau o u ̂ ~ \pi о \nu \eta \rho o ̂ ̂ ~ \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \iota \nu . ~$


















 per:" rendered literally here, as in V.A. passim: e.g.
 not understand the force of the preposition in $\epsilon$ is 'I $\epsilon \rho \circ \sigma$ ó $\lambda \nu \mu a$. Grimm explains "animo in Jerusalem directo," very unsatisfactorily.
37. $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ tô $\pi$ тovppov̂] "on the side of," "under the category of," as Gal. iii. 9, 10,12 , of $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$, the faith party, $\bar{\epsilon} \xi$ $\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega \nu \nu\right\rangle \mu 0 v$, " on the side of religious
 Jewish religion is not a rule or system of faith." I John ii. 2I, mâv $\psi \in \hat{\delta} \delta o s \grave{\epsilon}^{\prime} \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} s$
 the ranks of the truth :" where mark He-

48. $\epsilon \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon]$ Future for imperative (or optative), a common IIcbraism. P's. xix.
15. V.A. has $\begin{gathered} \\ \sigma \\ \text { ovtac }: ~ o u r ~ E n g l . ~ V e r s i o n ~\end{gathered}$ optative rightly, "Let the words of my mouth..."

Cap. VI. r. This is the only instance of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \chi \in L \nu$ alone followed by $\mu \eta$. The general uses in the N.T. are (a) $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \epsilon \chi \in \epsilon \nu$ d.тo, infra vii. 15, x. 7 , and L. xx. 46, which is found in Apocrypha; or (b) $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \chi \chi \epsilon \nu$ ย́autoîs àmò, L. xii. $\mathbf{I}$; or (c) $\pi \rho \cap \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ モ̇avtô̂s, L. xvii. 3, Acts v. 35, which both occur constantly in V.A. for
 iv. 9 ; Gen. xxiv. 6. This usage seems unknown to class. authors.

Many MSS. have ėлє $\quad \mu \quad \sigma$ óv $\eta \nu$. V.A.
 oúvacs. Hence we gather that $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \eta \mu 0-$ oúvn, an essential element in Jewish סckalooiv $\eta$, had come to be used as equivalent to it: a part for the whole.


































 for the use of the word in N.T.
7. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ with dative for "cause," "because of."
12. V.A. does not use $\delta \phi \varepsilon i \lambda \eta \mu a$ as $=\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau i a$. But the Rabbins in their Targums employed this phraseology.-
 paraphrased by אבָּ חתחטּ rendered in the Targum, In being Chaldee for debt. Also Gen. xviii. 20, Ex. xxxii. 32. Hence we see that the idea of sin as deht was familiar to the Jews; and our Lord recognizes it in His parables.































[^3]infra, cap. xiii. 14-16. My conclusion is that this verse does not contain a promise of the supply of our bodily and temporal wants, as the consequence of our devotion to God's service (as our English Version scems to imply); but a permission from the mouth of our great Teacher and Lawgiver to provide for them after we have first discharged our duties to God; "seek ye first the kingdom of God and His righteousness, and then all these claims of the world and the flesh may allowably and innocently be attended to:" the life of the sonl to be the first care and thought, the life of the body the second.




















 vóноs каì oi $\pi \rho о ф \hat{\eta} \tau а$.











34. ì $\gamma$ d $\rho \ldots . .$.$] "for the morrow will$ have to care for "its own matters," "is sure to have," "will certainly have," "cares enough of its own."

какla] V.A. for "vexatio, ærumna." 1 Kings xx. 28, Eccl. xii. 1, Am. iii. 6. Is the word ever thus used in pure Greek ?

Cap. VII. 2. Instances are given by Lightfoot of an old Rabbinical proverb
of the mote and beam : the words are not found in V.A.
9. Confessedly ungrammatical.
12. oủtós é $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ò $\nu$. к. ó. $\pi$.] A strange construction utterly at variance with ordinary forms.
16. $\alpha \pi \dot{j}]=$ used to express cause; $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}$ xviii. 7 , instrument or manner: Hebrew rather than Greek: Gen. ix. II, Ps. lxxvi. 7.



















22. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \hat{\varphi} \delta \nu \delta \mu a \tau t]$ Here, where we should naturally expect $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$, we have dative alone: not easy to account for: unless as, in some sense, conveying the idea of instrumentality, though this seems forced and unnatural. And besides, M. very seldom uses dative for this.

סuvá $\mu \epsilon t$ ] Found once only in this sense
 to which Schleusner considers it parallel, is rather the abstract, סúvauls, power, than its manifestation by a miracle; and besides there are no other instances, but that above, of the plural in V.A. In N.T. we have both (a) singular and (b) plural, in this sense: (a) Mk. ix. 39, (b) infra xi. 20, 27, 22, Acts ii. 22.
23. I select this instance of $\boldsymbol{o}_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{t}$, in a collocation frequent in N.T., to offer a few remarks on its probable force and meaning, because it has been allowed to remain in the text by Tischendorf, who has so unsparingly eliminated the word elsewhere. I cannot regard it as universally pleonastic or superfluous, or as merely introductory to a quotation or the statement of another person's opinion, though this, of course, is occasionally its use and meaning, what Grimm calls " $\delta$ ть recitativum," specifying this passage and
infra cap. xxvi. 72, 74, xxvii. 43 among others. I purposely confine myself to S. Matt., although I might cite the other sacred authors largely. I cannot, in any of these instances, nor in many others, e.g. cap. xix. 8 , xxvi. 65 , x. 7 , xiv. 26 , acquiesce in this annihilation of its significance. Twice, at least, in V.A., Gen. xxviii. 16 , xliv. 28 , it is given for $71 \begin{aligned} & \text { or }\end{aligned}$ iJ్ָ̦, "verily," in strong asseveration, as emphatic, which would suit all the passages above. Nor may we forget how frequently it is used in V.A. as $=\frac{1}{9}$, in all its various meanings, and that one of those is asseveration, as recognized by lexicographers and by our Auth. Version. Gen. xxix. 33 'הַּ

 каi $\epsilon \mathfrak{I \pi a \nu}, 8 \tau \iota \pi a \rho a \delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ó Kúpıos $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ ह̀v $\chi \epsilon \epsilon \rho \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$. Jerem. xxii. 22 יִֶּ ly then thou shalt be ashamed." I think therefore that we may claim this meaning for $\delta \partial \iota$ in those passages where it manifestly suits the sense and gives force to the expression.
28. The omission of any conjunction to connect the two verbs, so frequent in



























N.T., is due to depravation of style; as also cap. viii. $6,8,9, \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \tau a \iota$ for "ægrotus decumbit lecto affixus," as Grimm paraphrases it; and iкavòs, "a sufficiently great person," "grand enough;" and


Cap. VIII. 12. The Hebrew idions, in which $\mathfrak{\eta}$, in its various derivative or metaphorical significations, is employed, -rendered literally in V.A. by viós, and in our Auth. V. by "son" or "child,"are so familiar to us, that we very often do not stop to get a clear and definite idea of their meaning: e.g. "sons of Belial," Deut. xiii. I3, I Sam.ii. 12, and viós Oavdrov, "death's child," doomed to die, ${ }^{1}$ Sam. xx. 3I, 2 Sam. xii. 5; and infrá cap. xxiii. 15 viós $\gamma$ éév $\eta$ ๆs, "a child of hell," and vioi tov̂ vu $\mu \phi \hat{v} \nu o s$, ix. 15 , "children of
the bride-chamber;" John xvii. 12, vios $\dot{a} \pi \omega \lambda$ elas, "the son of perdition." No general rule can be given : each case requires its own special consideration.
$\tau \dot{\jmath} \sigma \kappa$. тò $\dot{\epsilon} \xi$.] "the darkness outside," contrasted with the brilliancy and splendour which light up the banquet of the king, referred to in verse 11, and in the Parable of the Marriage Feast, cap. xxii. $\mathrm{r}-14$. It is a periphrasis for the place of punishment.
$\dot{\delta} \kappa \lambda$. к. $\dot{\delta} \beta \rho$.] The article here and in L. xiii. 38 seems to imply a well-known form of expression for the misery of the scene: "the wailing...that all have heard of." Possibly a phrase of some sacred writer that had passed into a proverb. Ps. cxi. 10, тous ódóvtas avitoû $\beta \rho u ́ \xi \in \epsilon$ ó $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda b s$.





 $\sigma \tau a \sigma \epsilon \nu$.








 $\pi \lambda \circ i ̂ o \nu, ~ \eta ं \kappa о \lambda o u ́ \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ aùtề oi $\mu a \theta \eta \tau a i$ aủтөиิ. кaì iठoù $\sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu o ̀ s ~ 24$





















[^4][^5] $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ópí $\omega \nu$ aù $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.





















 $\gamma \grave{a} \rho[\eta ̉ \lambda \theta o v \kappa a \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma a l]$ ठıкаiovs, à $\lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ a ́ \mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda o u ̀ s . ~$










Cap. IX. 9. ini] Here probably used as $=$ לNֶ, "apud," as $\epsilon l_{s}$ and $\pi p o{ }^{\prime} s$ are in V.A. or N.T. See xiii. 56. It is found in V.A. frequently for $\frac{3}{3}$, with all its varieties of

$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i{ }^{\prime} \dot{\partial} \nu \Sigma \alpha o u ́ \lambda$, "It is for Saul and for his bloody house."
 $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\ell} \nu \in \tau 0 \ldots$...al $\dot{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon$. V.A. passim; as also in N.T.







 'Eàv $\mu$ óvov ä $\psi \omega \mu a \iota ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ i \mu a \tau i o v ~ a u ̀ \tau o v ̂, ~[\sigma \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu a l] ~ o ́. ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} ’$ 'I $\eta \sigma o v ̂ S ~ 22 ~$






 є่кєі́ข $\nu \nu$.


 aữoîs ó ’I $\eta \sigma o v ̂ s, ~ \Pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \cup ́ \epsilon \tau \epsilon ~ o ̋ \tau \iota ~ \delta u ́ v a \mu a \iota ~ \tau o u ̂ \tau o ~ \pi o ı \eta ̂ \sigma a \iota ; ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma o v-~$



 т!̣̂ भ!! ढ่кєiv?




[^6]tinguish from (a), as "saving health:" Vulgate has in the above "salutare vultûs mei," "salutare tuum": in fact "salutare" is its usual rendering of $\sigma \omega \tau \eta$ piov and $\sigma \omega \tau \eta p i a$.
33. The notion of "evil spirits" attached to $\delta a \iota \mu$ óvıa seems to be entirely Jewish : we have the term used of an inferior race of divine beings by Plato and Xenophon: and hence, probably, its application to the gods of the heathen by V.A. for Dיף!. Deut. xxxii. 17
 каi ои $\theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$, quoted by S. Paul, 1 Cor. X. 20, apparently in same sense, and Ps. xcvi. 5
 $\delta a \iota \mu о \nu i ́ \omega \nu$ є́к $\beta$ á $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$ тà $\delta a \iota \mu o ́ v \iota a$.







 $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa a \lambda \epsilon \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu O s$ тov̀s $\delta \omega ́ \delta \epsilon \kappa a \quad \mu a \theta \eta \tau a ̀ s$ av่той, є้ $\delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$ av่тоîs






 тapaסov̀s aủtóv.



 8 入є́



 II $\tau \eta \varsigma \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \hat{\eta} s$ aủ $\boldsymbol{\tau}$


 easily passed to mean "Devils," "Spirits of evil," about men and $i n$ men : the fallen angels, Satan and his agents.
38. $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu=$ "put" ( $a$ ), and $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ $=$ "put forth" or "send out" (b), constantly in N.T.-(a) M. xii. 35, xxv. 27 , xxvi. $12, \mathrm{Mk}$. vii. 30,33 ; (b) here and $M \mathrm{k}$. i. 43, Jo. x. 4.
V.A. uses í $\mu \beta$ á $\lambda \lambda e$ for for pono Gen. xxxi. 34, xliv. r, Deut. $x .2$, and $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \beta d^{\prime} \lambda$ -
 It scems clear from this that $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$
could be used in a much milder sense in later Greek than it bore in earlier authors. See x. 34.
4. Kavavín "'zelotypus fuit," and so $=Z \eta \lambda \omega \tau \eta$ 's. 'A ${ }^{\prime}$ фaios, Hebr. חֲלחת, seems to be from the same root as Cleophas; and probably the same name, if not the same person, as in J. xix. 25.
 descendants of Jacob," "the family of Israel."




































[^7][^8]




















 $\tau a i ̂ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ aù $\frac{\hat{\omega} \nu}{\nu}$.













[^9]of cis for $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$, of which we have so many examples in V.A. and N.T. The phrase
 V.A. Ex. v. ${ }^{2} 3$, Jerem. xi. 21 .


















Cap. XI. If. Job xiv. y, xv. 14, xxv. 4
 dently taking $\gamma \in \nu \nu \eta r o ̀ s$ as a noun. The phrase is very peculiar: apparently Hebr. and brought into familiar use, possibly, from these passages.

 without rov. See above ii. 6 for ? with infinitive.
19. $\dot{\eta} \sigma \circ \phi l a=$ החָָּבְּה, "Divine wisdom," or "wisdom-in-divine-things," "The true Religion has ever been and always is cleared of any charge of inconsistency,acquitted of any unreality,-by her children," "declared faultless," "proclaimed to be always right and true." Compare
 declared to be true Christ," "authenticated" "by the Holy Spirit;" i. e. at His Baptism : Schleusner "declaratus est talis qualis vere est," which Grimm also gives.

For this sense of $\delta i$ ikaos and its derivatives, as equivalent to $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta$ गेs, see Luke xvi. 9, x. nI, The words אֶָ? from their usage in the Old Test., would seem to be almost convertible terms. Ps. lii. 3 אָה הָבְּ


 "he shall make judgment to proceed ac-
cording to justice and right." Proverbs viii. 7,8 , where the two words might be used one for the other. And V.A., apparently recognizing this, constantly uses (1) $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta$. $\epsilon<$ for $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \sigma u ́ v \eta$, (2) $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \omega \nu$ òs

 versâ. (I.) Is. xlv. 19, Ps. lii. 3 above. (2) Is. xli. 26. (3) Deut. xix. 18, Jerem. v. 3 I, Ps. cxx. 2 (Hebr. cxix. 2), Pr. xii. 19. (4) Ps. lii. 3, Lev. vi. 3 d díkws for (Hebr. Text v. 22), Micah vi. 12. And we, in our English Version, have often followed suit, translating literally, to the great obscuration of the meaning : e. g. Ps. lii. 3, "Thou hast loved lying rather than to speak righteousness." The N.T. writers carry on the same interchange of the words, to which doubtless their acquaintance with V.A. had familiarised them: e.g. Luke xvi. 9, 10, It, where we have $\mu \alpha \mu \mu \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} s \alpha \delta^{\prime}$ cкlas in 9, corresponding to ro $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa \kappa \delta \nu \mu$. in 11, and contrasted with $\tau \delta \alpha{ }^{\lambda} \lambda \eta \theta_{\iota \nu} \partial \nu$; i. e. $\alpha \dot{\delta} \kappa \kappa \delta{ }^{2} s$ $=\psi \epsilon v \delta \grave{\eta} s$; and in ro, $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{s}$, contrasted with $\alpha \dot{\delta} \dot{\kappa} \delta s$. Here therefore $\alpha \dot{\delta} \iota \kappa o ̀ s$ means "false, untrue, unreal, unreliable;" $d \lambda \eta$ $\theta \iota v o$ s $=$ "true, real, substantial." Compare J. iii. 21 ( $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta$ ela for $\delta<\kappa \alpha \iota o \sigma u ́ v \eta$, as opposed to $\phi a \hat{v} \lambda a$ in 20) and vii. 18 oútos
 (idickia for $\psi \in \hat{v} \delta o s)$; as in Romans ii. 8. ${ }^{1}$ Cor. xv. 34, , $\kappa \kappa \nu \eta \eta \psi a \tau \epsilon \delta \iota \kappa a i \omega s$, i.e. "truly, in carnest:" xiii. $6 \dot{\eta} \dot{a} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta$ oủ $\chi$ al $\rho \in \iota \in \pi$
























 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ r \eta \tau \eta \hat{s} \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa l a s ;$ and ol $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \cup ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$
 r John ii. 4, we should expect dícxo's for
 similarly 3 John $4, \pi \in \rho \iota \pi a r \epsilon i ́ s \quad \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ à $\lambda \eta$ $\theta \in i(q$, and $12, \Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho i \varphi \quad \mu \in \mu a \rho \tau u ́ \rho \eta \tau a l ~ \dot{v} \pi$ ' aủrท̂s $\tau \hat{s} s, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta$ eias: may this possibly mean "by his holy life itself?"
${ }^{25}$. $\epsilon \xi \circ \mu 0 \lambda$ orov̂ $\left.\mu a t\right]$ is almost universally used by V.A. for הוֹרָה Hiph. of הTT, in sense of "praise, give thanks:" and so $\epsilon \xi \circ \mu 0 \lambda \delta \gamma \eta \sigma$ ts stands in V.A. for तフָin "praise." Pss. xli. 5, xcix. I, 3. For the Hithp., which always means "confess," they use $\bar{\xi} \xi \times \gamma 0 \rho \in \dot{\omega} \omega$ (Trommii Concord.), as also once, when the Hiph. means "confess," Ps. xxxii. 5. In Liddell and Scott the word is rendered solely by "to confess in full," "to agree or promise."
26. єv̇סoкia] ("rox profanis incog. nịta.", Grimm) in V.A. = = דָ "appro-
bation, favor: active or passive: approving or being approved." (Lee.) I's.


 which is sometimes given for (iצָT) "let the words of my mouth be acceptable" (supra v. 48). Here and L. x. 21 '่ $\gamma \epsilon$ '

30. रp $\quad$ б $\sigma \grave{s} s]=$ " mitis: gentle, kind, tender:" from which it seems to have slid into "easy." Vulgate "jugum meum suave est:" =almost "pleasant, soft, delightful."
Cap. XII. I. тоis $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \beta a \sigma \tau]$ one of the few instances in M. of dative alone, without preposition, to express the time when, or place where, or manner or instrument, or cause.

тoîs $\sigma \alpha \beta \beta a \sigma \iota \nu,{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \sigma a \beta \beta a ́ \tau \varphi$.] We should have expected the article just different. "(1) On a certain sabbath... (2) not lawful on the sabbath day."









 үáp є̇бт८ каì той $\sigma a \beta \beta a ́ \tau o v ~ o ́ ~ v i o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ т o v . ~$








 $\lambda \iota o \nu$ é่ $\lambda a \beta o \nu$ ] кат’ aư $\frac{1}{}$








4. $\epsilon i \mu \eta$ ] $]=$ "but only," "but on the contrary." Compare Mk. xiii. 32, L. iv. 26, 27, Gal. ii. 16
ro. $\epsilon i]$ for $[\underset{~ i n t e r r o g a t i v e ~: ~ x i x . ~}{3}$, Mk. x. 2, L. xiii. 23, xiv. 3. In I Kings i. 27 V.A. has $\epsilon l$ for a 内人, but in I Sam. xiv. 45, єl $\theta a \nu a \tau \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$ stands for and I Kings xxii. 15 єi $\dot{\mu} \mu \alpha \beta \hat{\omega}$ for leading us to infer that $\epsilon l$ was a common form of interrogation in Macedonian
 V.A. $\epsilon i$ ₹ $\epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \ell$ vios....kal $\epsilon i$ and Job vi. 6 [ị… , as above, by $\epsilon l$ twice.

They knew $\mathbb{O}=\epsilon l$ generally: and so rendered it by $\epsilon l$, even in interrogations and in other constructions, very awkwardly. I Kings i. 5 I, 52 2 תי̣
 viòv $\delta v v \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \omega s$, $\epsilon i \pi \in \sigma \epsilon i \neq \alpha l$, where $\epsilon i$ is put for $\leqslant$ ל', apparently to correspond with $\epsilon l$ in previous verse. I quote this latter passage as an instance of the startling translations so often found in V.A., which in all probability had their effect on the phraseology of N.T.
 21 каї [т仑̂̀ òvó $\mu a \tau \iota ~ a v ่ \tau o u ̂ ~ \epsilon ै \theta \nu \eta ~ \epsilon ่ \lambda \pi \iota o v ̂ \sigma \iota \nu]$.































[^10]ginal ; "The isles shall wait for His Law:" i.e. "The Gentiles shall look onward in hope to His new rule of life and holiness."
28. " $\phi \theta a \sigma \in \nu]$ "has come on you unawares."
33. Noथn $\left.{ }^{2} \alpha \tau \epsilon\right]$ "suppose it," "set it down as."
35. Є̇к $\kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota]$ See ix. $3^{8 .}$






























 à $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \eta \grave{\eta}$ каì $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho$ є̇ $\sigma \tau i v$.













 Io Kaì $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta$ óvtes oí $\mu a \theta \eta \tau a i ̀ ~ \epsilon i \pi \pi a \nu ~ a u ̀ \tau \hat{\varphi}, ~ \Delta ı a \tau i ́ ~ \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \pi a \rho a \beta o \lambda a i ̂ s ~$















Cap. XIII. 14, 15, 16. Acts xxviii. 26, 27. In both we have the exact words of V.A., except lá ${ }^{\circ} \omega \mu \mathrm{al}$, for lácoual. I need scarcely say that it is not an accurate rendering. The Vulgate and English Version come close to the original. The first two clauses are imperative: the judgment of God passing sentence on those who resist Him, a heavy present penalty, lightened by hope of its remission. The "lest," $\mid \underset{ֶ}{2}, \mu \dot{\eta} \pi o \tau \epsilon$, is not exclusive, prohibitory, preventive, but provisional ; "in case that at some future time," "ne forte," Vulg., "if so be, perchance." It is not a doom of hopeless condemnation, but of temporary suspension of blessings, lost for a time by apathy and disobedience, but recoverable upon repentance and conversion to God. The original certainly admits of this interpretation ; and it seems most in accordance with the context in N.T. Our Lord spoke to the people at large in parables; dark sayings beyond their present comprehension - each with its hidden esoteric meaning. Short, striking, impressive lessons, to be stored up in their memo-
ries, wondered at, pondered over, till possibly the day of divine illumination should shine upon their hearts and reveal the mysteries of the kingdom of heaven. The $\mu \eta \pi$; $\boldsymbol{T}, \mathrm{in} \mathrm{I} 5$ is the apodosis of the סıd Toüro in 13. "Because they see not what is before their eyes and hear not what is spoken in their ears, and do not understand; and so in them is fulfilled...;" "therefore speak I to them in parables, in case that, at some future time, they

 (participle); "And there may be a Healer for them:" "and that they may find a Saviour," "a Physician for their Souls"?
 may be the same, as change of tense seems to indicate: "and God may return and heal them."

The free paraphrase of the passage from Isaiah in Mk. iv. 12 carries out this iden, and seems to justify, on our Lord's authority and in His own words, the application or explanation suggested abovc.



























 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ àтоөйкך $\mu$ цоv.










19-23. Hopeless intricacy of sub. jects, genders, and relations.
25. $\dot{\alpha} y \dot{\alpha} \mu \notin \sigma o v]$ V.A. for ${ }^{\prime}$ '习习 " in the midst of."




 aùtê̂ oi $\mu a \theta \eta \tau a i ̂ ~ a u ́ \tau o u ̂ ~ \lambda i ́ \gamma o v \tau \epsilon s, ~ Ф \rho a ́ \sigma o \nu ~ ท i \mu \mu i v ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi a \rho a ß o \lambda \eta ̀ \nu ~$












 ผ๋та," àкоvє́ $\tau \omega$.


 тò̀ ảypò̀ èкєîvov.





35. Ps. lxxviii. 2 חִדוֹ, "חִּ, "dark sayings from time-of-old :" V.A. $\pi \rho \circ \beta \lambda \eta$ ' $\mu a \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$.
39. $\sigma v v \tau^{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon[a]$ "Confinium quod dro extrema jungit," Schl.; e.g. עוֹלָם בֶּבְּא and עוֹלָם ועדֶה, and so in strict exactness requiring Pl. alwıvv, as in Heb. ix. 26, where it expresses the confluence, or meeting, of the extremities of the two ages, wras, or dispensations; i. e. the AnteChristian and Christian. Compare i Cor.
 $\tau \eta \sigma \in$, "upon whom the extremities," the end and beginning, "of the two æras
have come down and met together." From this close, precise sense, it easily passed into "end;" as here and vv. 40, 49 ; and Cap. xxiy. 3, xxviii. 20. V.A. renders by it sen in in in and Dan. ix. 27 , xii. 4 , xii. 13 , with kal $\rho \omega \nu$ or $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} v$. And from hence is probably derived its use in N.T. Classical authors do not employ it in above sense. Grimm gives one passage from Polybius, where it stands for "completion, fulfilment."
42. In parallel passage, v. 22, $\gamma^{k} \epsilon \nu \nu a$ corresponds to $\kappa$ á $\mu \nu \nu o s$ here.








 тадatá.











cap.













 In V.A. $\pi \rho$ òs stands for ל太心, "apud" or "inter," Jerem. xli. 12 ; and $\epsilon$ is for frequently. Deut. xvi. 6, i Kings viii. 30 . Hence in N.T. Mk. i. 39, ii. 1 , xiii. 9 , 16 , cis $\sigma v v a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{s}$ sapŕ $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, and $\dot{\delta} \epsilon i s$

$\lambda \omega \nu$, "inter angelorum ordines," Deut. xxxiii. 2. eis also, in its almost universal use for $?$, in V.A., is put for it occasionally when it means "apud." Ps. xvi. 10








































[^11] 8, Acts xxviii. $\mathbf{2}_{5}$, L. iv. 4 1, 43 and vi 5 ,

























31. $\epsilon$ is $\tau \ell=$ לְמָה literally rendered.
36. ठ七є大ஸ́Ө ${ }^{2} \sigma \alpha \nu$ ix. 21.

Cap. XV. 4. $\theta a \nu$. $\tau \in \lambda.] ~ V . A . f o r ~$ תin min, Ex. xxi. 17. The translation of $\dot{o}$ каколоу $\omega \nu$, "he that curseth," is incongruous with the context. It was, rather, heartless indifference, want of due respect for parents, that led to the evading of the plain duty of supporting them. Now какододє $\omega$ is used by V. A. several times for Pihel or Hiphil of $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{p}$, "levis, vilis fuit;" in sense of vilipendit, "lightly regarded," "treated with disrespect:" viz. Ex. xxii. 28, Ez. xxii. 7, , ואֶ, Auth. V. "they have set light by." Prov.
 which may perhaps mean "had no respect for themselves." Now in other similar passages the same verb, and the cognate
$\Pi ק_{T}$, are rendered $\alpha \tau \tau \mu \alpha^{\prime} \xi \omega$ in V. A., e.g. Deut. xxvii. 16, מַקלֶה הָדִיו וְאִטוֹ, the exact counterpart of Exod. xxi. 17, quoted by S. Matthew here, is $\dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \mu \dot{x} \zeta \omega \nu$. Gen. xvi. 4, 5, Is. xvi. 14, and 2 Sam. xix. 44,
 us?" Hence we see that каколоу ${ }^{\epsilon} \omega=$ aंтцús $\omega$ in V.A. But Sh? Pi. means "curse" also; Gen. viii. 21, xii. 3. And so the two senses have been confounded together and a wrong interpretation given. Better to translate "he that makes light of," "disregards the claims of" his father and mother, so as to refuse to assist them, on the plea of a previous offering of his money to God's service. For кop $\beta \hat{\alpha} \nu$ see M. xxvii. 6.
11. See Mk. vii. 2, ${ }^{15}$, Acts x . 14, 28, xi. 8, Rom. xiv. 14. кouvo's " "unclean." No such use of word in



































[^12]23. $\quad$ N constantly misplaces the two, putting one for the other: as also N.T. writers, L. iv. 38, J. iv. 3 r, 1 Thess. v. 12, 1 John v. 16.
 Өєòv＇I $\sigma \rho a \eta{ }^{\prime} \lambda$ ．












 $\eta ̋ \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon i s \tau a ̀$ öpıa Mayaסáv．

Kai тробє入Өóvтєs oi Фарıбаîo兀 каì इa


 ఢんע ó ov̉pavós．тò $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi o \nu ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ o u ̉ \rho a \nu o u ̂ ~ \gamma ı \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon ~ \delta \iota а к р i ́-~$ $\nu \epsilon \iota \nu, \tau a ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i ̂ a ~ \tau \hat{u} \nu ~ \kappa а \iota \rho \omega ̂ \nu ~ o v ̉ ~ \delta v ́ \nu a \sigma \theta \epsilon ; ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon a ̀ ~ \pi т о \nu \eta \rho a ̀ ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ 4 ~$




 èv éavtoîs 入є́






 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Фарıбаíшv каì इaססоикаí $\omega \nu$ ．













 av̉тós è $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ó X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o ́ s . ~$






 $\tau \omega ิ \nu$ àv $\theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$.











Cap. XVI. 18. $\pi$ úl $\left.\lambda, \frac{\phi}{\phi} \delta 0 v\right]$ i.e. "the Powers of Hades:" the gate of the city was, on fit occasions, the place of the tribunal, or throne of the judge or chief ruler. Deut. xxi. 19, Jerem. xxxviii. 7, Lam. v. 14, Esth. iv. 2, v. 13 , Dan. ii. 49, Amos v. 15. Hence it came to be a term for "the king's court ;" "the central seat of empire;" as with the Turks at this day; "the Porta Sublimis," "the Sublime Porte."
22. For הָלִילָה, ("vox indignantis, detestantis," Gesen., "profanum habeatur tibi," V.A. once has $\mu \eta \delta a \mu \omega \hat{\omega}$, I Sam.
 $\delta a \mu \hat{\omega} s \tau \hat{v} \dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\psi} \mathrm{~K} v \rho l \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ (an instance of strange obscuration of all meaning by literal translation): but generally (incés oou, I Chr. xi. 19, 2 Sam. xx. 20. Supposed to be a form of "God be merciful to you," "God forbid." Schl. and Grimm quote Ælian for $t \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ as an adjective.
26. "What will he-have-to-give-in-exchange-for his lost soul," at the last day? The different meanings of $\psi v \chi \grave{\eta}$ (life and soul) in 25 and 26 make the passage difficult to translate. See x. 39 .
 $\mu \epsilon \nu O \nu$ èv $\tau \hat{\eta} \beta$ ßaбi入єía av̀тov̂．




 ＇H入ías $\mu \epsilon \tau$＇à̀тov̂ бu入入a
















 єiтєो aùтoîs．












Cap．XVII．2．See v． 16.



 $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu \chi \hat{n} \kappa a i ̀ \nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon ' i q . *$




 סрахرа $\lambda а \mu \beta a \dot{\nu}$





















27. Strange use of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i$ : not found in V.A. : in which the word very rarely occurs.

Cap. XVIII. 3, v. 18. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \eta{ }^{2} \nu$ only found three times in V.A., i Chr. xvi. 36, Neh. v. 13, viii. 8; and in these only to show the very word used. Elsewhere it is rendered by $\dot{d} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s$ and $\gamma \dot{\gamma} \nu \circ<\tau o$, which comprise its two meanings as used by us severally at the end of Creed or Prayer, P's. xli. 14, Jerem. xxviii. 6, Jerem. xi. 5 .

7. oкávóa入o V.A. passim for לִ?
 14, and for שipin, "a springe," Judges viii. 27 , "anything that catches the feet and "psets a man," or "makes him stumble." Lexicographers limit the use of the word to V.A. and N.T. ámò $\tau . \sigma \kappa$. for " "in consequence of:" or "because of." (vii. 16.)






























8. As the Hebrews had no comparative form, so we meet the same omission


 $\mu \mathrm{ot} \dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \theta a \nu \varepsilon i ้ \nu \hat{\eta}$ § $\hat{\eta} \nu$, which exactly parallels L. xvii. 2, L. xviii. 14, oủtos кат $\xi \beta \eta$

12. á $\phi i \eta \mu$, "send away, let go, quit hold of, let alone," easily slides into "leave," a constant sense of it in V.A. and N.T., e.g. xxiii. $3^{8,}$, xxiv. 2, Mk. xii. 19. 21 , xiv. 50, John x. 12. In Exod. ix. ${ }_{21}$ V.A. has $\dot{\alpha} \phi \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon$ for בiy, and Ruth ii.

16, 2 Sam. xx. 3 ถִּ ָּ "which he had left to keep the house."


 $\theta \in \lambda \eta \mu \alpha) \quad \ddot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \sigma 0 \hat{v}$, V. A. Hence we may infer the process by which the
 came into our text.
16. Every thing may be settled.
20. The exact parallel to this is found in the Hebrew "ה"? (V.A. $\delta \iota \grave{a} \tau o ̀ ̀ \partial \nu \rho \mu a)$ and Jerem. iii. $1 \%$.






















 $\dot{a} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \delta \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \mu \omega \bar{\nu}$.
CAP.

 $\pi \in \nu \sigma \epsilon \nu$ à̀тov̀s е̇кє६̂.










 Cap. XIX. 8. $\pi \rho, \tau, \sigma \kappa$.] As we say, "for the hardness of your heart."






















 $\pi \tau \omega \chi o i ̂ s, \kappa a i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̈ \xi \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \theta \eta \sigma a \nu \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ o u ̉ \rho a \nu o i ̂ s, ~ к а i ̀ ~ \delta є v ̂ \rho o ~ a ̉ \kappa о \lambda о v ́ \theta \epsilon \iota ~ \mu о \iota . ~$
 $\mu а т а$ тол入а́.







10. airia] Only instance of this sense in N.T. None in V.A.
 strong example of Hebr. use of Future for Imperative: as in English also, "Thou shalt not kill :" apparently, but not really: for "shall" there is not future at all. But the Greek future form has no possibility of such double meaning as IIebr.
and Engl. have. The expression in text is a Hebrew idiom turned word for word into Greek, intelligible to Orientals, but at variance with the grammar and genius of the language.
23. סvaкȯえفs, "with hard effort," "with reluctance," fits in with the young man's sorrow.








 сар. ${ }^{\text {é } \sigma \chi a \tau o \iota ~} \pi \rho \hat{\omega}$ тои.






















28. Note change of case after $\bar{\epsilon} \pi l$.

Cap. XX. 2. "With the men he found there who worked-at-a-denarius per day." $\epsilon \rho \gamma . \notin \kappa$. ס.] Have we any authority for such a rendering in class. authors? Schleusner cites cap. xxvii. 7 , Acts i. 18. I see no parallel to this passage in them.
12. ,W. \& W. suggest "have made one hour:" as if by an idiom, corresponding to our own in English: and cite Acts xv.

33, xviii. 23, xx. 3, and James iv. I3. Schl.
 $\eta$ бas; Semel in N.T.
 V.A. $\pi$ oע $\eta \rho \in \dot{\prime} \sigma \eta \tau a \iota \dot{\partial} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o ́ s ~ \sigma o u \tau \hat{\omega} \dot{d} \delta \epsilon \lambda-$ $\phi \hat{\omega} \sigma \circ \hat{v}$, "envy, grudge." Tobit iv. 7, I find no instances of $\pi$ ovipos with this force in V.A. but in Apocr. Sir. xiv. ro, xxxi. 14.






































[^13]28. Extreme instance of inf. after verb intrans., answering to inf. with ? in Hebr.














Cap. XXI. 5; Quotation from V.A.
 account for oort; literally "Thy King shall come for thee,", "Thou shalt see thy King come." ${ }^{7}$ ? is generally renedared by $\sigma 06$ in V.A., whether it express " motion towards," or not.

 sanna" $=$ " O save us, we pray Thee;" "O be Thou our Saviour." They applied to Jesus, whether consciously or not, the words of the Messianic Psalm, and hailed Him "Son of David," "King," "Saviour." How are we to account for the dative $\tau \hat{\psi} u \hat{\psi} \hat{\varphi} \Delta$.? We are expressly told they used these very words. Can it be, as it were, an ascription to Jesusrecognized as the Son of David, the true Messiah—of his Attribute of Saviour? Or were they possibly, in their ignorance of Hebrew, unaware of the exact force of the word, and thought of it only as an Act of Adoration, a Form of Praise-as unlearned Christians generally now-a-days-equivalent to "Honour," "Glory," "Praise," to the Son of David? Grimm translates $\dot{\omega} \sigma ., \sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma o \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$, by "propitius sis." And then, forgetting that both $\cdots \underset{T}{\square}$ require an accusative after them, renders $\dot{\omega} \sigma . \tau \hat{\varphi} v i \hat{\varphi} \Delta$. "propitious sis filio Davids," i. e. "Messiæ," which is untenable.

There is another difficulty in the words ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{v}$ coifs $\dot{\nu} \psi \cdot$; not very great if we adopt the suggestion above of $\dot{\omega} \sigma a v \nu \dot{d}$ being used with no very distinct idea of its real meaning: for then the phrase, in their mouths, would be much the same as Ps. cxlviii. I,
 $\dot{v} \psi i \sigma \tau 0 u s$, V.A.
If however we are to suppose them to have used the word with full understanding of its true meaning, then it becomes exceedingly difficult to connect $\dot{\xi}^{2} \nu$ lois $\dot{v} \psi i \sigma \pi o r s$ with it and explain it rightly. "Be Thou our Saviour in Heaven above," taking $\dot{\epsilon}, \tau . \dot{v}_{.}=\square$ tisfactory.

May we hazard the interpretation, "Save us by the Most High," ie. "in the name of the Most High"? Ps. live. 3,
 $\mu a \tau i$ nov $\sigma \omega \hat{\sigma} \delta \nu \mu$, V.A. Now V.A. üұเб os, "Most High," is one of the Names of God, by which He is addressed and invoked. Ps. ix. 2, lvi. 2,
 Dan. vii. $18,22,25,27$, we find in plural; V.A. $\begin{gathered} \\ \psi \\ \text { ı } \sigma \tau o s, ~ w i t h o u t ~ a r t i c l e, ~\end{gathered}$ as Kúpos constantly: Eng. V. "The Most High;" corresponding in structure and character to $\square$ Gị̂us. Gesenius shows it to have been a name for God among the Phœnicians. If then the prayer הרוֹשִׁיעָה, "Save us in the name of God," "by the power of God,"
 be a possible form also, and $\dot{\omega} \sigma a \nu \nu \grave{a} ~ E \nu$ lois viభiotors its equivalent? I anticipate the obvious objection that we should, in that case, expect the singular and not the
 fact, rendered by $\ddot{\psi} \downarrow$ iT $\sigma$ os in V.A. But the frequency of literal word-for-word translations from Hebrew and Chaldee in


 Галıлаías.











 єis $\mathrm{B} \eta \theta a v i a v, \kappa a i ̀ ~ \eta u ̉ \lambda i \sigma \theta \eta ~ \epsilon ’ \kappa \epsilon i ̂ . ~$


















the V.A. - the only witness to the practice of the time within our reach-suggests the possibility of such close clinging to the Hebrew form in a popular and ordinary Greek equivalent, even against analogy and strict preciseness and propriety of expression. See i Sam. xvii. 43

 cursed David by (i.e. in the name of) his Gods."
12. Tò [ $\epsilon \delta \delta \nu$ ] the sacred precinct; $\dot{o}$ $\nu a d s$, the Temple, the Sanctuary.

































 Gen．xxii．r，y Sam．iii． 8.

42．кєфа入ウ̀ $\gamma \omega \nu$ las，V．A．for ש่ำ
 Is．xxviii．16．Can it mean＂the key－ stone of the arch，＂＂the top or head of theangle，＂＂the crown of the pointed arch，＂ that binds all together？See Eph．ii．20，iv． 16，where the picture and description is
quite that of a key－stone，ovvapuodoyoivca каi $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \iota \beta \dot{\zeta} \zeta 0 \nu \tau \alpha$ the whole structure． Warburton，in his Crescent and Cross， speaks of very ancient arches in Egypt， supposed to be as old as Joseph＇s time． Hence we may suppose the Jews not altogether unacquainted with the use and properties of the arch．
aür $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ ］V．A．for クNit fem．






































 Cap. XXII. 9. "Outlets or byways" (strict and minute details).

22 тà Kaírapos Kaíapı каì тà тoû $\Theta \epsilon o v ̂ \tau \hat{̣ ̂}$ Өє̣̣̂. каì ảкои́баитєs



































 $\kappa a \tau \grave{a ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ e ̂ \rho \gamma a ~ a u ̀ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \pi o t e i ̂ \tau e ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ к a i ̀ ~ o v ̀ ~ \pi o \iota o v ̂ \sigma \iota \nu . ~}$

[^14]




















 *èv $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ Өvata











Cap. XXIII. 11. Future for imperative, v. 48.
13. $\epsilon \mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ here for ${ }^{2} v a \nu \tau i o v, ~ v . ~ 16, ~$ and vice versâ, Mk. ii. 12. Gen. xxx. 30 , "נפּלT, "ante me," "ante meum adven.
 $\pi<o \nu$ for $\hat{\xi} \mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$; and vice versâ M. xxv. 32.

[^15]
## 50 MATTHEW, XXIII. 25-XXIV. 2.



























 cap. òvó $\mu a t \iota$ Kupiov.





[^16]26. "iva expresses not "the means," but "the preparation:" not "in order that," but "so that afterwards:" "cleanse the inside (the heart) first, as preliminary to cleansing the outside." And as one element in the process, L. xi. $4 \mathrm{I}, \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \nu \tau \alpha$ $\delta \delta \tau \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \eta \mu \circ \sigma \dot{v} \nu \eta$, " give all you can in works of mercy."



































Cap. XXIV. I5. $\beta$ 反. т. $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \rho \eta \mu$.] V.A.
 qualifying genitive, borrowed from Hebr., "detestabile illud quo desolatio efficitur." "The desolating abomination" or "idol" (Engl. Vn. passim), i.e. "the Eagle of the Roman legions," which was sacro-
sanct, "an idolatrous emblem, and the very symbol of desolation." W. \& W.
18. 'ix, ov̉al, væ: all the same sound probably, or nearly so.
22. א א.... dered in V.A. $\pi$ âs...oủk, universally : e.g. Ex. xii. 16, copied in N.T. as L. i. 38.
































31. Merd with gen. has so essenti-
ally the idea of "societas," that except
with persons, or things personified, it is
rarely found in classical authors. Hence
its use in V.A. and N.T. is often perplex-
ing. Ps. xvi. II for תN゙, quoted Acts
$\pi \rho 0 \sigma \omega ̈ \pi r o u$ бov. L. xiv. 9, катє́ $\epsilon \epsilon \nu$ то̀
à $\pi \in \lambda \dot{c} 0 \eta \sigma a \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau$ ' єip $\dot{\eta} \nu \eta$ s. Hebr, x. 22,
$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \rho \chi \omega^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \theta a \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu \hat{\eta} s \kappa \alpha \rho \delta l a s$. We must not be misled by the coincidence between our idiom and the Hebrew, to think the use of $\mu \in \tau d$ natural and grammatical in Greek, because "with," in these and similar phrases, is so in English.

 extremitas." Deut. iv. $\mathbf{3}^{2}$, xxviii. $6_{4}, \dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime}$
















 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa a ́ \theta \epsilon v \delta o \nu . ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \eta s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \nu v \kappa \tau o ̀ s ~ к \rho a v \gamma \eta ̀ ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \epsilon \nu, ~ ' I \delta o u ̀ ~ o ̀ ~ \nu u \mu \phi i o s, ~ 6 ~$











 ن́та́р






































Cap. XXV. 21. Mark change of case, without any apparent reason.
27. $\beta a \lambda \epsilon i \nu]$ See ix. $3^{8 .}$
 piov ( $\mathbf{x}$, Gen. xxiv. 31, Vulg. "Benedictu1s Domini," and xxvi. 29, єن̉̉oy $\eta u \in \dot{y}$ os ind Kuplou. The general form is "I
 two are practically identical [though V.A. puts the latter in dative on account of ?, which is here "possessoris;" as I Sam. xvi. 18, לineib? , and signify "Jehovalh's blessed one," or, more correctly, "one of Jeho-
vah's blessed ones." These terms of expression are as unnatural in English, as ( 1 ) and (2) are in Greek. In our "blessed of the Lord," of = $\boldsymbol{i} \pi \dot{\circ}$ : and we have translated according to the spirit, and not the letter, as V.A. in єن̉入o\%. v่тò K. As also in translating $\epsilon \dot{u} \lambda$. тô $\pi$ racpós $\mu 0 v$, we have evaded the stiffness of the literal rendering "My Father's blessed ones," by turning it "Ye blessed of my Father." The irregular syntax of the latter portion of the verse has many parallels in N.T., e.g. Gal. iii. ${ }^{2} 3$, Eph. ii. 3, $\tau \in \kappa v a$ фú $\sigma \epsilon \iota$ of $\rho \eta$ ทेs, and has prototypes, possibly, in Hebrew: e.g. 2 Sam. xiii. 16.




















 $\sigma \tau a \nu \rho \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a u$.













Cap. XXVI. 4. $\left.\delta \delta \lambda^{\prime} \varphi\right]$ Dative of manner: very rare in M. I have noted it only in iii. 12 , iv. 24 , vii. 22 , xv. 8,20 , xxiii. 4, xxvii. 59 .
8. ג $\pi \omega \dot{\Lambda} \lambda \epsilon \iota$ ] "profusio," Grimm. No quotations from any class. author, except one from Polybius, given by Schl., vi. 59.

5, where it is opposed to тท́p corresponds probably to $=$ = "peun ditio," active (a) and passive (b), (a) Prov. xviii. 9, xxviii. 24 and here; and (b) I.s. i. 4 , with which compare John xvii. 12.
12. Two things to be noted here: $\beta a$ -


 a $u$ गेร．
























$\lambda 0 \hat{v} \sigma \alpha$ expressing too violent an action， and $\epsilon \pi i$ with gen，where we should ex－ pect acc．But $\beta \delta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ is constantly used in N．T．for＂pono，impono；＂ix． 38 ， xxv．27，Mk．i．43，vii．30，J．x．4，as in V．A．for ロיy．Gen．xxxi．34，Deut．

 pium），a similar construction to our pas－ sage．

## 18．$\pi \rho \partial े s ~ \sigma \epsilon ̀]$ xiii． 56 ．

$\pi o t \hat{\omega}]$＂let me offer；＂in sacrificial sense，as Heb．xi．28．Compare Ex．xii．
 xii． 21 ，$\theta \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \downarrow \nu$ тò $\pi a ́ \sigma \chi a$ ．Also xxix． $3 \sigma$ ，
 lyу $\pi$ о七є̂̂̀．тò $\mu \circ \sigma \chi \alpha ́ \rho \iota o \nu . . . \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ́ \mu \nu o ̀ \nu . . . ~$
$\pi 0 เ \eta \eta_{\sigma} \in \iota$ ．
25 and 64．$\sigma \dot{v}$ єimas］Not found in V．A． Possibly later Greek．It seems akin to $\phi \eta \mu^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \omega$ and aio，of classical authors．In xxvii．I ，Mk．xv．2，L．xxiii．3，J．xviii． 37，we have $\sigma \dot{v} \lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon$ cs．Lightfoot is cited by Schl，as showing a similar form of affirmation to be found in the Talmud． Hierosol．

26．Ev̉入o $\begin{gathered}\text { êt } \\ \text { includes the idea of }\end{gathered}$ giving thanks．L．ii．28．In the other accounts of the institution of the Holy Eucharist，we find，Mk．xiv．22，єủ $\quad$ o $\eta^{-}$ oas，L．xxii．19，єv่खapı $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma a s$ ： 1 Cor．x． 16，$\epsilon$ vino $i$ las．In 1 Cor．xiv． 16 the two seem interchanged：as indeed here，verses 26 and 27.




































29. $\gamma^{t} \nu \nu \eta \mu a$ V. A. very frequently for any fruit or produce of field or tree, as well as the young of animals, e.g. '? ?


23 ; indeed the latter use, for "foetus, progenies," is rare: Josh. xv. ${ }_{14}$, Аро-






































[^17]


























 ноє Kúpıos.



$72,74,75.8 \pi i]$ asseverandi $=1$ ’? or ips, supra vii. ${ }^{2}$, and xxvii. 43, 47.
Cap. XXVII. 4. הָר has force of "look out for," "take care of," in I


$\left.\sigma \dot{v}{ }_{8} \psi_{y}\right]$ Future for imp., infra 24, and see v. 48 , vi. 33 .
 elsewhere, ="oblatio." Mark vii. i1, кор.; $\beta$ âv. Hence

7. $\left.\begin{array}{c}\epsilon \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$ in this sense " quite unknown to class. authors." Schl.: Acts i. 18.

 els tò̀ oiko $\mathrm{K} v \rho$ plov cis $\tau$ ò $\chi$ avevuriptov (foundry). לی="apud," which seems to be the explanation of els-ròv-dypòv-Tov in text. Our English Version of Zech. is accurate, "I cast them to the potter." Gesenius suggests 7 ̦̦iN $=$ "æerarium." 1 Kings vii. 5I, xiv. 26 .





















 $\sigma \tau a u \rho \omega \theta \hat{\eta}$.*








8. $\pi \rho o ̀ s]$ seems here $=$ ? in Deut. xxiv. 5, 7 Tָּ minima quidem res, non adeo ulla." Eccl.

 V.A., where aúrós = "even." Or perhaps $\pi \rho \delta s=7 \underline{V}$, rendered by Etws in Judg. iv.


9. Same sort of expression as $\pi i \sigma 06$
 Judg. xi. 12.
10. Tiva ànò r. $\delta$.] Job v. I, י? -מִקְּרשִׁים

 dipâs $\mu$ ov.
 "the guilt is his."
11. $\dot{\dot{s}}$ ßaбi入єن̀s] See i. 20, xi. 26.
 $\sigma \tau a v \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota$.



























 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi i \sigma \theta \eta \sigma a \nu, \kappa a i ̀ \tau a ̀ ~ \mu \nu \eta \mu \epsilon i ̂ a ~ a ̀ \nu \epsilon \omega ́ \chi \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ каì $\pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu 52$




[^18]43. צעַ 21, we have in succession, $\tau l \nu \iota \pi \epsilon \pi o t \theta \dot{\omega}$ s,


46. Ps. xxii. 2, V.A. "o Ө́ós $\mu$ оv," as Mk. xv. 34, L. xviii. II. $\theta \in \epsilon$, unusual.




 viề $\mathrm{Z} \epsilon \beta \in \delta a i o u$.
57 'O














 $\lambda i \sigma a \nu \tau o ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \tau$ тáфov $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu \lambda i \theta o \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \kappa о v \sigma \tau \omega \delta i a s . ~$















Cap. XXVIII. I. In Lev. xxiii. I 5 ,
 シีּ
it is apparently not used again in this sense: nor $\sigma \alpha ́ \beta \beta a \tau \alpha$ in V.A.


 Гa入ı入аíà, кàкє̂̀ $\mu \in$ oै $\psi о \nu \tau a \iota . ~$

 $\sigma \nu \nu a \chi$ Ө́́vтєs $\mu \epsilon \tau a ̀$ т $\tau \hat{\nu} \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ [ $\sigma \nu \mu \beta o v ̃ \lambda \iota o ́ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda a ß o ́ v \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ]














14. $\quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi l=$ לy, "juxta, apud." Is. xix. 7. Gen. xvi. 7, V. A. єủpev aủ ${ }^{2} \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \eta \gamma \hat{\eta} s$.
19. Does cis here $=$ ? or ? The latter, in its frequent sense of "into," is
rendered in V.A. by eis generally: though occasionally by ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu$, e.g. Ex. iv. 21 .
20. ${ }^{\text {en }} \omega$ s $\tau \hat{\eta} s \quad$. $\quad$. a.] See xiii. 39, xxiv. 3 .


## S. MARK.

## Chapter I.


 öд. т. Г. Also 8. 14. 15. 23. 24. 37. 40.

Non-Classical. 16. év т. $\theta$. 24. $\eta_{j} . \dot{a} \pi$. and tís ci. 25. $\phi \iota \mu$. 35. є้ $\nu \nu$. $\lambda$. 38. aै $\gamma$. 43. $\epsilon \mu \beta$. 45. グ $\rho \chi$.

Septuagint. 7. í $\chi \chi \rho$. M. 3. 11, note. 18. á $\phi^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, M. 18. 12. 21. $\tau \dot{a} \sigma \dot{\alpha} \beta \beta a \tau a$ for "the Sabbath," M. 28. 1. 34. $\epsilon^{\xi} \xi \in \notin \beta a \lambda \epsilon . ~ 34$. $\eta ้ \phi \iota \epsilon$, see L. 18. 16.

Chap. I. 2. $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \omega ́ \pi o v] ~=~ ל ְ ְ ְ ּ ~ a s ~ A m o s ~ 9.4, ~ a n d ~ V . ~ A . ~ p a s s i m: ~$ an Hebraic idiom unknown in pure Greek.
12. e $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \kappa$ ád $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon]$ See M. 9. 38, note, and infra 43.
15. $\pi$. èv $\tau \hat{\omega}$ cỉa $\gamma \gamma$.] ְ̣ confidence in." V. A. render generally by $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota$ èv ; but $\}$ " $n=$ "credidit," "believed," by same verb with dative. But this distinction is sometimes neglected in V. A., e.g. Gen. 15. 6, Ps. 78. 36, 119. 66. In N. T. we have some few instances of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \dot{\epsilon} v$ and $\pi i ́ \sigma \tau \iota s \dot{\epsilon} v$ : one here; and Rom. 3. 25, Eph. 1. 15, Col. 1. 4, Phil. 3. 3, 1 Tim. 3. 13, 2 Tim. 3. 15 ; which are probably due to the Hebrew use of $\underset{\sim}{3}$, as the more frequent forms, with $\epsilon i s, \dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ and $\pi \rho o \grave{s}$, to the Hebrew ?. The very rare occurrence of the verb with any such prepositions in Classical Authors, or in any Greek books except N. T., lends weight to this suggestion.
21. roîs $\sigma \alpha ́ \beta \beta$.] V. A. generally Plural; Hebr. Singular. This is one of the rare instances of dative of point of time. M. 12, 1. Mc. 3. 2, 4 ; 6. 21.
 note : and also infra 2. 8.
24. $\tau_{i}^{\prime} \eta_{\mu \mu i v ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \sigma o i ̀] ~ M . ~ 27 . ~ 19, ~ n o t e . ~}^{\text {n }}$

GU.

## Chapter II.


 26. т. ă $\rho \tau$. $\tau$. $\pi \rho \circ \theta$.



SEPT. 12. є̇vavtiov. 22. ßá̀入. oi. ע.

## Chapter III.

Hebr. 17. Boav. 18. Kavav. 22. èv r. äp $\rho$. 23. èv $\pi$ тap. 29. єis t. ai. Also 11. 21. 22.
39. $\epsilon$ is o̊. т. Г.] 6.3 ; 13. 9,16 ; M. 13. 56, note.
43. $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \xi^{\prime}$. a.] dimisit eum. V.A. have $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta$ á $\lambda \lambda \omega$ for Exod. 12. 33, Ps. 43. 3, where the original meaus "to cast out." Hence the word being thus used as equivalent to $\begin{array}{r}\text { e } \\ \text { in one mood and sense, }\end{array}$ seems to have come to be taken as equivalent to it in all its moods and senses; and so to the general one of "dimitto." And this has passed on to the N. T., M. 9. 38, J. 10. 4.

Chap. II. 8. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \nu$.] dat. of instrument, or manner ; very rare; about twelve times in the whole Gospel. 1. 34, 5. 29, 6. 32, 7. 2, 6, 13. $26,8.12,12$. 13.
12. évavtiov] See M. 23. 14 ; and 15. 23, for öть.
15. каì ধ́ $\gamma . . . . \kappa \alpha i]$ Common Hebrew form.
17. $\eta \lambda \lambda \theta$ к.] See M. 2. 26. In V. A. we sometimes find $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ before infinitive, sometimes not, in similar phrases. Gen. 27. 5, 7ל:?
 2 Ch .20 .36 ,

23. óoòv $\pi$.] Judg. 17. 8, ,
 Latinism for "iter facere."


 25. 30, ä $\rho \tau o v s$ èvomiovs द̇vavtiov $\mu \circ v$.) The two expressions spring alike
 $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$. This is a good instance of the Hebr. gen. of qualification equivalent to an adjective: aptly rendered by the English idiomatic combination "shew-bread." M. 1. 11.

Chap. III. 2. Syntax very irregular all through the Chapter.





Chapter IV.
 $\pi . \pi . \pi$.
6. $v v \mu \beta$. ̇̇тoiovv] See 15.1 and compare M. 12. 14, for $\sigma . \lambda \alpha \mu$ $\beta$ ávetv, of which there are five instances in M.


 12, 16, הַרְ:ְּשׁׂ "cum strepitu concurrerunt." The idea of the word seems to be, "the sound of many voices speaking together" : and so it might come to be rendered by $\beta_{\rho o v \tau \eta}$. Keble, in his close and admirable translation of the Psalms, renders (A) "gathering raged"; (B) "Together through the Courts of God, In Choir we sweetly passed"; (C) "Wheu siuners shout and shout again." This passage is quoted by Westcott (Dict. of Bible) in proof of the supposition that our Lord used Aramaic in familiar discourse.
 stantly used in Proper Names.

21. oi $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$ av̉rov̂] I find no instance of this in V. A.: but in 1 Macc. 13. 52 it occurs in same sense (a passage worth consulting for its use of $\dot{e} v, \mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha}$, $\tau o \hat{v}$ ). The nearest approach to it in N. T. is Mc. 5. 26, $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \alpha u ̋ \tau \eta \mathrm{\eta}$, her property.
$\left.{ }^{\prime} \xi \xi \in \sigma \pi \eta\right] 2$ Cor. 5. 13. No instance in V. A. of this sense of word: several of its meaning "terror, astonishment"; but not, "loss of reason.".
29. єis $\tau$. ai.] literal for 1. 31, and passim. See 1 Th. 4. 15. For ${ }^{\text {EैVo }}$ 人os see M. 5. 21.

Сhap. IV. 1. тарà тѝv ө.] M. 13. 1, 20. 30, Мс. 5. 21, 10. 46, L. 8. 35, Acts 10.6. These examples of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ with acc., after verls not signifying "motum ad locum," seem caught from V. A. usage,
 dative, after verbs of same kind : Lev. 10. 12, 1 Kings 10. 19, 13. 24, Ezek. 33. 30. For $\pi \rho \frac{\partial}{s} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ 日. see M. 13. 56.
 arising from its constaut confusion with airé $\omega$, in N. T. : possibly to be traced to its representing ${ }^{\text {שָׁ }}$ which has both meanings, "interrogo" and "peto"; John 14. 16, 12. 21, M. 15. 23, L. 14.18. Other instances are L. 20.3, J. 16. 23 , M. 21. 24 : but these do not quite correspond

Non-C. 1. $\pi a \rho a ̀$ and $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau . ~ \theta a ́ \lambda . ~ 5 . ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o ~ \delta e ̀ ., ~ 10 . ~ \eta ̉ \rho . ~ a u ̉ . . . . ~$
 38. Є่ $\pi \grave{\imath} \tau$. $\pi$. 39. $\pi \epsilon \phi$.

SEPT. 29. àtoбт. т. $\delta$.

## Chapter V.


 41. $\tau a \lambda$. к. and тò кор.

to the phrase here. This confusion is more curious, as V. A. carefully distinguish the two meanings.
12. "So that they may see with their eyes open and not perceive, and hear with open ear, but not understand ; in case they may at some future time turn to God and their sins be forgiven." This passage confirms my suggestions at M. 13. 14.
21. "Is the lamp brought in?"
22. The Greek here is very different from M. 10. 26 and L. 12. 2, usually quoted as parallel, and canuot bear the meaning of our A. V. Perhaps we may render thus: "For a thing is not necessarily bidden, which may have escaped manifestation hitherto; nor was it made to be a mystery, but to come to light." Present concealment does not prevent future manifestation (e.g. vv. 21, 27).
23. $\boldsymbol{\omega} \tau \alpha$ áкоv́єเข] M. 11. 15.
 V. A. Rev. 14. 15, 16.
30. "To what are we to liken?" or "with what comparison are we
 verb, тароцнía, Ez. 18. 2. In each case

 originally $=$ "to be tired out," is used as correlative of Hebr. verbs meaning "rest and quiet after labour": e.g. חָּדֶ, it bears this sense also in Class. Authors.

Chap. V. 8. тò $\pi v$.] Hebraism for voc., infra 41, and 10. 47, and 14. 34, see note M. 1. 20, 11. 26, Luke 8. 54, 6. 20, Gal. 4. 6, Eph. 5. 22.

22, 23. See notes 4. 1 and M. 7. 23.
25. $\hat{e}^{v} \hat{\rho}$. $\alpha{ }^{i} \mu$.] Levit. 20. 18.
26. $\tau \alpha$ a $\pi a \rho$ " avi $\hat{\eta}_{s}$ ] 3. 21. Grimm: "quæ ab ejus latere erant, ideoque ei suppetebant." Unsatisfactorily. We may strain it to mean, "everything out of her purse."

## Chapter VI．

 23．35．ӧт兀．39．$\sigma v \mu \pi . \sigma$ ．40．$\pi \rho . \pi \rho$ ．48．ढ้̇ $\tau \hat{\varphi} \epsilon$ दُ．

Non－C．19．є̇veîðє．25．$\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \sigma \pi$ ．27．$\sigma \pi \epsilon \kappa$ ．31．ن́ $\mu$ ．uưt．



28．$\sigma \omega \theta$ ．］M．9．21，note ：for sense of＂healing．＂
34．ข่．єis єippivqv］L．7．50，8． 48 ；V．A．for 1．17，20．42．This use of $!$ ，and its literal rendering by $\epsilon$ is in V．A．

 à part，apart；Job 36．31，ר， 42．3，תמֶֻs，＂according to truth，＂i．e．＂righteousness＂（see M．11．29，
 cis кєvòv and cis $\mu$ árutov；Gal．2．2，cis кevòv，adverb：and Rom．12．3， єis tò $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o v \epsilon i v$ ，soberly，a very remarkable instance of the form，from containing the article，necessary to turn inf．into noun．The only classical authors cited by Grimm for this use of cis，are Diodorus 19． 9 and Heliodorus 10．30，єis кєvòv，Josephus，Philo，巴lian（ $\epsilon$ is rò $\pi a v \tau \epsilon \lambda \grave{\epsilon}_{s}$ ）．

41．Chaldee or Syriac．This is often cited in proof of our Lord speaking familiarly in Aramaic．Dr Roberts takes the oppo－ site view，suggesting，that though he usually spoke Greek，he used Aramaic words on this occasion for the child＇s sake；who，from her

 sympathies，was likely to know Greek．

Chap．V1．7．Súo סv́o］V．A．passim；Gen．7．9，15，， סío：and infra 39，$\sigma v \mu \pi o ́ \sigma \iota a ~ \sigma v \mu \pi o ́ \sigma \iota a$.

8，9．Syntax very irregular．
14．ai $\delta v v a ́ \mu \epsilon t s]$ M．7．22，note．
 against，pressed fiercely on，＂Herod．1．118，8．27，xó入ov ėvéxєוv $\tau \iota \nu$ ．

каï оі̉к $\left.\eta^{\delta} \delta \dot{v} v a \tau o\right]$ But could not：corresponding to what Ges．calls ＂vau adversativus＂$=$＂sed＂or＂et tamen，＂Gen．17．20，21，Jud．16．15： V．A．passim．Infra 7． 24.

27．$\sigma \pi \epsilon \kappa о v \lambda \alpha \dot{\tau} \omega \rho]$ Latinism ；possibly $=$ spiculator，$\delta o \rho v \phi o ́ \rho o s . ~$
31．aviroi］＂by yourselves＂：alone．
35．©̈рa $\pi$ o $\lambda \lambda \dot{\eta}]$ Very strange phrase and bafling analysis．
40．т $\quad$ arıai］Beds in gardens，squares，plots，rows．
49．$\epsilon_{0} \delta(\alpha \nu]$ M．3． 9 ，note ：for the various meanings of verb．

## S. MARK.

## Chapter VII.

Hebr. 2. кolvaîs. 5. $\pi \in \rho \iota \pi$. 22. ó $\phi \theta$. $\pi$ ov. 24. кaì ov̉k $\eta$ خे. Also 6. 15. 20.

Non-C. 3. $\pi v \gamma \mu \hat{\imath}$. 4. $\pi a \rho . \kappa \rho$. 19. каӨaן. 25. aù $\bar{\eta} \xi$. 26. ŋ̀рсіта. 3ў. а́коаі.

 and do not understand it: nor does any one seem to do so.

Chap. VII. 2. кolvaîs $\chi$.] кolvòs = $\beta$ é $\beta \eta$ доs "profanus," " defiled,
 of a cytov was "separation," we have it and its derivatives in V. A. for 7ㄱㄱ, Numb. 6. 12, Lev. 25. 5, 11, Zech. 7. 3. The exactly opposite is contained in kolvós. V. A. never use it in this sense ; but in Apocr.
 and Joseph. Ann. Iud. xil. 12, 13, kotvov̀s àv $\theta$ pétovs and kotvòv ßíov, "ex usu a nativis Grecis alieno," Grimm. Infra 15. See note M. 15. 11, Acts 10. 14, 28, and 11. 8, Rom. 14. 14.
3. $\pi v \gamma \mu \hat{\eta}]$ thoroughly: "fist-deep."
5. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau o \hat{\sigma} \tau \iota$ I find only one passage in V. A., 2 Kings 20.3, where this verb is used alone as here, to signify "go on," "conduct themselves," "live." But in N. T. there are many (e.g. Acts 21. 21): chiefly in S. John and S. Paul, Eph. 4. 1, Rom. 13. 13, 2 Cor. 5. 8, 2 J. 4, 6.
9. ка入 $\omega \mathrm{s} \dot{\alpha} \theta_{\epsilon \tau \epsilon \bar{\tau} \tau \epsilon]}$ "'tis well of you to set at naught."
10. Oav. $\tau \in \lambda.]=$ מin, Ex. 21. 17, same in V. A. See note, M. 15. 4, for какодоү $\omega$.
19. ка日apí\%v r. r. $\beta$.] Possibly, "clearing away all that has been eaten." But there may be some special force in ка $\theta$ ají $\epsilon \tau v$, as following kotvoûv so closely; expressing, as it does, the exactly opposite idea.
22. $\dot{\delta} \phi \theta . \pi o v$.] M. 20.15 note.
26. ${ }^{\text {E E }}$ E $\left.\lambda \eta \nu i{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right]=$ a Gentile, see Col. 3.11. The term ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ includes
 Him": mark confusion between this verb and airé $\omega$, supra 4. 11, note.
30. $\beta \in \beta \lambda \eta \mu$ év $\nu \nu] \quad \beta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \omega=$ "put," very common in N. T., from use in V. A., for pono, M. 26. 12, note, infra 33.
 3. 17.
35. ai áкоаi] "His ears": L. 7. 1, Acts 17. 20, Heb. 5. 11; all like this, plural with article. None of these seems quite parallel to the



Chapter VIII.
 31. àmò $\tau . \pi$.
 22. фє́ $\rho$. 30. є̇ $\pi \epsilon \tau i \mu$.

SEPT. 32. тa’’́p $\sigma$ ía.

## Chapter IX.


 strung, relaxed," as after fatigue. Frequent in V. A., to express weariness and exhaustion, for and for $\mathfrak{\square}$ ָ, Is. 13. 7, and other words of similar meaning. And it has Classical authority.
 in cujus superficie." Must not the latter condition always hold, for strict grammatical correctness? We may perhaps say here, "upon desert ground": but it is strained. But עy, to which $\dot{e} \pi i$ corresponds, in V. A., seems to mean "apud, juxta," without any necessary notion of "super" involved (see Gesenius) : and this has probably affected the use of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ in N. T. 1 Cor. 6. 1, M. 28. 14, note.
12. $\epsilon i \delta$.] $\epsilon i$ negandi, for a , in elliptical expressions: V. A. passim, 1 Sam. 15. 45, לín!

 11, quoted Hebr. 3. 11, 4.3.
15. Sıєवтé̀ $\lambda \epsilon \tau \circ$ ] 5. 43, 7. 36, 9.9, M. 16. 20, Acts 15. 24, Hebr. 12. 20. This middle voice is found in V. A. several times; chiefly in Ez. : for Hiph. הִחְ monuit. Grimm names Aristotle and Polybius as using it.
$\left.\beta \lambda \epsilon^{\pi} \epsilon \tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha}^{\circ} \dot{\circ}\right]$ 12. 38: these are the only instances of this form, which appears to be Hebraic.
20. "Fragment-fillings of how many baskets?"
30. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \tau i \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu]$ "sensu mitiore, severius admoneo=charge strictly": never so used, apparently, "apud Grecos" (to use Grimm's term for Classical writers) nor in V. A.
 Col. 2. 15; LXX. Lev. 26. 13 for קוֹp "with a high hand": rather " erecto corpore."

Chap. IX. 11. This use of ö $\tau \iota$ here and verse 28 is very curious and unique. Are there not two Questions 1 the first, ö ö $\lambda^{\lambda}$ '́rovocv... : with
 43. єiऽ $\tau . \gamma$. 47. 耳є́є $\nu$. тồ $\pi$. 50. Є̉ $\nu$ тív.
 35. є́фต่ข. 42. кало́ข... $\beta$ є́ $\beta \lambda \eta \tau а \iota$.

SEPT. 39. какодоб.

## Chapter X.

Herr. 2. $\epsilon i \notin \xi ้ \xi \in \sigma \tau \iota$, M. 12. 10, note. 8. $\notin \sigma$. oi $\delta$. $\epsilon i s$, M. 2. 6,
 46. т. т. о. 47. ó vi. $\Delta$. 51. ${ }^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{P} a \beta$.
 33. катакр. $\theta a \nu$. 38. тí. 42. oi бок. ar $\rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$. 45. $\mathfrak{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \delta$. 49. єitтє $\phi$.

## Chapter XI.

 $\epsilon \in \nu \pi . \epsilon$.

Sept. 16. $\eta ้ \phi \iota \epsilon$ in sense of permitting: L. 18. 16.
its answer, 'H $\lambda i \alpha a s \ldots \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha$ : the second, $\kappa \alpha i ̂ \pi \omega \hat{s} . .$. , answered verse 13.

19. $\pi \rho \frac{1}{2} \dot{v} \mu a ̂ s$ er.].] See notes M. 13. 56 and 2 Th. 3. 10: and compare infra 11. 4.
42. Curious use of Tenses : baffling explanation.
44. Quotation from LXX. Is. 66. 24, almost identical. See notes M. 5. 22, 29 , for $\gamma$ 'єєvav $\quad$ тov̂ $\pi$. .

Chap. X. 24. $\pi \epsilon \pi o t \theta$.] with dative: see note M. 27. 43, and supra, cap. 1. 15.
33. катакр. aủròv $\theta \alpha \nu a ́ \tau \varphi]$ a most strange construction, inexplicable by any ordinary rules of Greek syntax.
 qua agnoscuntur imperatores": but this is a very strained interpretation. It is very difficult to unravel the real force of the words.
46. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha]$ with acc., for לקֶ near : 4. 1, note.
49. Eire $a v ., \phi \omega v \eta \theta$.] a thoroughly ungrammatical and unclassical phrase: L. 19. 15, 2 Cor. 4. 6.

Chap. XI. 10. $\dot{\cos \alpha \nu v a ̀ ~ e ́ v i v ~} \tau$ vi 千.] M. 21. 10, note.
22. nioviv ©.] The expression defies analysis: we see what it means, but cannot define how it gets the meaning.

## Chapter XII.

Hebr. 1. év $\pi$. 6. 29. öтı. 10. єis к. ү. 14. $\beta \lambda$. єis $\pi \rho$.


 нía.

SEPT. 12. 19. 20. áфí $\mu \iota$, in sense of "leave," M. 18. 12, note. 44. $\beta$ iov $=$ means of living, L. 15.13 , note.

Chap. XII. 10, 11. See notes, M. 2. 6, 21. 42 : the passage is an exact quotation from V. A. The feminine aṽr $\eta$ is literal rendering of the Hebr. feminine תאir.
12. $\pi$ pòs aúrov̀s] "with a view to them," "in reference to them": Rom. 10. 21.
 and $\lambda$ óyov. This use of $\lambda$ óyos, sing. where we should expect plural, is curious.
14. '̇ं $\pi^{\prime} \dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta$ cias $]$ "on the side of truth." Or perhaps a strict

 $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \sigma \sigma \omega \mathrm{~s}$ LXX. in adverbial sense: see infra 32. And so $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime} \dot{a} \lambda$.

 note M. 19. 18.
36. M. 22. 43 has $\varepsilon \in \nu \nu \varepsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \mu a \tau \iota=$ "by inspiration." Both forms are intensely Hebraic and furnish a good illustration of the perplexities that confront and bewilder any Student of the Sacred Text, acquainted with Classical Greek only, if he is truthfully and honestly striving to understand what he has before him : and not merely to turn it into English. If he is content with the bald, literal translation of our E. V., "in spirit," or "by the Holy Ghost," corresponding to the Vulgate, "in spiritu" and "in spiritu sancto," on the plea that he fully understands what the phrases severally mean, and does not need any exact critical investigation of the original expression: we must deplore the introduction of such a spirit into so serious an enquiry. The first step towards any profitable study of G. T., is to divest oneself absolutely of all old memories of the familiar English Version, and of the associations popularly connected with its well remembered phraseology: and then to endeavour, without any à priori bias, to discover the real meaning. I need not say that "in spirit," whatever sense may have been popularly connected with it in this particular passage, is widely different from the

## Chapter XIII.

Hebr. 3. 9. 16. єis т. ö. 14. ßס. т. єं $\rho$. M. 24. 15, note, 20. oủ... $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \quad \sigma$. 22. $\delta \omega \dot{\sigma} . \sigma \eta \mu$. 25. $\delta v v a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota \varsigma$.

Sept. 3. 9. 16. $\epsilon i S=a t$, or near: notes M. 13. 56, 26.18.


## Chapter XIV.


 62. тท̂s $\delta$. 64. eै $\nu$ o $\chi$ ò $\theta$. notes M. 5. 22, 1 Cor. 11. 27, Heb. 2.15.



 ${ }_{\epsilon} \beta$. 72. ${ }^{\prime} \pi \iota \beta$.

SEPT. 25. үєขvŋ́ $\mu$. 50. áфévtes.



Chap. XIII. 19. $\dot{a}^{\prime} \pi^{\prime} \dot{a} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s \kappa \tau$.] The omission of article is simply Hebraic in its irregularity: and in this particular context may possibly be due to the opening words of Genesis. Read carefully J. 1. 1, note.

1 20. Observe Hebr. idiom: 内ל-לָּ, note, M. 24. 22, L. 1. 38, Apoc. 21. 27.
25. ai $\delta v v^{\prime} \mu \epsilon$ ts...] L. 21. 26, note. The singular form is more frequent in V. A. as the equivalent of $\mathfrak{N T}$
27. a' $\pi^{\prime}$ äкроv] Note, M. 24. 31.
32. $\epsilon i \mu \eta$ '] but, on the contrary : M. 24. 36, L. 4. 26, 27, Gal. 2. 16.

Chap. XIV. 4. àmé $\left.\lambda_{\epsilon}{ }^{2}\right]$ M. 26. 8, note.
41. ané $\chi \in 1]$ W. and W. quote Herod. 3. 142 as using ajé́ $\chi \in \iota$ for "licet." Schl. gives Anacr, Ode 28.33. This is the only instance in N. T. Not found in V. A.
43. $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu a x$.] M. 24. 31, note.
54. 7ik in Hebrew occasionally means fire, Is. 44. 16, 47. 14, where V. A. has $\pi \hat{v} p$ : though almost always elsewhere $\phi \hat{\omega} s$. Hence $\phi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ may possibly have come to be taken in the same double sense as lis, for which it so generally stands.
62. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \delta v v$.] Note, M. 26. 64.
65. $\left.{ }^{*} \beta a \lambda \lambda o \nu\right]$ The only instance of this meaning of verb in N.T.; none occurs in V. A.
72. $\grave{\epsilon} \pi<\beta a \lambda \omega \nu$ ] Some refer this to "covering uṕ his face."

## Chapter XV.

Hebr. 21. à $\gamma \gamma a \rho$. 34. 'O ©....cis $\tau i$.
Non-C. 1. $\sigma \nu \mu \beta$. $\pi$. 11. à $\nu \in ́ \sigma . ~ 15 . ~ \tau o ̀ ~ i к . ~ \pi . ~ 22 . ~ \phi є ́ \rho . ~ 36 . ~$ eis. 47. $\pi$ oû.

## Chapter XVI.


Non-C. 6. ǐ $\delta$ e.

Chap. XV. 1. èmi tò $\left.\pi \rho \omega^{\grave{\prime}}\right]$ ] 59. 17.
2. ov̀ $\lambda$ '́ $\gamma \epsilon \iota]$ M. 26. 25, note.
15. $i \kappa . \pi$.] satisfacere; suam dare satisfactionem. A. 17. 9 .
34. ó ©eós...tis $\tau i$ ] M. 1. 20, 27, 46, notes: look out examples given there and supra Mc. 5. 8. Eis $\tau i$ is simply the literal rendering of לָׁn why: Hebrew not Greek.
47. दُध $\theta \in \dot{\omega} \rho$. $\pi$ ov̂ $\tau i \theta$.] For non-sequence of Tenses, past followed by present, infra 16. 1, so common in N. T., see M. 1. 22, note.

Chap. XVI. 5. V. A. always render 'יָ py plural: generally $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa$


## S. L UKE.

## Chapter I.

Hebr. 5. $̇ \nu \tau$ т. $\dot{\eta} \mu$. 6. торєvó $\mu$. 7. 18. $\pi \rho \circ \beta$. $̇ \nu \tau$ т. $\grave{\eta}$. av̀. 15.



 46. 61.
 54. $\mu \nu \eta \sigma$. 57. є่ є่́ $\nu \nu$.

SEPT. 17. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \dot{\pi}$. for $\not{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$, see 5. 19 for contrary use. 20. à $\nu \theta^{\circ} \dot{\propto} \nu$. 36. $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \phi$.

Chap. I. 5. èv raîs $\dot{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mu}^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{\rho}$ aıs] M. 2. 1, note. See Esther 1. 1, where, to avoid the non-Hellenic ${ }^{\dot{\eta} \mu} \epsilon^{\prime} \rho a \iota$, V. A. puts $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i ́ a$; almost as bad; and no article, as if to correspond with Hebrew 'בִּימ.
7. $\pi \rho \circ \beta$. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau . \dot{\eta} \mu$. av̉rติข] Genesis 24. 1, in V. A. $\pi \rho \circ \beta \in \beta \eta \kappa \omega$ 's $\eta_{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu$.
17. ėvómtov instead of ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$, M. 5. 16.
 the primary, original meaning is "wherefore"; and not "because."
32. viós viq.] . Name of God; 4. 21, 29, 31. The Hebrew jibit is very common as an adjective combined with אֵ. Infra 6. 35.
34. V. A. always give this for יָּרע אִישׁ, Gen. 4. 1, 19. 8, Numb. 31. 17.
36. In V. A. $\sigma v \lambda \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \omega=$ concipio : but not in Classical Authors.
78. èv ois] Hebr. for $\stackrel{7}{\square}$, causce.
79. $\sigma \kappa<\underset{q}{a}$ Oavárov] M. 4. 16, note.

## Chapter II.


 38. $\dot{\nu} \theta \theta \omega$. Also 27. 29. 36.

Non-C. 26. 45. $\mu \grave{\eta}$ í. 37. ขv́кта к. $\dot{\eta} \mu$.

## Chapter III.

Hebr. 5. 16. 20. каі̀ = öть.
Non-C. 14. ò $\psi$. 23. à $\rho \chi$.
Sept. 7. $\gamma \in \nu \nu$. є่ $\chi$. 16. ó i $\sigma \chi$.

## Chapter IV.

 38. ク’рш́т. Mc. 4. 10, note. Also 1. 7. 12. 19. 21. 32. 41. 43.

Chap. II. 4. In V. A. $\pi$ atplà everywhere = Father's house."
10. "All the people": i.e. the Jews.
14. ̇̇viv.] (See M. 21. 9, note.) V. A. for "in Heaven": Ps. 92. 9, binop is an epithet of Jehovah. V. A. бv̀ סè ü४ıбтоs, K.
cỉdoкía] Note, M. 11. 26. Can it mean here, "the power of pleasing God"; "acceptance with God"?
15. $\hat{\rho} \hat{\eta} \mu a]=7 \bar{T} \frac{T}{T}=$ thing, passim : V. A. Gen. 15. 1.
 ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda \eta_{\eta} \theta \eta$.
34. Double notion of a stone set up for (1) a Stumbling Block, an object to knock against and fall over, and (2) a Sign.
38. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \omega \mu$.] M. 11. 25, note.
41. Dative of time when, "point of time": very rare in N. T. Mk. 1. 21, note.

Chap. III. 5. є̈́тац єंs] $=\gamma \epsilon \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota=$ ? have here a quotation from memory : not exactly agreeing with V. A.
7. r. èkr. ö. $\beta_{a \pi \tau}$.] Irregular construction : common both in Hebrew and V. A.
16. ioxvp.] Note, M. 3. 11; a word not forcible enough for the idea, to our notions, as derived from its Classical use.
21. ̇̇v $\tau \oplus \oplus \nmid \beta$.] This is almost, but not quite, equivalent to gen. absolute. каi 'I. $\beta$.] Here кaì is not " and" but "also."



Non－C．13．à $\chi$ ．к．14．ка日’ ő．т．$\pi$ ．33．ф．$\mu \in \gamma$ ．Also 16．34．


## Chapter V．

Hebr．1．12．є́ $\gamma . \ldots$ ．．．к．av̉．$\grave{\eta} \nu$ ．3．ウ’рผ́т．17．$\delta$ ．Kvp． 26.36. öт८．34．vi．т．$\nu v \mu \phi$ ．



## Chapter VI．

 15．Z $\eta \lambda$ ．20．oi $\pi \tau \omega \chi o i$, ，see note，M．5．3．35．v．т．vi千．supia 1． 32 and M．21．9，notes．

Non－C．7．$\pi a \rho \epsilon \tau . ~ i ̀ \nu a ~ \epsilon ั ้ \rho \omega \sigma \iota . ~ 12 . ~ \epsilon ่ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho . \tau o \hat{v} \Theta .15$.
 43．ov่к є่．．．．тоเ๐ข̂ข．

12．This passage shows clearly that ö $\boldsymbol{\tau} \iota$ is not used for Quotations alone，nor always ：for in v .10 it forms part of V．A．text：whereas， in the Quotation in v．11，there is no $\ddot{o}^{\circ} \tau \iota$ in V．A．

13．㸚 $\kappa$ к．］＂till opportunity offered．＂
14．Curious use of gen．with кatà in this sense：whence obtained？
18．In Gen．22．16，יַשָ is，in V．A．，ov єiveкєv，and is equivalent to $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta^{\prime}{ }_{\omega}{ }^{\circ} v$, L．1．20，19．44，being its literal basis．The latter is good Greek，the former bad：but to the V．A．Translators they seemed，appa－ rently，identical．$\pi \tau \omega \chi$ òs］M．5．3，note．Our English Version gives ＂meek＂in this passage of Isaiah．

23．$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta$ ．］$=$ proverb here：as V．A． 1 Sam，10．12，Ez．18． 2.
Chap．V．34．тоıŋ̂бal v．］M．8．25，J．6．10，Acts 17．26．Whence is this use of $\pi 0 \in \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ derived？It suits English idiom exactly，and so does not startle us，but it is not Greek：I trace it in V．A．，but not often，e．g．Ps．104．32，Jerem．32．23，Job 5．18，gen．for Hiphil，but it is not a Hebrew idiom．

35．＂But a time will come：and，when the Bridegroom shall have been taken from them，then shall they fast．＂

Chap．VI．5．A strong instance for öt in asseveration：M．7．23， note，Acts 28． 25.

20．Nom．plural for Voc．is rare．
24．dं $\pi \dot{\prime} \chi \omega$ seems to carry notion of sufficiency，M．6：2，5．In Gen． 43．23，， it may mean．

32． 1 Pet．2．19，тои̂то $\chi^{a} \rho เ s ~ \pi \alpha \rho \grave{~ © ~ © ~} \subset \hat{\psi}$ ．

## Chapter VII.

Hebr. 1. єis т. à. Mc. 7. 35, note. 4. 16. őt兀. 11. є́ $\gamma \in ́ v . . .$.

 note. 50. $\pi \circ \rho$. $\epsilon i \varsigma \epsilon i \rho \eta \eta^{2} \eta \nu$ M. 5. 34, note.

 Past indic. followed by Subj. infra 9. 45. 37. $\ddot{\eta} \tau \iota \varsigma$. 39. тотат $\dot{\eta}$. 40. ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \chi \omega \sigma$. $\tau \iota \epsilon i \pi$. 42. $\mu$ iो for ov.

## Chapter VIII.


 Also 48. 49. 54, Mc. 5. 8.

Non-C. 4. т̂̂̀ к. $\pi$. 5. ô $\mu$ èv. 13. трòs каıрò̀. 17. каі̀ $\epsilon i s \phi . \epsilon_{6} \quad 27 . \epsilon \in \kappa \chi$ i. 29. $\pi \cdot \gamma \cdot \chi \rho$.

## Chapter IX.



 one of the meanings of which is leprosy (Lev. 13. 3), and elsewhere macula, ncevus. Hence possibly our English Translators, Ps. 90. 10, render $\mu$. by "plague": and so the sense of "disease" may have become connected with it, as in Mc. 3.10,5.29, aud here.

32 . $\pi$. тoîs каӨ.] For this construction, see supra 3.7.
45. Mark emphasis in катаф., M. 26. 48.

Chap. VIII. 10. Compare M. 13. 14, Mc. 4. 12. This passage of S. Luke is much the plainest and clearest.
21. $\pi$ otềv 入óyov] A very singular usage.
 and this is common in Apocrypha, 1 Macc. 13. 11, סívaurv iкav̀̀r, see Xenophon Cyrop. 2. 1. 8, Anab. 4. 8. 18, Polyb. Hist. 2. 12. As we use "plenty" and "enough," in sense of "many." Infra 23. 8, 9.
 construction: involving Hebraisms and non-classical anomalies.
$\kappa a i ̀ ~ a v ̉$. and $\tau o \hat{v} \pi \rho \rho$. M. 2. 6, note. 52. $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \pi \rho$. Mc. 1. 2. 61. тoîs cis tò̀ oî.


 т. к. 57. ảnє́є $\rho$. 60. ä $\phi \in \varsigma$.

## Chapter X.

Hebr. 2. oùv. 10. єis т. $\pi$. 13. ai $\delta$. 21. vaì... $\sigma o v . ~ 27$. à $\gamma a \pi \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$, M. 6. $33,19.18$, notes. 37. ó $\pi$. є́. $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ á. Also 1. 6. 17. 38.
 41. $\tau v \rho \beta a ́ \zeta \eta$ Latinism.

 opposite of what took place, and limits the overshadowing by the cloud to our Lord and Moses and Elias. It is, of course, an instance of ungrammatical construction.
36. $\left.\epsilon v \tau \hat{\tau} \gamma^{\epsilon \epsilon \dot{\nu}}.\right]$ "When the voice had past"; and yet Vulg. give "dum fieret vox."
39. $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \grave{a}$ a.] Notes, M. 24. 31, Mc. 14. 43, Acts 2. 28.
 21. 2, and

Chap. X. 2. oviv in V. A. often stands for !, because ! often means "therefore": thus oviv and кai are both equivalents of !: can they in consequence have come to be used one for the other? It looks so here.
6. viòs єip.] Common Hebr. idiom 1 Kings 1. 52, vioòs $\delta v v a ́ \mu \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~S}$ V. A. ; Eph. 2. 2, viòs ám $\epsilon \theta \epsilon i ́ a s, 1$ Pet. 1. 14, тє́кга ітжакоэ̂s, M. 8. 12, note.

10. $\pi \lambda a \tau \epsilon i a]$ V. A. always for בint "wide place," or "street."
19. Compare Ps. 91. 13, "Thou shalt go upon the adder..."
21. vaì...ev̇ðoкía, M. 11. 26, note.
27. This future is most intensely Hebraic and not Greek, which has no such force of command connected with its future tense, as the Hebrew and English have (in shall). M. 5. 48, 19. 18, notes.



## Chapter XI.

Hebr. 4. ó ó $\epsilon$ ì. 7. єis т. к. é. M. 13. 56, J. 1. 1, notes. 22. ' $\phi \phi^{\prime} \eta$ ' $่ \pi \epsilon \pi$. 32. єis тò $\kappa$. Also 20.



SEPT. 34. $\dot{a} \pi \lambda \mathrm{o} \hat{v}_{\mathrm{s}}, \mathrm{M} .6 .22$, note.

## Chapter XII.

 31. $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \epsilon \theta$. 32. то̀ $\mu$. $\pi$. voc. 56. о" $\tau \iota$, M. 7. 23, note.



Chap. XI. 4. ó óíd.] M. 6. 12, note.
$5-8$ and 11. Utterly irregular construction.
20. év $\delta$.] M. 3. 11, note, infra 14. 31. See note, L. 22. 49, Apoc. 13. 10, 19. 15. All palpable Hebraisms, adopted, no doubt, insensibly and unconsciously into the Greek of our Lord's time, from the familiar phraseology of V. A., in which is almost always rendered literally by $\dot{\epsilon} v$. And just as V. A. followed Hebr. idiom, and N. T. authors followed V. A., so the Vulgate Translation has kept in the same track, and constantly puts in for $\bar{\epsilon} v$ in passages similar to the one before us, against Latin idiom, and the clear sense : e.g. here ; "in digito Dei"; infra 22. 49, "si percutimus in gladio?" 1 Cor. 4. 21, "in virga veniam ad vos?" These instances of the way in which long and intimate acquaintance with V. A. and N. T. moulded the expressions of the Latin Translators, men of education and learning, illustrate and confirm the probability of the argument for referring all similar violations of Greek Idiom in N. T. to a Hebrew source. And it is remarkable how the phraseology of the Vulgate has affected the style even of the most learned Latin Fathers: e.g. S. Ambrose (De fide I. v. 42), "Non in dialectica complacuit Deo salvum facere populum suum." Just as our own popular theological terminology is coloured throughout by the forms of expression prevalent in our Authorised Version.
41. $\tau \grave{a}$ évóv $\alpha$ ] A remarkable phrase: not used, apparently, in Classical authors, and not found in V. A.

Chap. XII. 8. M. 10. 32, note. Trommius gives no instance of

29. "Be not unsettled and worried."
31. $\tau . \pi$. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \epsilon$. v.] A very difficult and important passage. M. 6. 33, note ; infra 20.11 .
 5. 19 .

## Chapter XIII.

Hebr. 4. ó óє $\lambda$. supra 11. 4. 9. єis tò $\mu$. 27. є́ $\rho \gamma$. т. ć. 33. тoрev́. Also 19. 23.

Non-C. 1. 31. $\dot{\epsilon} v \dot{a} . \tau$. к. 2. $\delta о к . ~ 11 . ~ \mu \grave{\eta} . ~ 25 . ~ a ̀ \phi ’ ~ o ̛ ̉ . ~$

## Chapter XIV.

Hebr. 1. каі̀ є́ $\gamma . \ldots$ каi. 31. є́v ס. $\chi$. M. 3 11, Jude 14, notes. 34. $\mu \omega \rho . \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau$ т. $\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau$. M. 5. 13, note. 35. є่ $\chi$. .iтa á. Also 2. 3. 30.
 т. т. and $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ a. 10. àváтє
 28. $\psi \eta \phi$.
42. M. 24. 45, $\theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon \dot{\prime} \alpha=\theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon$ viovt ${ }^{2}$. Herod. 5. 21.
53. Change of case after $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$, is utterly inexplicable.
58. סòs $\mathfrak{\epsilon} p \gamma$.] Probably a Latinism: "da operam."

Chap. XIII. 23. Literal translation of aṣ interrogative, 14. 3, copied literally in Vulgate: "si pauci sunt" and "si licet sabb. curare," and 22. 49, "si percutimus?"
25. Strange confusion of tenses and moods. Perhaps каì àmокр. may be a Hebraism : "when once he has shut...then shall he answer."
27. 2 Macc. 3. 6, é $\gamma \gamma$. $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ avopias: the word is not found in V. A.
28. $\delta \kappa \lambda \ldots$...] M. 8. 12, note.
29. The kingdom of Heaven spoken of as a Feast: as Is. 25. 6.
33. торє́v.] "go on my way": as $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a t \epsilon i v$ in same sense.
34. The mixture of Persons in this verse is very perplexing.

Chap. XIV. 27. $\beta$. r. $\sigma \tau$.] It may be doubted whether the meaning of this expression is generally analysed and ascertained. It is assumed to be equivalent to "mortification," "self-denial," "crucifying the flesh"; and no doubt it implies this, though in a secondary not a primary sense. How then is the second idea involved in the first, and deducible from it? Because the man condemned to be crucified had to carry his cross to the place of execution : hence "to carry a cross" was an open sign, a demonstration, that some one was to be put to death : a symbol and emblem of death to be inflicted. Hence "to take up and carry one's own cross" voluntarily, came metaphorically to signify the willing-

## Chapter XV.

Hebr. 16. átò $\tau$. к. 18. єís т. oú. к. є́. $\sigma . \quad$ Also 2. 27.
 1. 29.

## Chapter XVI.

Hebr. 8. $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ oi. $\tau$. à., oi vi. $\tau$. ai. $\tau$., and $\dot{v} \pi \grave{\varrho} \rho \ldots \gamma \epsilon \nu \in \dot{a} \nu . ~ 9$.


Non-C. 2. $\phi \omega \nu$. av่. 14. $\epsilon^{\prime} \xi \in \mu$. 20. $\epsilon \beta^{\prime} \in \lambda$. 24. $\beta$ á $\left.\psi!\right] .$. ídaтo:.
ness "to kill something deserving of death," "to mortify the evil deeds of the sinful body," "to crucify the flesh," and "to exhibit and avow openly the intention to do this :" and so has passed into the common sense of "a symbol of mortification," "an open profession of self-sacrifice and self-denial."

Chap. XV. 12. Bios] = "facultates vivendi, opes," Mc. 12. 44, L. 8. 43. V. A. Cant. 8. 7. The same sense seems common in Apocrypha.
$\left.\dot{\epsilon} \pi \_\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda o v\right]$ This phrase has classical authority, being found in Herodotus and Demosthenes, and occurs in Diodorus 14. 17, and Polybius. There are some instances in Apocrypha, Tob. 6. 11, 1 Mact. 10. 30, 2 Macc. 3. 3, $9.16:$ but none in V. A.
16. ámò $\tau$. к.] For this use of ámò for $\mathfrak{i}$ expressing cause or instrument, see M. 7. 16 and Heb. 5. 7, notes.

Chap. XVI. 4. "That people may receive me," "qu'on m'admette": so infra, 9 , "that there may be some one to receive you": i.e. "that you may be received."
6. He gave them the bills, drawn out by himself in the correct amounts, and allowed them to alter the figures.
8. $\dot{\delta}$ к.] i.e. "the rich man, his master." Our Lord's remarks on the parable begin at "õt oi vioi..."
9. M. 11. 19, note. Here údıкòs = $\psi \epsilon v \delta \dot{\eta} s$, unreal, unreliable;
 claimed to be true Christ, by the Holy Spirit," at his Baptism. S. John 3. 20, 7. 18.
 M. 8. 6 .
22. кó入тov] In 23, кó入тоьs.
26. є́ $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota \kappa \tau \alpha l]$ Used in much the same sense as $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \in \omega \mu \alpha$ in Gen. 1. for

## Chapter XVII.

 $\beta a \sigma . \tau o \hat{v} \Theta$. M. 3. 2, note.



 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i ̀ t o ̀ a ̀ v$. and $\dot{a} \phi \epsilon \theta$.

## Chapter XVIII.

Hebr. 6. ó ко. т. à. 43. є̌ $\delta \omega \kappa$. aí. Also 11. 13. Nomin.
 $\pi \rho$. 14. $\pi a \rho$ ' є. 15. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma . .$. .iva ä. 24. $\pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma \delta$. 31. $\gamma \in \gamma \rho \ldots . . . \hat{\omega}$ vi. 39. $\pi \rho \circ{ }^{\prime} \gamma$. є่ $\pi \epsilon \tau$. il. $\sigma \iota \omega \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \eta$.

SEpt. 16. äфєтє.
Chap. XVII. 1. àvevócктòv] (from èv $v \delta_{\chi}^{\chi} \chi$ єтal) $=$ "an impossible thing," "an impossibility": "there is an impossibility of scandials not coming." Acts 3. 12 affords an almost parallel instance of a verbal adjective passing into and used as a Substantive.
3. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma$. éavi.] Acts 5. 35. V. A. render thus Ex. 10. 28. Infra 20. 46, M. 6. 1.
4. $\dot{\alpha} \phi . \alpha \dot{\jmath} \tau \hat{\psi}$ ] Fut. for Imper., M. 5. 48, 19. 18. Apoc. 4. 10.
 aủvŋ̀v $\pi$ ó $\rho \nu \eta \nu$ єival.
21. éviòs] V. A for for Plural of $\overline{2}$ ?, Ps. 102. 1, Is. 16. 11, as if it were equivalent, in their usage, to "in the midst of," as well as "inside."
29. $\quad{ }^{*} \beta \rho . \pi$.] = "on pleuvoit."
33. $\xi \omega \mathrm{F}$.] Acts 7. 19. The only two instances in N. T. There are several in V . A. of the word in this sense "to keep alive," as equivalent to the Pihel or Hiphil of $\underset{\Gamma}{T M}$, Ex. 1. 17, Judges 8. 19, 1 Kings 2. 6, 27. 9. It is probably peculiar to V. A.
35. è $\overline{\pi i}$ тò av̉.] V.A. for 1

Chap. XVIII. 16. ä $\phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon]=$ "suffer, permit," very common use of verb in V. A. : the literal rendering of הּקרֶּ ה, Hiphil of 2 Kings

31. $\gamma \in \gamma \rho$. $\tau \hat{\uparrow}$ viị̂] A very unusual form in ordinary Greek.
33. $\tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu$.] Dative of "time when": unusual in N. T. M. 12.1, note: more frequent in L. than in the other Evangelists, as the other cognate forms ; infra 20.47 , note.


## Chapter XIX.

 38. $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \dot{v} \psi$. 43. $\ddot{\eta} \xi$. $\grave{\eta} \ldots \kappa a i$. Also 7. 9. 42.

Non-C. 2. каì á....к. ovंт. 3. í. тis є. $\tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\eta} \lambda . \mu$. dat. of part.
 Mc. 10. 49, note. 20. $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \sigma$. Latinism. 23. $\neq \epsilon \not \pi \rho$. 48. тò $\tau \ell \pi$.

Sept. 44. $a^{\nu} \nu \theta^{\circ} \dot{\omega} \nu$, supra 1. 20, note.

## Chapter XX.

Hebr. 3. ẽva $\lambda$. 11. $\pi \rho . \pi$. M. 6. 33. 21. $\lambda a \mu \beta$. $\pi \rho$. and
 supra 17. 3. Also 2. 5. 8. 17.

Non-C. 7. $\mu \dot{\eta}$. 9. $\chi \rho$. iк. 19. 光 $\nu$ aúv. т. ${ }^{\omega}$.


Chap. XIX. 3. $\tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\eta} \lambda . \mu$. $\mu \rho o ̀ s]$ Dat. of part., where we should expect acc. J. 13. 21, note.
 grammatical in Greek, is no doubt due to the frequent occurrence of the same construction in Hebrew, of which I have elsewhere given examples, e.g. infra 21. 1. In cíp. ėv oùp. we have a curious variation from the Angels' Song, "Peace on Earth." They greeted Him as Messiah in words of Ps. 118. 26 : see M. 21. 9, note.
48. rò $\tau^{i} \pi$.] "The what-to-do"; " the course to take."

Chap. XX. 17. $\kappa \epsilon \phi . \gamma \omega v$.] See M. 21. 42, for explanation of this metaphor.
21. $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta . \pi \rho$. is the exact literal rendering of 15, V. A. ov̉ $\lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \psi \eta \pi \rho^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \pi \pi \nu \quad \pi \tau \omega \chi \circ \hat{v}$. Fut. prohibitive with ov̉ not Greek but Hebraic. M. 19. 18, note.
27. $\tau v \epsilon \epsilon . . . o i]$ "some," viz. "those who denied..."

35. oi кar.... $v$. .] These words may possibly be quoted as justifying the notion of the aunihilation of the wicked and the resurrection to life of the good alone.
38. ©. оикк...] = "There is no God of dead people, but of living people: for all are alive for Him" : to do Him service, as God and King, people must be alive.
47. $\pi \rho \rho \phi$. ] Dative of "cause or manner": very rare generally in N. T. (M. 26. 4, Mc. 2. 8, notes), though more frequent in S. Luke than any other writer : I have noted it 18 times in his Gospel, and

## Chapter XXI.


 34. 37.

Non-C. 11. фóß. 14. $\theta$. єis т. к. 24. ă $\chi$ рє $\pi$. 30. à ${ }^{\prime}$ é. 34. $\pi \rho$. éavt. Also 27. 38.

## Chapter XXII.

Hebr. 1. $\pi a ́ \sigma \chi a$. 15. èmıӨ. є́. 30. $\delta \omega \dot{\delta}$. ф. 49. єí $\pi . \dot{\epsilon} \nu \mu$. 53. $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \xi \xi . \tau . \sigma \kappa$.

Non-C. 2. 4. тò $\pi . a \dot{u}$. 6. $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \omega \mu$. 16. 18. Subj. without ä $\nu$.
 $\tau$. $\nu$.


17 in the Acts. In S. Matthew it occurs, so far as I have marked, only 8 times, in S. Mark 12 : in all S. Paul's epistles, under 50 times. The usual substitute for this form, so universal in Classical Authors, is $\dot{\epsilon} v$ with dative, an evident Hebraism: M. 3. 11, note.

Chap. XXI. 1. $\tau$ oùs $\beta \ldots \pi \lambda$.] Curious construction: similar to 19. 38.
21. J. 4. 35, James 5. 4, have both, as here, plural of $\chi$ ćpa, in sense of field: a signification belonging to occasionally, for which V. A. generally give $\chi$ 由́pa. Here it need not bear that meaning, but may mean "heathen lands," "foreign lands." It has no Classical authority.
26. Sv́vapis here seems equivalent to "forces" in the physical meaning, such as control the movements of what we call "the heavenly bodies": e.g. gravitation, attraction, \&c.

Chap. XXII. 19. єis $\tau$. $\dot{\epsilon} \mu$. àvá $\mu v$.] "as my memorial, the memorial I desire": or, in active sense, "my appointed way of reminding my Father." For тoteite see M. 26. 18, note.
25. кvp.] "lord it over them": M. 20. 25, note.
30. $\left.\tau \dot{a} s \delta \omega^{\prime} \delta . \phi \bar{u} \lambda.\right]$ "the different divisions and portions of my people." The diocese, in the Church, is the equivalent of the Tribe among God's ancient People. кpivetv, in Hebrew sense, "to govern."
49. $\pi a \tau$. غ̇v $\mu \alpha \times \alpha i \rho a]$ This phrase is very frequent in V. A. as literal translation of הִּקָּה בְֶׁר, 2 Kings 19. 37, 2 Chr. 29. 9, Josh. 19. 47, Jerem. 26. 23, and a hundred other places. And it was consequently, no doubt, (see Apoc. 2. 16, 11. 7,) in common use in our Lord's

## Chapter XXIII.

 43. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \pi$. Also 5. 9 .

Non-C. 3. $\sigma \grave{v} \lambda \in ́ \gamma$. M. 26. 25. 5. ¿̇vaб. 8. ‘́ $\xi$ iк. supra 8. 27.



## Chapter XXIV.

 то̂̂ $\pi$. є่ $\pi \grave{\text {, M. M. 2. 6, note. 34. óть emphatic, M. 7. 23, note. } 42 . ~}$



day. How familiar it was to the Authors of V. A. may be inferred by

 For $\epsilon i$ interrogative, see note, M. 12. 10.

Chap. XXIII. 2. X $\rho$. $\beta$.] King Messiah.
 $\theta$ évi $\mu$ ov.
 the use of $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ is Hebraic.
54. $\dot{\eta} \mu$. парабкєv ${ }^{\prime}$ ] "The Preparation day": in J. 19. 42 it is called $\dot{\eta} \pi$. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Iovঠ., showing how completely it was looked on as a day of fixed and regular Jewish observance. $\sigma a \beta \beta$. $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \phi$.] "The sabbath was dawning": and yet it began at Sunset. The unnaturalness of their division of the day and its arbitrary commencement, is shown strikingly by this use of $\mathfrak{\epsilon \pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \in \boldsymbol{\phi} \phi$.

Chap. XXIV. 25. $\pi \iota \sigma \tau . \dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\imath} \pi$.] See M. 27. 43, note, Mc. 10. 24. Or perhaps $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\imath} \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$ may not be counected with $\pi \iota \sigma \tau$., but may mean "after all."
42. àmò $\mu \epsilon \lambda$. .] = "a part of," "some," for "?. V. A. constantly translate this literally, and the Vulgate follows suit. Ex. 17. 5, àò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \rho$., "de senioribus," Lev. 5. 9,
47. к $\kappa \rho \ldots$... $\rho \xi$.] A very anomalous and ungrammatical construction, irreducible to any order.


Sept. 19. évaptlov, supra 20. 26. M. 23. 14, note.
52. $\left.\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha} \chi^{\alpha} \rho \hat{a} s\right]$ M. 24. 31, Acts 2. 28, notes: supra 9. 39. There
 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta$ cias, exactly as we use with, and so also Is. 15. 3, 准灵, $\mu \in \tau \grave{a}$ $\kappa \lambda \alpha v \theta \mu \omega \hat{v}$. Nah. 1. 2, $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \theta v \mu o \hat{v}$. These are the only instances I find in V. A. of $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ with genitive of thing, as distinct from gen. of person. But these are sufficient, I think, to assign it to a Septuagint origin.

## S. J OHN.

## Chapter I.

Hebr. 1. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau . ~ \theta . ~ 6 . ~ \check{c \nu} \nu . ~ a u ̀ t . ~ ' I . ~ 7 . ~ є i s ~ \mu . ~ 12 . ~ є ̌ \lambda a \beta o \nu, ~$ and $\pi \iota \sigma \tau$. єis тò ǒ. 2. 11. 23. 13. Є' $\xi$ aip.... 18. $\hat{\omega} \nu$ єis $\tau$. к.
 20. 26. 31. 32. 33.

Chap. I. 1. $\underset{\epsilon}{v} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{n}\rfloor$ How are we to account for the absence of the definite article here, in a passage remarkable for its strict accordance with grammatical precision, and in a writer generally so exact in his use of it? Are we to resort to elaborate refinements of criticism, or strive to give a simpler and more natural, though possibly less philosophical, explanation of its omission? The account of the creation, Gen. 1. 1,
 know how familiar the Hebrew phrase was to the Jews in earlier time, as the title of the Book itself; we may well imagine the ideas associated with it, the sanctity (as it were) that environed it. May we not infer that equal honour would be paid, by the later Jews, to the phrase that literally rendered it in the Greek Version, embalming it for all future generations? Is it not probable that the Evangelist had the words of Moses in his mind, and deliberately and intentionally reproduced them? Mc. 13. 19, note.
 see notes, M. 13. 56, Mc. 1. 39, 6. 3, 2 Th. 3. 10. It is a Hebraism and not a metaphysical refinement of Classical usage. It is superfluous, no doubt, to repeat here, what every Scholar knows, that $\pi \rho$ o's with acc. can only follow verbs implying motion towards an object, movement either (1) of body or other material substances, or (2) of mind or spirit, towards something outside it. It cannot follow any verb implying stationariness: with which the dative or genitive are almost invariably.

 M. 2. 2, note. 40. єỉסov $\pi<0 \hat{v}$. 42. $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o s$ for $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu . ~ 47 . ~ \tau \iota ~ a ̉ \gamma . ~$ 48. єídє...каì $\lambda$ é $\gamma \epsilon \iota$, past coupled with present, M. 1. 22, note: infra 50. 49. $\pi \delta \dot{\prime} \theta \epsilon \nu$ and $\phi \omega \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$.
 к. 29. $a \ddot{\imath} \rho \omega \nu \dot{a} \mu$.
found. I say almost, for some few instances of deviation from this general use may, of course, be cited: but such exceptions prove the rule. $\Pi_{\text {pòs tò }}$ © $\epsilon$ ò v cannot, we may boldly say, in grammatical Greek, mean apud Deum, as it undoubtedly means here, and as the Vulgate has it, and our E. V. "with God." And yet it is abundantly clear, from the notes referred to above, that $\pi \rho \circ \grave{s}$, $\epsilon i$ is and $\pi a \rho a \dot{a}$, with acc., are coupled with verbs involving no idea of motion towards, constantly in N. T., suggesting that such must have been the common vernacular usage among Hebrews speaking or writing Greek at the time. To what are we to assign this violation of Grammatical correctness? I venture to hope that the explanation offered by me, 2 Th .3 .10 , is reasonable and sufficient; and that the Septuagint Translators, men evidently possessing a very slight and inadequate acquaintance with Greek, having ascertained that generally was equivalent to $\pi \rho o$ s with acc., assumed it to be so universally, and rendered it accordingly : or they may only have carried out a misconception previously prevalent and adopted in the vulgar phraseology. In either case, the use, thus introduced, became probably imbedded, as so many other similar Hebraisms, in the Vernacular Greek of the Jews in Egypt, Palestine and Asia Minor. And hence the words staud for what S. John meant them to stand for, and not for what they mean in themselves, grammatically.
12. $\left.\epsilon^{\prime} \lambda a \beta_{o v}\right]$ "received, accepted": an unusual sense of the word "apud Græcos," deducible possibly from $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \beta$ ov in 11 ; not found, I think, in V. A.; perhaps only a literal translation of the Chaldce hבק = "to hear and adopt," whence " cabala."


16. ávii] For תחּתַ, "in place of," one after another: Ps. 45. 17, " "
23. Tìv o. K.] From V. A. : there is no def. article in the original, nor is one required; the contrast in our E. V., "prepare ye the wow... make straight... a highway," is without any foundation: neithor noun has the def. art. in.

## Chapter II.

Hebr. 3. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ . ~ 4 . ~ \tau i ́ ~ \epsilon ́ \mu . ~ к . ~ \sigma o i ́ ; ~ A l s o ~ 11 . ~ 23 . ~$
Non-C. 7. $\check{\epsilon} \omega \varsigma$ àv $\nu$. 10. $\epsilon \lambda \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega=$ pejor, deterior. 19. $\lambda \dot{v} \omega=$ diruo, destruo. 20. The construction $\tau \in \sigma \sigma$. є̈ $\tau \in \sigma \iota \nu$ ஸ̂к. 25. o äv $\nu \rho$. generically, for oi ${ }^{2} \nu \theta \rho$. unusual. There is a tinge of Hebraism about it.

## Chapter III.

Hebr. 1. Nıк. őv. aùtệ. 15. ó $\pi \iota \sigma t$. єis, Mc. 1. 15, note.


Non-C. 3. 7. $a^{2} \nu \omega \theta \epsilon \nu=\delta \epsilon \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu=$ de novo. 25. є̇к. 34. $\epsilon \kappa \kappa$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho o v$. 36. à $\pi \epsilon \iota \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ as opposed to $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v ์ \omega \nu$, Eph. 5. 6, note; and '่̇ $\pi$ ' aùтóv.

## Chapter IV.




Non-C. 7. є́ $\rho \chi$....àvt $\lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$. 8. т тофàs. 10. aiteîv with acc. of person. 15. $\pi \rho$. aù兀òv for aủ $\frac{\hat{\omega}}{}$. 16. $\phi \omega \nu \in \hat{\imath} \nu=$ call. 28.
 $\varepsilon$ є $\beta$. acc. of time when.

29. $\alpha \ddot{\mu} \rho \epsilon \iota \nu]=$ "recedere facio, removeo"; V. A. Is. 5. 23, Gen. 35. 2 and elsewhere.
43. K $\eta \phi$ âs] Aramaic, Mc. 3. 17.
44. $\hat{\imath} \hat{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{\epsilon} \pi$.] Dative of time when: L. 18. 33, note.
 16. $10,19.22$, V. A. Vulgate "quid mihi et tibi"; as much against Latin idiom, as $\tau i \dot{\epsilon}_{\mathrm{E}}$ к. $\sigma$. is against Greek. M. 27. 19, note, Mc. 1. 24.
 not grammatically correct: it is most probably derived from Hebrew :


Chap. III. 15, 18. $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v \in \epsilon \nu$ єis] Mc. 1. 15, note: supra 1. 12.
20, 21. Here $\phi .=$. 86, 151. L. 16. 9 and M. 11. 19, notes : infra 7. 18.



Chap. IV. 31. M. 15. 23, L. 4. 38.
35. гàs $\chi$ ¢́pas] L. 21. 21, note, Jac. 5. 4.

## Chapter V.




 M. 3. 9. 44. ס́́彑av, "approval": infra 12. 43. 45. єis öv $\eta^{\eta} \lambda \pi$.

Sept. 7. $\beta a ́ \lambda \eta \eta, ~ M . ~ 26 . ~ 12 . ~$.

## Chapter VI.

Hebr. 5. тл òs т. Ф. 8. $\epsilon i \varsigma ~ \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu$. and 11., èк $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \dot{c} \psi$.





 68. $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} \sigma о \mu a \iota$. 71. єौ $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \tau \grave{\tau} \nu$ 'I. $=$ "he spoke of."

SEPT. 70. $\delta \iota a ́ \beta o \lambda o s=" a d v e r s a r i u s, ~ d e l a t o r ": ~(a s ~ J u d a s ~ w a s): ~$ V. A. for צָּ, hostis, Esther 7. 4, and

Chap. V. 2. $\quad \mathrm{B} \eta \theta \epsilon \sigma \delta \dot{a}]=$ חֶּ
4. катà к.] "At times." катє́ $\beta$. ̇̀v $\tau \hat{n}$ к.] One instance among many of $\dot{\epsilon} v$ for $\epsilon$ is as literal rendering of $\underset{\substack{2}}{ } 1$ Cor. 7. 15.
 Schl. ; Judges 4. 18, 18. 26, 2 K. 2. 24, 23. 16.
18. é̀ $\lambda v \sigma \epsilon \tau \grave{c} \sigma$.] In V. A. we find $\beta \epsilon \beta \eta \lambda o v ̂ v \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma$. as opposed to áyıá̧єiv, but not $\lambda \dot{\prime} \epsilon \iota v$, as opposed to $\phi u \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota v$, tò $\sigma$. In N. T. we have it with èvтo入ŋ̀v, M. 5. 19, vómov, J. 7. 23, үрафŋ̀v, 10. 35.

44. Sóga] $^{\prime}$ a approval, good opinion : infra 12. 44, Rom. 3. 23.

Chap. VI. 2. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} v a$ a.] "in the case of."
8. єis $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \omega \hat{\omega} \mu$.] Corresponding to the use of partitive. Neh. 1. 2, єís $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{a} \dot{a} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} v \mu o v$, Ruth 4. 2. Also infra 60.
 Herodotus 1. 24, хрฑ́ната. It is a very rare application of the verb.
35. ó äpros $\tau \hat{\eta} s \zeta$.] Our English idiom corresponds with this Hebraism, which is utterly alien to the Greek: and the words here used cannot, of themselves, convey the idea required.
 enlightened ones," "God's instructed ones": not "God-enlightened,"

## Chapter VII.

 19. 30. $\kappa a \grave{\imath}=$ but, yet. 25. є̇к $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu$. 31. öтє emphatic. Also 12. 28. 29.

Non-C. 4. $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \pi a \rho \rho \eta \sigma i a ̨ . ~ 15 . ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \mu a \theta$. 41. $\mu \grave{\eta} \gamma^{\alpha} \rho$.
SEpt. 20. $\delta a \iota \mu o ́ v \iota o \nu=$ evil spirit. Infra 10. 20 : see M. 9. 33, 1 Tim 4. 1, notes.

## Chapter VIII.

Hebr. 10. $\dot{\eta}$ үvv ${ }^{\prime}$, vocative. 15. катà $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma a ́ \rho \kappa a . ~ 31 . ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \tau \epsilon ~$ $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \lambda$. Also 17. 35.

Non-C. 2. $\eta \rho \chi о ́ \mu \eta \nu$ as imperfect of ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \chi о \mu a \iota$. 6. $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu=$ ground. 9. $\epsilon i \varsigma \kappa a \theta^{\prime} \epsilon i s$ and oi ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \chi \alpha \tau o \iota=$ youngest. 12. ov' $\mu \dot{\eta}$ with future. 23. є̇к $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa а ́ \tau \omega \ldots a ̈ \nu \omega$. 25. т $\grave{\nu} \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$. 37. $\chi \omega \rho \in \hat{\epsilon}$.

Sept. 29. áфi $\eta \mu \iota=$ "leave," Mc. 12. 12.

## Chapter IX.

Hebr. 9. ถ̈tı (thrice) emphatic, and 41 : M. 7. 23, note, and Cap. 10. 36, 41. 32. èk тov̂ aî.
 note. Our E. V. rendering "taught of God," which, no doubt, conveys the spirit of the words, seems to coincide so exactly with $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \kappa \tau o i ~ @ \epsilon о \hat{v}$, that a less careful student might suppose it gave the letter also, which it does not: this would require $\mathfrak{v} \pi \grave{o}$.
 seems to mean "a state in which every one talks of you."
18. aं $\lambda \eta \theta \eta \grave{\zeta}$ ] Opposed to $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \grave{s}$, as L. 16. 9, 11, 2 Thess. 2. 10.
36. 'EגA $\eta_{\nu} \nu \nu$ ] Vulg. "Gentium"; E. V. "Gentiles." So 1 Cor.


38. $\pi о \tau a \mu о і . . . \zeta \omega \hat{\nu} \tau \mathbf{}$ ] There is no such passage, word for word, in the Old T. But the idea is fully conveyed by Is. 35. 1, 6, 7, describing the life-giving, renovating, effects of the Holy Spirit, consequent on the coming of Messiah, God Incarnate, vo. 2, 4.
39. "Nondum effusus erat": compare Acts 19. 2.

Chap. VIII. 44. Subaudi $\tau \iota 5$ : "when a man speaks a lie, he speaks what is natural to him: for he is a liar, like his father the Devil": "he and his father" are alike.
58. $\pi \rho i v$ 'A. $\gamma \in v$.] "before A. was borm."

Non－C．2．$\stackrel{\eta}{\eta} \mu a \rho \tau \epsilon \nu$ ìva $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$ ，irregular sequence．5．＂̈та ＝＂so long as．＂16．тทpeîv тò $\sigma$ ．18．aữov̂ tov̂ ảvaß入．25．बٌ้

## Chapter X．

Hebr．28．єís tò̀ ai．32．Є̇к tov̂ тatpós．42．émíotevoav єis．．．Mc．1．15，note．Also 36． 41.

Non－C．10．$\theta$ v́єıv＝＂kill．＂11．кa入òs for ảjaOòs．12．ó．．．oúк


 12．27．Also 20.

## Chapter XI．

Hebr． 26.31.
Non－C．3．í $\delta \in$ for $i \delta \delta o v$ ．7．ä $\gamma \omega \mu \in \nu$ intrans．9．тробко́ттєє．



## Chapter XII．

Hebr．4．єís éк．11．36．є̇тíбт．єis，infra 14．1，12．13．$\omega \sigma a \nu \nu a ̀$ ， M．21．10，note．36．viò $\phi$ ． 34.
 emissionis，＂i．e．＂fons aquæ se effundens in lacum＂：Grimm．

Chap．X．4．éк $\beta \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \eta$ ］M．9．38，Mc．1．43．Same use of verb in



10．$\theta$ víciv，for occidere，to slay，without any sacrificial meaning， is very seldom found in Classical Authors，and in V．A．apparently once only，Is．22．13：in Apocrypha Sir．24．32，1 Macc．7．19：and in N．T． only in the present instance．

11．кa入òs as equivalent to áyaOòs，is very rarely met with，in the best writers，except in the neuter and in the phrase кa入òs ка́ ${ }^{\prime}$ aO＇s．

17．$\left.\tau \iota \theta^{\prime} \dot{\text { éval }}\right]=$＂depono，abjicio，＂would seem to be confined to S．John：supra 11，and 13．4，37，15．13． 1 John 3． 16.

35．$\lambda v \theta \hat{\eta} v a 1]$ supra 5． 18.
Chap．XI．48．Êقvos］Only occasionally used for the Jewish nation，instead of $\lambda$ aòs，L．7．5，Acts 10． 22.

54．$\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma i a]$ Mc．8．32，note，Col．2． 15.
55．$\chi$ ש́pa，in sense of the country，rus，has some Classical authority， Xen．Mem．3．6． 11.
 $\delta_{\epsilon \kappa}$ ．11．18， 21.8.

Non-C. 6. $\tau \grave{~} \gamma \lambda \ldots$...and $\tau \dot{a} \beta a \lambda \lambda$. 8. $\mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} a v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ with you.
 opinion : supra 5.44. 48. $\lambda a \mu \beta$ áv $\omega \nu=$ receiving, assenting to.

Sept. 21. '่̇ $\omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ á $\omega$ for aité $\omega$, M. 15. 23, note: infra 14. 16. and 17. 9. 15. 37. ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$, in presence of : note M. 5. 16.

## Chapter XIII.

Hebr. 8. 33. 35.
Non-C. 4. тíӨ $\eta \sigma \iota$ тò $i \mu$. : supra 10. 17. 13. $\phi \omega \nu$. $=$ call: supra


Sept. 2. 5. $\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu=$ put: note M. 9. 38.



7. ảфєs aủ.] "allow her": see L. 18. 16, and M. 18. 12, notes. Supra 11. 44.
20. "EdA $\quad$ ves here stands for Jews settled abroad: Greek-Jews.
22. Andrew and Philip, both Greek names : they may possibly have had Greek connexions, and so were naturally applied to by these Greeks, i.e. foreign Jews.
38. Strictly גंкоウ̀="id quod audit." V. A. give it for quod auditur"; Is. 53. 1, "a message," R. 12. 16, Hebr. 4. 2. The whole passage is a quotation from V. A., as R. 10. 16.
40. See M. 13. 14, note, for the parallel passage.

Chap. XIII. 21. '่̇гр. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \nu$.] Dat. of part. instead of acc., M. 5. 3, L. 19. 3, Acts 2. 37, 18. 25, R. 14. 1, Eph. 4. 18, 23, Col. 1. 21.

Chap. XIV. 16. тара́кл.] "Qui interpellat divinas aures pro nobis," Rom. 8. 27 : "advocationis implens officia et defenisionis exhibens munera." The same word, 1 J. 2. 1, is applied to our Lord, "advocate." In the Fathers, "advocatio" and "consolatio" are used as equivalent terms: Pearson, Art. 8. But may not our Translation, "Comforter" = Strengthener (fortis), be a prcegnans interpretatio, and really carry us back to the true meaning of Парáкд $\eta \tau 0$ s, as understood by Students of V. A., like S. John ; whose knowledge of Greek was

 Thou recover me," E. V.) : where V. A. clearly connects notion of


## Chapter XV.



## Chapter XVI.


 17. єiттov $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu$. some of. 21. $\gamma \in \nu \nu a ̂ \nu$, of the mother.
dream") means also to be strong: Job 39. 4, יַחלְמי, "t


 takeably "strengthen": Acts 9. 31, торєvó $\mu \epsilon \vee \alpha \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho а к \lambda$.

Chap. XV. 2, 3. ка $\alpha a i \rho \omega=$ purgo, to prune. "Ye are already clean" (not as result of $\kappa \alpha \theta$. in 2, but of "the washing" 13.10). $\delta \iota a$ r. $\lambda$.] "for the reception of..." not as our E. V. "through the word..." nor propter, "on account of," as Vulgate.

6,8 . The whole construction of these two verses is most irregular ;
 in $a v j r a ̀$, and the change of subject in $\sigma v v^{\prime} \gamma$. and каíध $\alpha$, , and the illative use of conjunction in каi $\gamma \in \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$.

Chap. XVI. 2. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \rho x . \check{\omega}^{\circ} \rho a$ iva] We may translate, almost grammatically with Vulgate, "venit hora, ut omnis...arbitretur," "an hour is coming for every one that killeth you to think": but this is, possibly, an undue refinement in the conception of the force of iva, which may be meant to convey nothing more than "when."
8. é $\left.\lambda \in \dot{\epsilon} \gamma_{\xi}^{\prime} \epsilon 1\right]$ "will set the world right": prove its former notions wrong, give them correct opinions as to sin, and righteousness, and condemnation.
 your difficulties, having the full light of the H.S. Kaì ov̉ $\lambda$. vi., öтı '̇ $\gamma \omega \dot{ }$ ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau . \tau . \pi$.] Can this perhaps mean "I shall not need to question the Father as to your acceptance with Him":-for I know He loves you?

25, 29. тapp $\left.\sigma^{\prime} i_{q}\right]$ In its primary sense, "speaking everything out," "keeping nothing back," "disguising nothing," "plainly," "fully."
32. $\epsilon$ is $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ ìcoa] $=$ tis oikov, 19. 27, rendered severally in Vulgate "in propria" and "in sua"; against Latin idiom : "to his own house,"
 $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho \in \psi \in v$... Same sense in Apocrypha.

## Chapter XVII.

Hebr. 11. 17. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$. 9. 15. $\dot{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau \hat{\omega}$. 12. ó vi. $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \dot{a} \pi$.
Non-C. 2. $\pi a ̂ \nu$ ö for $\pi a ́ \nu \tau a$ à. 23. $\tau \epsilon \tau . \epsilon i \mathfrak{l}$ ẽv.

## Chapter XVIII.

 in a past sense: as $\pi a \rho a \delta$. in 36.

## Chapter XIX.

Hebr. 3. ó $\beta a \sigma$. voc. 13. єis тótoov, M. 13. 5 6, note.
Sept. 27. єis tà ì íıa, supra 16. 32.

Cifap. XVII. 5. tî סósŋŋ] Dat. of "manner," very rare in S. John, 11. $2,33,43,21.8$.
11. ̇̇v $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ óv. $\sigma o v$ ] "by Thy power, Thy attributes of might": involved in Dẻ̛.

 perditus, de cujus salute planè desperandum est," Schl. Vulg. "filius perditionis," which means no more, in real Latin, than "Son of perdition" does in true English. All three translations, Greek, Latin, and Euglish, of this common Hebrew form, are utterly against the idiom of the several languages: although a correct sense has been assigned to them by traditional explanation and general acceptation. M. 8. 12, 23. 15, I. 10. 6, notes.

Chap. XVIII. 11. ov̉ $\left.\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \pi^{\prime} \omega\right]$ I cannot recal any instance of this equivalent for the future negative, used interrogatively.
31. $\dot{\eta} \mu$. ov. ${ }^{\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} .}{ }^{2} \pi$. ovid.] Not absolutely, but at this season of the Passover (perhaps) : or on such a charge, political and not religious. They put Stephen to death: and our Lord recognises their power to do so, M. 33. 31-34.

Chap. XIX. 3. ésíóovv av̉. pat.] Supra 18. 22, Vulg. "dabant ei
 It appears to be a Hebrew idiom; it is hardly Greek or Latin : but it falls naturally into English, as Hebrew idioms often do. See below, 11.
 "therefore" and "nevertheless": "for this" and "for all this." The latter, of course, is less common. Probably we should take it so here, "notwithstanding." Is. 7. 14, 10. 24, 30. 18, Jerem. 5. 2, 16. 14, 30. 16, Ez. 39. 25, in all of which V. A. has $\delta$ ©à rov̂to, and our GU.

## Chapter XX.

 Ṭ̂̀ oे. aủ.

Non-C. 7. $ॄ ้ \nu a$ for $\tau \iota \nu a$. 15. $\delta$ oкov̂ $\sigma a=$ cogitans, M. 3. 9 , and $\epsilon \beta a ́ \sigma \tau .=$ taken auray. Also 1. 3.

SEPT. 25. ßá̀ $\omega$. 27. фє́pє...i̋ $\delta \epsilon$.

## Chapter XXI.

Hebr. 4. eis tòv ai. supra 1. 18, 4. 1. 6. àmò $\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \lambda$. for pausæ, M. 7. 16, Heb. 5. 7, notes. 23. кai $=\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{a}$, a common sense of ?

 omission of $\stackrel{a}{a} \nu$ before $\chi \omega \rho \eta े \sigma a \iota$.
E. V. "therefore": although, "for all this," "nevertheless" is clearly required. Of course we find, as is to be expected, "propterea, propter hoc, ideo," in these passages in Vulgate : the indiscriminate, unreflecting rendering of $\mathfrak{j}$, by the words generally expressivg its meaning, as though it had no other. Any one who will take the trouble to examine the passages cited above, will be struck with the obvious inaccuracy of the Greek, Latin, and English translations, and the necessity of substituting the adversative for the causal adverb. Our English idiom "for all this" suits the Hebrew exactly.
 unintelligible to persons acquainted only with ordinary Greek and Latin, and apparently Hebraic. 1 Sam. 26. 12, לָחֶּ

 $=$ ö́ки $^{\text {® }}$.
20. єipyivn i.] This very common Hebrew mode of greeting or blessing, לֶֶּ our day, is not often found in V. A., in this, the simplest form : instances are Judges 6. 23, 1 Chr. 12. 18. We are so familiar with it, from Scriptural and Liturgical use, that we are apt to forget that neither this, nor its Latin equivalent, "Pax vobiscum," are natural idiomatic expressions in Greek or Latin, or give a full and adequate idea of its Hebrew meaning.

## THE ACTS.

## Chapter I.

Hebr. 5 . oủ $\mu \in \tau a ̀$ $\pi . \hat{\eta}$. 6. єi...áток. M. 12. 10 and infra

 the article. Also 2. 3. 5.
 21. $\sigma v \nu \in \lambda \theta$ óv $\tau \omega \nu \dot{\eta}$. in the sense here obviously required. 25. $\pi a \rho-$ є́ $\beta \boldsymbol{\eta} \pi о \rho \epsilon \iota \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$.
 5. 11, є่à̀ катоєк $\hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ and $\epsilon$ є̀à $\mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu \tau a \iota . . . \epsilon ่ \pi i ̀ ~ \tau . ~ a v ่ . ~$

Chap. I. 4. $\tau \grave{\eta} v \dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi \alpha$.] Comp. Eph. 1.. 13, $\pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu a \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s} \dot{\epsilon} \pi$.
6. $\epsilon i]$ M. 12. 10, note, and infra 19. $2:$ L. 14. 3.
 text exactly quoted from V. A. for
10. каi iठov̀] M. 9. 10, note. For the apparently superfluous кai,

 $\mu \omega^{\prime} \theta \eta$. It is a very common Hebr. idiom : impossible to render literally in Greek-as V. A. have done, and the N. T. writers, following in their track, and using the familiar phrase caught from them-without a solecism.
13. 'A入фaiov...Z $\eta_{\eta \lambda \omega \tau}{ }^{\prime} \varsigma$ ] M. 10.4. Has the omission of the article in the patronymic genitive, any examples in Classical Greek?
14. Fìv $\gamma$.] "with certain women." Or are we to take this as an instance of an omitted article, so common in G. T.? Heb. 1. 1, द̇v viê.



## Chapter II.

Hebr. 17. $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \quad \sigma \grave{a} \rho \xi=$ all mankind. 18. $\dot{a} \pi \grave{o} \tau$. $\pi \nu$. 19.



 which I cite to show that the phrase can be used without any idea implied of "motion towards," as simply meaning "together," as in the passage before us, and cap. 2. 1. Observe also the strange misuse of $\epsilon^{\boldsymbol{e} v}$ for $\frac{7}{\text {, }}$, so common in V. A., M. 3. 11, note ; which Vulgate has perpetuated, here as elsewhere, by its use of in for er, against the very genius of the language : e.g. "non arabis in bove et asino simul," where the use of the future tense, in Greek and Latin alike, by way of prolibition, is as utterly wrong as the use of the preposition, M. 5. 48, 19. 18,
 tionis," Vulg. : forced even into English, in our Prayer-Book Version, translated mainly from Vulg., by the absurdly literal "I went.. in the voice of joy and praise." A striking instance of the way in which the ignorance of the first translators has coloured the subsequent Versions, and affected the phraseology of all the authors of the N. T.

18, 19. Supposing these 2 verses to be parenthetical,-not really forming part of S. Peter's speech, but inserted by way of explanation by S. Luke,-how does this affect the argument based on the use of the Aramaic Aceldama?
20. $\dot{o}$ катокк $\hat{\nu}$ ] V. A. also has $\dot{o}$; in the Hebr. there is no article.

Chap. II. 6. $\sigma v v \epsilon \chi$.] Confer Is. 60. 5 , flow together," E. V. which, of course, is equivalent to "be confounderl": infra 19. 29, 32, 21. 31 : it has the force of "disorder," "concursus tumultuarius." V. A. use the verb only twice, about Babel : Gen. 11. 7, 9, ם גָּבְלָה
9. It seems more natural to refer the irregularities in the use of def. article in this passage, to Hebraic want of precision therein, as universally acknowledged, than to endeavour to account for them otherwise.
11. Was this, as usually supposed, the gift of a supernatural faculty of speaking, consciously and intelligently, languages unknown before, fitting them for future work in foreign lands; or only inspiration to utter, in foreign languages, statements of divine truth, without any conscious understanding? In short, not a qualification for work among the heathen, but a sign to the heathen? We certainly never have any allusion to any Apostle using any other language but the Greek, then

Now-C. 7. collocation of $i \delta o \nu$. 8. '̇ं $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu=$ " we were
 J. 13. 21, note. 39. єis $\mu а к \rho a ́ v . ~ 45 . ~ к а Ө о ́ t \iota ~ a ̆ \nu ~ \tau \iota \varsigma . . . \epsilon i \chi \chi . ~$

## Chapter III.



universally prevalent : and so, probably, not mentioned as one used on the day of the miracle.
20. V. A. generally, as here, omit article before K. when it means Jah : as if a Proper Name, though it is really not so.
 vel instrumenti": of which there are frequent examples in V. T., e.g. Is. 28. 7, ,

 Aavátov; both of which contain the notion of "cords" or "bands."
25. cis] For לs, " "looking to, with respect to, him." Gen. 20. 2,

 taken." In all these cases $ל \preccurlyeq$ exactly corresponds to the use of $\epsilon$ is in this passage, and Eph. 5. 32, è è $\bar{\omega}$ 入é $\gamma \omega$ cis X рtcơóv.
27. $\epsilon i s \bar{s} \dot{\alpha} \delta \mathrm{ov}]$ After a verb of rest : inexplicable, if it were not the literal rendering of לisew?, V. A. eis $\dot{\alpha} \delta \eta \eta$. It is but one instance, out of hundreds, of their blindly taking the general equivalent for a Hebrew word, as universally appropriate; $\epsilon$ is for ? almost always.
28. $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau$ r. $\pi \rho$.] From V. A. for here the Septuagint word for word, and see how the $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ came: תیे = "near, with": hence $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$, as the nearest literal equivalent, is employed by V. A.; conveying the true notion, doubtless, to minds accustomed to Oriental idioms, but utterly and entirely non-Greek: against Greek phraseology altogether. We understand it, from our English use of "with," to express "the instrument": but I venture to say it could not have been understood by any one accustomed only to pure Classical Greek. M. 24. 31, L. 24. 52, notes.

 2 Th. 3. 10, notes.
 15. 1, note.

## ACTS.

Non-C. 2. $\tau \iota \varsigma \dot{a} \nu \eta ̀ \rho$. 5. $\epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\imath} \chi \epsilon \nu$. 10. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda$. 12. $\pi \epsilon \pi$.
 катабт.

SEPT. 1. Є̇ $\pi i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a u ̉ . ~ s u p r a ~ 1 . ~ 15 ̌, ~ n o t e . ~ . ~$

## Chapter IV.

 17. $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} \dot{a} \pi$. and $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{u}$ ỏv. т. M. 18. 5. 19. $\grave{\varepsilon} \nu \omega ́ \pi \iota o \nu ~ \tau o \hat{v} \Theta$.

5. ė $\begin{gathered}\epsilon \hat{i} \chi \in v \\ a v ̉ .] ~ F o u n d ~ i n ~ t h i s ~ s e n s e ~ i n ~ V . ~ A ., ~ J o b ~ 27 . ~ 8, ~ 30 . ~ 26, ~\end{gathered}$ and in Apocrypha: Sir. 34. 2, 35. 11.
12. $\pi \epsilon \pi$. $\tau o v$ v $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi$.] "the efficient cause of": as if participle had


13. ката̀ $\pi \rho$. П.] לְקִִ: : a pure Hebraism.
19. ö $\pi \omega \mathrm{s}$ ] "in order that a time...may come...and that He may send...": their repentance and conversion would hasten and secure the coming of Jesus again.
25. $\pi a \tau \rho c a i]$ Gen. 12.3: V. A. here has ${ }^{\imath} \theta \nu \eta$. But $\pi a \tau \rho \iota a ̀ ~ g e n e-~$ rally stauds for pamilia, "quarum plures una tribus comprehendebat; sicut una familia plures domos paternas, oűovs, תוֹבּ Gesenius. दُ $\xi$ ổкоv каì matpıâs $\Delta$ aví (L. 2. 4) "non solum ex eâdem prosapiâ, sed etiam ex ipsius Davidis familiâ" Grimm.

Chap. IV. 11. $\gamma \in v$. єis $\kappa \epsilon \phi . \gamma$.] M. 2.6 and 21. 42, notes.
12. We can make this grammatical only by taking tò $\delta \epsilon \delta o \mu$. $\dot{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{v}} \dot{a} \nu \theta$. as the subject to $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau$. "And the salvation (of the world) is not by any one else: for the Name set forth and given out among men, by and through which we must be saved, is no other Name under Heaven." All three uses of $\hat{\epsilon} v$ in the verse are Hebraic.
21. "Finding the-way-to-punish-them none at all"; "not forthcoming."
23. Tov̀s ioiovs] J. 1. 11, 13. 1, 1 Tim. 5. 8, unusual "apud Grecos" as a noun, or adjective without a noun : J. 6. 32.
27. 入aoîs 'I.] Most unusual in plural, as applied to the Jews: Grimm explains its introduction here as due to the use of plural in verse 25 , quoted from V. A. But this can hardly hold: for the word there refers not to Jews, but to heathen, and is put for ${ }^{2}$
 (1) all the nouns are connected with $\gamma^{\prime} v \in \sigma \theta a l$, or as if (2) the preposition is to be supplied again before oŋ $\mu \mathrm{i} a$ : either (1) "for cures and signs...
 iठ七ผ̂тal. 15. $\sigma v \nu \notin \beta a \lambda o \nu . ~ 16 . ~ \tau l ~ \pi . ~ \tau о и ̂ s ~ a ̀ . ~ \tau . ~ d a t i v e . ~ 23 . ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ i \delta . ~$
 тò $\chi \rho \bar{\eta} \mu a$.

## Chapter V.

 т. ă. 23. öть emphatic, and $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \pi . \dot{\alpha}$. 28. $\quad \pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma . \pi a \rho \eta \gamma \gamma$. and



 $\pi \rho о \sigma \in ́ \chi$. є. L. 17. 3. 37. ікаข̀̀v, L. 8. 27, note.
to be done," or (2) "for healing, and for the working of signs...". The difference is very slight : and in each case the strange construction of an infinitive, standing for a noun and governed by a preposition, without an article, $\epsilon i s . . . \gamma i v \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ instead of $\epsilon i s \tau o \ldots \gamma i v \in \sigma \theta a l$, has to be accounted for. This cannot be done on any principles of Greek syntax: but as
 equivalent may have found its way into N. T. I cannot cite any instances from V. A., though I doubt not they abound.
36. B $\alpha \rho$ Náßas $]=$ בַר נְבִיָּ tionis": not "consolation," but "instruction, prophesying, preaching." "Sons of the Prophets," in V. T., means "persons trained to be Religious Teachers": and "Son of exposition" = " good expounder." M. 8. 12, 23. 15. Our Translators were influenced, probably, by usual meaning of тара́к $\eta \eta \sigma \iota$, as if universal; but see infia 13. 15, 15. 31, which bear quite naturally the sense of "exhortation," "exposition."
37. - тò $\chi \rho \bar{\eta} \mu a]$ I find no instance of the singular in V. A. Grimm says, "raro in sing. pro pecuniâ apud profanos."
 Latin idiom, for "apud" or "juxta." J. 1. 1, note.
17. $\dot{\eta}$ ov̋ $\alpha$ aị.].] This cannot be strictly translated, according to grammatical rules, so as to give the meaning required : it is altogether anomalous. Compare infra 13. 1, 28. 17.
18. $\tau \eta \rho$.] Not the place, but the act: "put them up safe in public keeping."
28. The Pharisees brought about the death of Jesus, not the Sadducees: and these were naturally unwilling to have it ascribed to them.

## Chapter VI.

 Non-C. 1. $\pi a \rho \epsilon \theta \epsilon \omega \rho$. 3. $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho o v \mu$. 7. ó $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s ~ \eta \imath ゙ \xi a \nu \varepsilon . ~$

## Chapter VII.



42. каг’ oi.] "at home." $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma a v ~ \dot{\eta} \mu$. seems to mean, strictly, "all the day long," rather than "every day."
 argues that these terms indicate principles and not birth-place. Clearly, all in the Church as yet were Jews by birth. But the Jews, in Palestine and abroad, had long been divided into two parties : the old, strict, Jewish party ( ${ }^{\text {E }} \mathrm{E} \beta \rho$.) and the innovators ( ${ }^{(E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \tau \sigma r .)}$ ) Hellenizers, who adopted Greek names, habits, ideas. 'E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu i ' \xi \in \omega$ is not merely to speak Greek, but to imitate Greeks: "to play the Greek." oi èк $\pi \in \rho \iota \tau о \mu \eta{ }_{\mathrm{\eta}}$, 10. $45,11.2={ }^{\text {© }}$ E $\beta$ paîoc in above sense. Jews settled in Greek countries are called ${ }^{9} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \in \varsigma$, J. 12. 20. But generally in G. T. 'Iovסaîos is opposed to "E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$, infra 18. 4, 19. 10, 17, R. 1. 16, 10. 12, 1 Cor. 1. 24, Col. 3. 11, and ${ }^{〔} \mathrm{E} \beta \rho a \mathrm{ios}$ to ${ }^{\text {' } E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \sigma \tau \eta ' s: ~ a n d ~ t h i s ~ m a y ~ p o s s i b l y ~ i l l u s t r a t e ~}$ the title of the Epistle $\pi \rho o{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\text {'E }}$ E $\beta$ oaiovs, as addressed, not to the Jews generally, nor even to the Jewish converts collectively, but to the strict Jewish party, the sticklers for the Law, in the Church.

 seemed good in their eyes" our E. V. constantly : exactly corresponding with the Hebrew. It is worthy of note that the names of all the seven

7. o $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s . . . \eta u ̋ \xi a v \epsilon]$ We must take the verb as applied to the growth of a tree, and the spread of its branches: "the word of the Lord"-not "increased" (which conveys no meaning, and is, in fact, necessarily impossible : for "the Gospel," "the Revealed Word of God," here alluded to, admits of no increase or addition), but-"spread abroad."

Chap. VII. 2. What is the bearing and scope of S. Stephen's speech ? It seems as if he wanted to reply to the two accusations, cap. 6. 14 : and so argues, (1) that while they talked so much of Moses and obedience to the Law, they had really rejected $\operatorname{him}(39)$ and many of the Prophets, showing thereby that they did not know God's messengers when among them, and so it was with the Christ when He came (51) : and (2) that
article. 37. ஷ̇кои́ $\epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, future-imperative, L. 17. 4. 42. $\tau \hat{1} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau$.
 $\pi \rho$. 53. єis סıat. Also 29. 34. 35. 44. 55.

Non-C. 10. ทं $\gamma o u ́ \mu \in \nu o \nu$, supra 3. 12. 11. रo
 dative of part.: and à $\nu \tau \iota \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon$. Also 54.

Sept. 10. є́vavtiov. 19. тov̂ $\pi$. for תituyl t and $\zeta \omega \circ \gamma$.

## Chapter VIII.

 $\pi \nu$. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \gamma$. without article: comp. 18. 20. єï $\bar{\eta}$ is á. 23. whole verse. 40. єis "A. М. 13. 56, J. 1. 18.

Jerusalem was not necessarily the only place of worship, nor the possession of Judæa essential to God's people, nor the Temple indispensable (38, 44, 48).
14. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \psi . \hat{\epsilon} \beta \delta$.] See M. 3. 11, note, for this use of $\dot{\epsilon} v$.
19. $\tau$ ov̂ $\pi \circ \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath v}]=$ תiey̧, M. 2. 6, note, from V. A. Infra 13. 47. For $\zeta_{\text {woovoviv }}=$ " to preserve alive," see L. 17. 33.
 $\tau \hat{\varrho} \Theta$. V. A. an evident Hebraism. 2 Cor. 10. 4, $\delta v v a \tau a ̀ \tau \hat{\epsilon}$ © $\Theta$.
30. ф. $\pi . \beta$.] "a fire-flame of a bush"; "a bush-fire flame": "a bush-emitted fire-flame."

 $\nu \eta \nu$, a most startling instance of their slavish adherence to the use of one word, against the sense, much the same as supra, verse 3 , where it means not "come," but "go": as 1 Kings 1. 53. The form had become familiar among the Jews of our Lord's day. M. 19. 21, Mc. 10. 21.
42. $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \hat{Q}$ тov̂ oưp.] The literal translation by V. A. of
 (2) nunc de siderum agmine dicitur" Grimm. (1) 1 K. 22. 19, Neh. $9.6:$ in N. T., L. 2.13 and possibly this verse: (2) 2 Chr. 33. 3, 5 and elsewhere.
53. єis סcatayàs ả.] Deut. 33. 2, M. 13. 56, cis for לֶ "apud,
 тòv тótor. 1 Kings 8. 30.

Chap. VIII. 10. à àò $\mu$ ккро̂̂ є̈ є́ $\mu \epsilon \gamma$.] 1 Sam. 30. 19, V. A. for

16. $\beta \in \beta a \pi \tau$. єis тò ŏv.] M. 18. 20, 28. 19, 1 Cor. 10. 2, Gal. 3. 27.

 10. $\grave{\eta}$ кадочн. 11. iк. Хро́v $\varphi$, dative of duration of time: and
 á $\rho a=$ "if anyhow," " if possibly." 27. ठvvá $\sigma \tau \eta s .30 . \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa$. 32. тєрเоұף̀. 34. ठ́́o $\mu a i ́ ~ \sigma o v . ~$

Sept. 21. єù $\theta \in i \hat{a}$ for óp $\theta \grave{\eta}$.

## Chapter IX.

 є̇ $\pi \grave{\iota}$ тò̀ K. M. 27. 43, Mc. 1. 15̆, notes.
 is ópө市, not straight, but upright : not planum but rectum. 入ó $\gamma \omega$ ] literal for matter." Vulg. verbatim, "in sermone isto."
23. ${ }^{*} \nu \tau a$ єis] Supra 4. 11. "I see thou art becoming a deadly poison and a bundle of wickedness." $\chi$. $\pi$.] "bile of bitterness": i.e. very, utter, mere, bile, or bitterness, which, in Hebrew, implies poison. Job 20. 25. Ges. sub voce מַי הַקִּרים and of the adulterous wife, N. 5. 18 : Ps. 69. 22, יִתְנוּ רֹאֹש בְבָרוּתחּ: "they put poison into my food,"
 каi $\pi$. In margin of E. V. "a poisonful herb." Quoted at Hebr. 12. 15.
30. $\gamma(\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega]$ in later Greek, seems to have meant "to understaud": whence $\gamma \nu \omega \bar{\sigma}$ ss. Infra 21. 37.
31. $\pi$ ज̂s $\gamma^{\text {à } \rho ;] ~ " W h y, ~ h o w ~ c a n ~} \mathrm{I}$ ?"
33. r. $\gamma$. aủ. $\tau$ is $\delta$.] "Who will state his past history," i.e. "speak to his character"? V. A. give $\gamma \in \nu$ éal for תinin, Gen. 6. 9, Is. 53. 8, in this sense : in the first passage it clearly $=$ "history."
34. Séopaí oov] A unique and peculiar use of the words, by way of adjuration and appeal, standing alone, without an infinitive or accusative or dependent sentence expressing the object of the request. We ought, probably, to supply $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon i v$. Our English phrase "I pray thee" in E. V. is idiomatic, and conveys the idea naturally: which the Greek does not.

 24. 22. In each case, of course, we must supply $\tau \hat{v} \Theta \epsilon \in \hat{v}$ or $\tau o \hat{v} \mathrm{~K}$. to complete the phrase as commonly found. In the Hebrew idiom, true religion is "the road to Heaven"; "God's way" as contrasted with "man's way": and this metaphor pervades and colours all the phraseology both of Old and New Test. Ps. 25. 8, 12, 67. 2, 139. 24 : M. 22.

Non-C. 1. $\varepsilon^{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \nu . \dot{a} \pi$. gen. 7. $\mu \eta \delta \in ́ v a$. 9. 26. $\mu \grave{\eta}$. 10. 11. òvó $\mu a \tau \iota$, a sort of dative of manner. 21. é $\xi i \sigma \tau a \nu \tau o$ and $\pi о \rho \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma a \varsigma$. 21. 24. Non-sequence of Tenses. 22. $\sigma v \nu \epsilon ́ \chi \chi v \epsilon$. 27. $\pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma . ~ 31 . ~ 43 . ~$ $\kappa a \theta$ "̈̀ $\lambda \varsigma s .$. 36. тis $\eta \nu \mu a \theta$. ungrammatical order of words.


## Chapter X.

Hebr. 4. єis $\mu \nu$. Ex. 17. 14, катáypaభov toûto єis $\mu \nu \eta \mu$. 14. 28. oủס.... $\pi a ̂ \nu ~ \kappa o \iota \nu o ̀ v . ~ 17 . ~ к a i ̀ ~ i \delta o v ̀, ~ a n d ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi . ~=~ ל ~ \$ ~ a p u d . ~$

16, Acts 18. 25,26 . The special peculiarity in the passage before us, and those akin to it cited first above, is that they show implicitly, if not explicitly, that the Church from the very first assumed and proclaimed the Christian Religion to be emphatically and alone "the way," i.e. " the road to Heaven," "the way of life," "God's way."

 presence of."
29. S. Paul had no sympathy with the Hellenizers, as being, possibly, many of them, Sadducees: he was ${ }^{〔} E \beta \rho$. ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi}{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{E} \beta \rho$. 6. 1, катà vó $\mu$ о Фарıनaios, Ph .3 . 5. Grimm narrows the meaning of ${ }^{\text {' } E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \sigma \tau .: ~}$ "dicitur in N. T. de Judæis apud exteros natis et Grecè loquentibus": and Schl. takes the same inadequate view.

31, 42. ка $\left.\theta^{\prime}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \lambda_{\eta} \ldots ..\right]$ [ find no clue to this use of gen. in V. A. nor can I connect it with any Hebrew form. $\pi$ орєvó $\mu$. т $\hat{\varphi}$ фó $\beta \omega$ ] Possibly "dat. of manner," very rare in N. T., L. 20. 47, note: but the construction is unnatural. Of course порєvó $\mu$. is a well-known Hebrew idiom literally rendered into Greek, to which it is utterly alien, and conveys no such idea as that of the original : no more than it would in English, if we were not habituated to its use in Holy Scripture. For $\pi а \rho а к \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \tau 0 \hat{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \gamma, \pi \nu$. see J. 14. 16, note. If we retain here the rendering of E. V., "the comfort of the H. Gh.", it must be in its primary, natural, sense of strength (from fortis), or rather "strengthening"; "encouragement" exactly.
 E. V., "all those who dwelt...saw him and turned" either in construction of sentence or in probability : rather "all the inhabitants, who had turned..., saw him."

Сhap. X. 14, 28. косvò ] M. 15. 11, Mc. 7. 2, 15, note.
45. oi ék $\pi$. $\pi$.] This shows there was a party without the Church not $\pi \iota \sigma$ roí but $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau$.; as 11.2 shows there were some of the same
28. кaì = but, as ! constantly. 34. $\epsilon^{\prime} \boldsymbol{m}^{\prime} a^{\lambda} \lambda \eta \theta$. Mc. 12. 14, note. 37. тò $\gamma$. $\dot{\rho}$.

Non-C. 2. $\delta \in o ́ \mu$. tô̂ $\Theta$. 3. 30. đ́pà évv. point of time: acc. instead of dat. 10. $\gamma \in i \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota=$ eat., 11. $\dot{a} \rho \chi^{\prime} a \hat{\imath} \varsigma=$ corners. 13. $\theta \hat{v} \sigma o \nu, ~ J . ~ 10 . ~ 10 . ~ 17 . ~ \delta \iota є \rho \omega \tau . ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ o i \kappa . ~ 30 . ~ a ̀ o ̀ ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon \tau . ~ \tilde{\eta} \mu$. $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota$. 37. тò $\gamma \epsilon \nu$. $\dot{\rho}$. order of words. кaق' ö $\lambda \eta$ s тîs 'I. supra 9. 31, note. 38. катабvv. 48. ท่рผ́т.
 V. A. for תי תִ̛̣̣, Josh. 5. 2, 2 Sam. 14. 29.

## Chapter XI.

 "super," "about." 3. 14. 16.



## Chapter XII.

Hebr. 23. $a^{a} \nu \theta^{*}{ }^{\omega} \nu$, L. 1. 20, note.
Non-C. 2. tòv á $\delta$. 'I. collocation of words: and 12. 7. $\epsilon \nu$ тá $\chi \epsilon \iota$. 10. $\pi \rho . \phi . \kappa a i \quad \delta$. omission of article: as 11. $\epsilon \in \kappa \chi$ and 12.
 $\eta u ̈ \xi a v \in$, supra 6. 7 note, and 19. 20. Also 17. 19.
party, $\epsilon^{\kappa} \kappa \pi$., in the Church. The term implies that there was "a circumcision party"; sticklers for the whole principles of the old Jewish belief: ${ }^{`} E \beta p a i o l$ as opposed to ${ }{ }^{E} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \sigma \tau \alpha i, 6.1$. If so, such a party would consist, in all probability, of Pharisees : how then could S. Paul, as an avowed Pharisee, be opposed to them? Is it not possible that,
 We know that the latter were in many ways Hellenizers, imitators of the Greeks, in social habits, as in philosophical speculations.

Chap. XII. 3. $\pi \rho$. $\sigma$.] "He afterwards seized Peter," M. 6. 33,
 is עוֹר
 the rov, M. 2. 6 ; which V. A. use so universally for ? with infinitive, as to have inserted it here, though there is no ? in the Hebrew). L. 20.11 .
12. $\sigma v v i \delta \omega_{\nu}$ ] Used, apparently, as if $=\xi \cdot v \varepsilon \epsilon \delta \omega \dot{s}$, "conscious, aware of the fact." 14.6 .

## Chapter XIII.

Hebr. 10. tàs ódò̀s K. т. єvं. 17. $\mu \in \tau \grave{a} \beta$. v. supra 2. 28.
 19, note. 47. єis фஸ̂s... $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a v$.

Non-C. 1. катà т. ở. є̇. supra 5. 17. 2. ò $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa . ~ a v ่ . ~ 11 . ~$ $\mu \grave{\eta} \ldots \kappa а \iota \rho о \hat{v}$. 16. äv $\delta \rho \in s$ 'I. каì oì $\phi$ supra 12. 12. 17. таронкía.



Sept. 34. "̈бıa.

## Chapter XIV.

Hebr. 3. $\mu \grave{̀} \nu$ oủ $\nu=$ for all this. 23. $\epsilon i s \hat{s} \partial$, Mc. 1. 15, note.
Non-C. 1. кatà tò aútò. 6. $\sigma \nu \nu \iota \delta o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma .8$. тıऽ àpク̀p for


Chap. XIII. 9. Roman names often adopted : verse 1, and 1. 23 .
10, 12. Strong instances of the irregular, arbitrary and apparently capricious way, in which, after Hebrew usage, the article is either omitted or inserted, iu V. A. and N. T. By Greek rule, ràs ódoùs would require $\tau 0 \hat{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{K}$.
11. äx $\chi$ кк.] L. 4. 13, "up to a time that suits."
15. таракл.] 4. 36, 15. 31 : "teaching, instruction, exhortation"; as in the explanation of the name of Barnabas, supra 4. 36, בִּ בִיָ , viò $\pi \alpha \rho a \kappa \lambda \eta_{j} \sigma \epsilon \omega$, where, from the derivation, it must mean as above, "a son of exposition": i.e. "an expounder."

24. $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \pi \rho o \sigma.] ~ M c . ~ 1 . ~ 2 ~ f o r ~ " b e f o r e . " ~ " ~$
33. ảvact.] "by raising up," R. 1. 4.
 both of them.
50. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \stackrel{s}{ } \sigma \epsilon \beta$. $\gamma$. $\tau \dot{a} \stackrel{s}{ } \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \chi$.] The women of rank and fashion, who were proselytes to Judaism. Infra 17, 4, 12.

Chap. XIV. 3. $\mu \dot{\iota} \nu$ oivy Here the sense seems much rather to require "nevertheless" than "therefore," "for all this" rather than "for this," or "therefore." I have shown before, J. 19. 11, that סıa тоиิто, to which $\mu$ èv ov์v is equivalent, is used indifferently, in each of the above meanings, for $\mu$ èv oûv, as here. Compare 7. 30, 28. 5, 1 Cor. 6. 4 : which seem to confirm this suggestion.
23. $\chi$ є८or.] Sensu ecclesiastico, "Jay hands on." This, as the syntax shows ( $\chi \epsilon \rho \ldots \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \theta \epsilon v \tau 0$ ), was the act of the Apostles, not of the
 $\lambda a a$ à̇тoîs tov̂ $\dot{a} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \chi \in \sigma \theta a u$, absolutely unintelligible to a Greek reader. R. 15.22.

## Chapter XV.

Hebr. 2. $\epsilon$ is for K or $\mathrm{K}=$ apud, at. 13. àmoкрivouat, V. A. for
 M. 24. 31.
 as for so short a time. 11. $\pi \iota \sigma \tau . \sigma \omega \theta$. 24. $\lambda$ éqovtधs, in sense of
 Latinism ="valete." 30 . $\tau \grave{o} \pi \lambda \hat{\lambda} \theta$ os. 33. $\pi \mathrm{oc} . \chi \chi$. and $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \in i \rho \dot{\eta} \nu \eta \varsigma$, M. 24. 31, and supra 2. 28. 38. Order of words. 41. т $\grave{\nu}$ £. каi K. one article for two distinct nouns.

SEPT. 31. тара́к $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma="$ directions, instructions": supra 4. 36, 13. 15, notes.

## Chapter XVI.

Нebr. 17. ó ò̀v $\sigma .$, Ps. 67. 2. 31. $\pi i \sigma \tau . ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi i$ і, Mc. 1. 15. 36. ${ }^{\circ} \tau \iota$ emphatic, and $\pi o \rho . \dot{e ̀ v} \nu i \rho$.
 active. 16. $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu \chi \grave{\eta}$. 18. $\delta \iota a \pi$. каі $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho . \pi \hat{\omega} \pi \nu$. 19. $\epsilon \xi \grave{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon$,

people, as the advocates of popular election pretend; rov̂тo $\chi$ є $\rho о \tau o v i ́ a$
 be possible, from analogy of 6.2-6, and says: "the Apostles ordained the Presbyters whom the churches elected." But how about the grammar of our sentence here, which cannot possibly be strained to that meaning ?
 force into the sense of " according to."
 passim, Mc. 1. 39. Infra 23. 11, J. 1. 1.
12. Compare $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, supra 4, and 14. 27, with $\delta i^{\prime}$ avi $\bar{\omega} \nu \mathrm{v}$ here.
 i.e. "let us be called thine." Here, "whom I have taken for my own."
24. avaбк.] = turning up the foundations, upsetting. Thuc. 4. 116,


Chap. XVI. 13. "Where a meeting-for-prayer was wont to be held": a proseucha, Juv.

Sept．37．е̇кßá入入．M．9．38，Мс．1． 43.

## Chapter XVII．

Hebr．6．28． 31.

 каıло́т．comp．：in this sense．31．тí $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \iota s=$＂assurance，＂＂grounds of belief．＂

SEpt．12．$\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \nu$ oủv，supra 14．3，note．

## Chapter XVIII．

Hebr．15．ö $\psi \in \sigma$ l，aù．fut．for imper．M．27．4，24，note． 21.

 єï $\chi \in \gamma$ ．єن̉ $\chi$ ．21．є́орт．тoั．23．тоєєî̀ $\chi \rho$ ．

## Chapter XIX．

Non－C．9．$\sigma \chi 0 \lambda \hat{9}$ ．11．$\delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi . ~ М . ~ 7 . ~ 22, ~ n o t e . ~ 12 . ~ \sigma o v \delta . ~$


29．$\phi \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha]$ James 1．17．Plural very unusual．
34．$\eta_{\gamma} \alpha \lambda \lambda . . . \pi \epsilon \pi / \sigma \tau$ ．］＂Rejoiced for his having．．．＂．
Chap．XVII．4．＇EdA $\left.\eta^{\prime} \nu.\right]=$ Gentile，supra 6．1，note．Col．3． 11. 9．＂Quod satisfecit sibi＂：Mc．15． 15.
23．We translate $\theta v \sigma c a \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\rho} เ o \nu$ the Jewish，and $\beta \omega \mu$ òs the Heathen， altar，by the same word．áv．©．］＂to any unknown God＂perhaps：or it may be merely an instance of article omitted，more Hebraico．

26．énì $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma.] ~ A ~ t h o r o u g h l y ~ H e b r e w ~ i d i o m, ~ s t a r t l i n g ~ i n ~ i t s ~$ Greek reproduction．

34．код入．av̉．є̇ $\pi$ ．］＂believed after close and intimate intercourse．＂
Chap．XVIII．7．$\sigma \in \beta$ ．tòv ©．］The usual name in N．T．fur Gentile proselytes．

25．ऍ．$\tau . \pi \nu$ ．］＂being in his spirit a fervent man＂：dative of part， ungrammatical ：M．5． 3.

Chap．XIX．3，4，5．єis $\tau i . . . \epsilon$ is $\tau$ ò＇I．$\beta$ ．］M．18．20，28．19，notes． Rom．6． 3.

9．какод．］＂speaking evil of＂：M．15．4，Mc．7．10，notes．т $\grave{\nu}$ óòv］supra 9．2，and infra 23.

14．＂And those who did this were certain men，sons．．．＂

## ACTS．

iка⿱亠䒑ol．26．$\pi a ́ \sigma \eta s \tau \eta \hat{s}$＇A．name of country，used for gen．of place where．27．тò $\mu$＇́pos，for＂profession，pursuit．＂3t．èmıүvóvтєร．．． $\phi \omega \nu \grave{\eta}$ érév．：comp．20． 3.

## Chapter XX．

Hebr．9．àmò $\tau o \hat{v} u ̈ v \pi \nu$ ．literal for $\dagger ̣ ̂=\dot{u} \pi$ ò．13．14．16．eis，


Non－C．2．$\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \pi$ r．sing．3．Compare 17． 23 and 19．24． 5.
 23．ката̀ $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu . ~ 24 . ~ \grave{s ~} \tau \in \lambda \in \iota$ ．29．ßapeîs．

SEPT．20．27．тov̂ $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{a}$ ．and 21． 12.

## Chapter XXI．

Hebr．24．$\sigma$ тolðєîs．28．кєкоì．тò̀ äylov，Mc．7．2，note． 37．$\epsilon i \stackrel{\epsilon}{ } \xi \xi$ ．
 $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon i v a \mu \epsilon \nu$ and $\epsilon \in \tau \iota \mu \epsilon \nu c ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ，tense．11．$\epsilon$＇s $\chi$ ．є́．omission of article．
 fiv．supra 8．30，note．


## Chapter XXII．

Herr．4．тク̀v ódòv，supra 9．2，16．17．20．кaì aưtòs． 22. $\kappa а Ө \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon$ ．23．$\dot{\rho} i \pi \tau \epsilon \omega$ for $\dot{\rho} i \pi \tau \omega$ ．24．$\epsilon i \pi \omega \grave{\omega}=$ commanding． 25.

Non－C．17．Whole verse．

Chap．XX．7．$\epsilon v \tau \hat{\eta} \mu(\underset{a}{a} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \alpha \beta$ ．］$\sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta \beta a \tau a$ is used in G．T．appa－ rently indifferently for（1）The Sabbath day ；（2）The week：as in Matt． 28． 1 （where see note）（1）ó $\psi \epsilon ̀ ~ \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ and（2）$\epsilon$ is $\mu i ́ a \nu ~ \sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu . ~ B u t ~$
 used again in V．A．

Chap．XXI．21．$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi$ ．toîs ${ }^{\epsilon} \theta$ ．］Mc．7．5，note．The strange use of dative is possibly reducible to the rule of＂dative of manner，＂of which，and its cognates＂cause and instrument，＂S．Luke has more examples，in proportion，than any other of the Sacred Writers，L． 20.


28．$\left.\dot{\delta} \lambda a \partial_{s}\right]=$ D
38．ov̉к ápa $\sigma \dot{v} \epsilon i]$＂Thon art not then＂：not a question．
Chap．XXIII．1．$\pi$ odıcev́єo 0 al］Phil．1． $27=$＂to live in a state of society，＂＂act as citizen of a commonwealth，＂＂live in the world，＂in


## Chapter XXIII.

Hebr. 6. $\pi$. $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi$. каì $\dot{a} \nu a \sigma \tau$. $=$ " the hope of the resurrection." Mark omission of article. 11. єis for לş. Also 18. 20.



## Chapter XXIV.

 Mc. 1. 5, note.
 є̇крат $\eta$. : syntax wrong. 7. $\mu \in \tau \grave{a} \pi . \beta$. supra 2. 28, note. 12. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau$., compare 2 Cor. 11. 28. 13. тарабт $\hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$. 18. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ois Vulg. "in quibus," literally, but unintelligibly: E. V. "where-
 $\kappa a i$ tov̂ крi., arbitrary use and omission of article, borrowed possibly from Hebrew irregularity. And тò $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ é $\chi$ ov. 26. ä $\mu a$ $\kappa a \grave{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \zeta \omega \nu$, violation of syntax: unless we connect with ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \phi$. $\gamma \epsilon \nu$., as expressing two reasons for sending him away: "being alarmed..." "withal hoping also." 27. xápıcas plural.

## Chapter XXV.

 non-sequence of tenses. 17. 24. $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \mu i a \nu$, strong instances of $\mu \eta$ ' for oú, so common in G. T. 20. èncyov єỉ $\beta$. 17. 21, 24. 25. 21.
 т. 26. ' ' $\phi^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ : supra 9.
 use of $\mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha}$ corresponds with V. A. and N. T. usage). But 2 Macc. 6. 1 and 3 Macc. 3. 4, it is followed by a dative, as of the manner, roîs rô ©. vó $\mu . \pi$. "To live for God."
 "sperans quod": I cite these two instances of the debased Latin of the Vulgate, through which our E. V. has been so frequently misguided: and much more, the Psalter in our Prayer-Book.

15, 20. Tov̂ aंv $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu}]$ For ? with inf. supra 7. 19, 13. 47.
 is $=$ '



## Chapter XXVI.

 $\kappa . \mu \epsilon \gamma$. supra 8. 10. 31.

Non-C. 3. $\gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \tau \eta \nu:$ V. A. four times. "Accus. pendens": harsh and unusual construction, after $\epsilon \pi i \sigma_{0} \hat{v}$. 12. $\bar{\epsilon} \nu$ oîs, L. 12. 1,
 $\pi a \theta \eta \tau o ̀ s .32 . a ̀ \pi o \lambda \epsilon \lambda$. є́ $\delta \dot{v} \nu a \tau 0$.

## Chapter XXVII.

 19. 44. oüs $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu . .$.

Sept. 1. $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \rho . ~ \tau o \hat{v} a \dot{a} \pi о \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$, M. 2. 6, note.

## Chapter XXVIII.

Hebr. 5. $\mu \grave{̀} \nu$ oủv, supra 14. 3. 14. è $\pi$ ' aủtoîs, Mc. 8. 4, note. 25. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ s ~ \pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ a n d ~ o ̋ \tau \iota . ~$
 dare," Vulg. "opportunity, way": frequent in this sense.
 idiom. E. V. translates "asked": but on what grounds? May it possibly be explained by the common use of $\epsilon i$ interrogative, borrowed from Hebr.? "I said : did he wish..."?
22. $̇ \beta$ 'ßov ó $\mu \eta \nu . .$.$] E. V. "I would also..." does not give the force$ of imperfect. "I was anxious myself also..."
27. $\pi \epsilon^{\prime} \mu \pi \sigma \nu \tau \alpha \ldots \mu \eta े \sigma \eta \mu$.] "for any one sending...not to signify": of course " apud Grecos," in correct construction, $\tau \grave{\text { i }}$ is required.

Chap. XXVI. 7. vinò ’I.] Indignantis: "accused by Jews."
8. Gi] Infra 23, and Hebr. 7. 15: in sense of thut.
 that it was a binding duty for me": not $\hat{\epsilon} \delta . \dot{\epsilon} \mu$. but $\dot{\epsilon} \mu$. $\delta \in \hat{\epsilon} v$. For סокє́ $\omega$ $=$ cogito, see M. 3. 9, note.
26. $\left.\lambda a v \theta_{\ldots} \ldots . v^{\prime} \delta \delta_{v} v\right]$ "I do not at all believe that any of these things is unknown to him."
 an ordinary and grammatical form in Classical authors: but such an extension of it, as the above, ${ }_{\epsilon} \mathrm{e} v \tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i \ldots$ seems very unusual. $\left.\chi \omega \rho i a\right]$ $=$ "estates," "farms," though rare, is used by Thucyd. 1. 106.

Two inscriptions are said by Dr Roberts to have been found in Malta, one in Greek, the other in Latin, giving the official name of the Governor, as $\pi \rho \omega \bar{\omega}$ ros $\mathrm{M} \epsilon \lambda \iota \tau a i \omega \nu$.

 tovs. 25. à $\pi \epsilon \epsilon$. 31. $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi$. $\pi$. supra 2. 28, 24. 7.
17. This conversation was in all probability held in Greek; for S. Paul, we know, wrote to the Converts at Rome, both Jews and Gentiles, in that language.
23. $\xi \in v i ́ a]$ is not found, I believe, "apud Græcos," in the sense of a "lodging," as here and Philemon 22 : nor in V. A. The order is, ois $\hat{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \tau$. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$. то仑̂ ©. ס८a $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho$. $\pi \epsilon i \theta \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \ldots$ " with strong appeals and obtestations, and endeavours to persuade..."
25. $\pi \rho \dot{\rho}$ s] "with respect to," Hebr. 1. 7, note. öть asseverandi: M. 7. 23, L. 6. 5, James 1. 13.
26. ג́кои́ $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath}$ ov̉ $\mu \grave{\eta} \sigma v \nu \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon]$ This combination seems to indicate two futures, "you shall hear and not understand": which is more grammatical and not less forcible than to suppose áкои́бєтє and $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \psi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ as instances of Hebraic future for imperative, M. 5. 48, note, conveying a sentence, or command.
27. $\left.\mu \eta^{\prime} \pi о \tau \epsilon\right]=$ "in case that," "lest that," "at some future time": and thus the prophecy'predicts a temporary suspension of blessings, M. 13. 14, Mc. 4. 12, note.一 $\grave{\epsilon \pi \alpha \chi . . . e ̇ к \alpha ́ \mu \mu . ~ i s ~ p a r e n t h e t i c a l . ~}$

## ROMANS．

## Chapter I．

Hebr．1．2．3．4．5．7．17．omission of article．3．кат⿳亠 $\sigma \alpha ́ \rho к а . ~$ 9．$\grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \nu$ ．10．12．25． 27.

Chap．I．1．єis cíaj\％．©．］The omission of the article here，and in the following verses，and all through the Epistle，is unquestionably Hebraic：and inexplicable on any other theory，except by very over－ strained and forced and unnatural criticism．

4．Ėv $\delta v v . .$. ］＂marked clearly out as the Son of God with power answerable to（＇in accordance with，＇＇corresponding to＇）the Holy Spirit in Him，by His Resurrection．＂ $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \bar{\xi}]=$ instrumenti，M．7．16，Meb． 5．7，notes．

5．єis ínaкоŋ̀v пíवтєшs］Is this＂gen．objecti＂or＂subjecti＂：＂obe－ dience to the faith＂；or＂the obedience of faith，＂＂rendered by faith，＂ ＂springing out of faith＂？The latter agrees best with Classical usage， by analogy of $\dot{v} \pi \alpha \kappa о v \omega$, generally found with dat．But $\dot{v} \pi а к о \grave{\eta}$ is not a Classical，and scarcely a Septuagint word：＂neque apud profanos exstat neque apud LXX．，preter 2 Sam．22．36．＂Grimm．
ínèp тô̂ ơvópatos］Acts 9．16，15．26，＂for his Name＇s sake．＂What do we understand in these passages by Name？Alford suggests＂for His glory．＂It is probably Hebraic．

6．клทroi＇I．X．and 7．ảjar．©．］M．25．34，note．V．A．Ps．59．5， oi áaatฑтoí oov and Ps．107．6，126．2，for Hebraism．Iu the case of substantives，of course，the genitive of posses－ sion is intelligible ：but $\alpha$ 人aп $\eta$ тò̀ and к $\kappa \eta$ тoì are adjectives．

12．＂By the joint and mutual confidence in each other，both of you and of me．＂

13．каі̀ Ł̇к．］＂But I was prevented．＂Hebraic．
16．Sv́vapis ©．］＂God＇s powerful agent for salvation．＂

## Chapter II.

## Hebr. 4. $\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \lambda$. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \chi$.

Non-C. 12. ধivó $\mu \omega \varsigma$. 29. छ̇є for ảmò.
17. Dıкаєобv́v ©.] What do we understand by this, translated in E. V. "the righteousness of God"? Clearly not its literal meaning, as an attribute or quality of God: "the righteousness essentially inherent in Him." It is a genitive not of possession, but of "origination, institution, approval, appointment": "the way of justification ordained by God": "God’s plan and law of righteousness," ék mírtews єis míatıv "springing out of faith, and tending to its end in faith," "beginning in faith, and perfected by continuous development and confirmation of faith." Gesenius gives force of "liberatio, felicitas, salus," to צֶֶ and ip הּשְ: words are put together, side by side, as equivalent terms.

 Our E. V. interpretation is false. $\delta \mu$. $\epsilon i \kappa$. $=$ "an image-likeness": "they exchanged the glory of God for an image-likeness of perishable man." And so 25 : "exchanged the truth of God for the lie": "gave


 Jer. 4. 30, V. A. Eis $\mu$ átalov, and 6. 29, єis кєvòv. V. A. translation of 3rd Commandment, Ex. 20: 7, ovं $\lambda \eta_{\eta} \psi \eta \ldots \epsilon \pi \pi i ̀ \mu a r a i ́ \varphi$, is very obscure. N

25, 32. oítuce] "as persons who had..." ктícıs "the act of creation" used here and elsewhere in N. T. for "the thing created."
32. $\delta<к a i \omega \mu a]$ V. A. passim, for all the Hebrew words that mean "decree," "command," "law." Infra 2. 26.


8. тoìs $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\xi} \dot{\epsilon} \mu$.] The same form as oi $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau о \mu \hat{\eta}$, Acts 10.45 , and oi $\epsilon$ é $\pi i ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega$, infra 3. 26, 4. 11.
9. $\pi \hat{a} \sigma . \psi \cdot \dot{a} v \theta$.] Comp. R. 13.1. Hebraic : derived possibly from
 "every soul" in Jewish phraseology, is equivalent to "every body" in our common English idiom : which is exactly opposite to the Hebrew.
 Jos. 3. 2, 2 Chr. 23. 20, 32. 4. Here and infra 4. 11, 7. 5, 11, 13, 14. 20,

## Chapter III.

 20. ov̉ $\delta \iota \kappa$. $\pi a ̂ \sigma a \sigma$.
15. 4, Gal. 3. 19, 2 Tim. 2. 2, such an interpretation suits very well : "out of the very midst of." Dr Wordsworth, here and at 4. 11, suggests the notion of " $a$ barrier to be broken through." How to translate the $\delta \iota a$ in the above and corresponding passages, has always been a great perplexity. I venture to submit the above attempt at a solution.

Chap. III. 1, 2. In this, the first distich of question and answer, occupying verses $1-9$, (in which S. Paul suggests, and replies to, the probable arguments of an imaginary objector to the statements of Cap. 1 and 2,) there is no difficulty but $\gamma^{\alpha} \rho$; evaded by Vulg. and E. V., and by all the Commentators I have met with: "for, first of all," (the Jews have this advantage) " because that..."

3, 4. Before going further, I must refer to the Hebrew idiom, so often illustrated in these notes, M. 11. 19, L. 16. 19, $1 \cdot$ Cor. 13. 6, 2 Th. 2. 10, by which

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{\iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \sigma v ́ v}^{\eta}=\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota \alpha=\pi i \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma \\
& \dot{\alpha} \dot{\partial} \iota \kappa i ́ a=\psi \in \hat{\varepsilon} \hat{\delta} \delta o s \text { or } \psi \in \hat{v} \sigma \mu a=\dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau i \alpha \alpha .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, in 3, mívois has, not its ordinary, but a special meaning, and = "trustworthiness, truthfulness, faithfulness," the characteristic of one
 $\mu \in v o s$. Grimm, sub voce, translates "indoles ejus, cui confidi potest"; and cites Gal. 5. 22, Titus 2. 10. Here also $\dot{a} \pi \iota \sigma \tau i ́ a$ and $\dot{a} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ describe severally the character and action of one, who is $\dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \mathrm{~s}:$ i.e. "unfaithful to his vows, false, disloyal, disobedient."
$5,6$. "Well, but, if our ( $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \dot{\iota} a=\psi \in \hat{v} \delta o s=\dot{u} \pi \iota \sigma \tau i ́ a)$ commend and confirm the faithfulness ( $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma .=a \lambda \eta^{\prime} \theta_{0}=\pi i \sigma \tau \iota s$ ) of God," (as "keeping his promise for ever," notwithstanding all our unfaithfulness) "is God, who is thus bringing his wrath to bear upon us, (by rejecting the Jews from their privileges as exclusively His people) unfaithful to his pledged word after all?" "God forbid : for in that case how shall God judge the world?" (Gen. 18. 25).

7, 8. "I do not agree yet": urges the objector, "for if..." Or, more briefly, "If then the truthfulness of God las been more abundantly and triumphantly demonstrated, to His Glory, by my untruthfulness and violated pledges"; (if i.e. my $\psi \in \hat{\imath} \sigma \mu a=\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa$ ía has tended only to the greater glory of God) "why, after this, am I even subject to condemnation as a sinner?" "O! stop there," pleads S. Paul in reply;

Non-C. 1. tò $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o ̀ \nu . ~ 9 . ~ \pi \rho o є \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$, middle. 26.12.


Chapter IV.

Non-C. 6. $\lambda$ ह́ $\gamma=\iota$. 12. roîs ì $\chi \nu \in \sigma \iota$ : quasi-dat. of manner. 21. $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \phi \circ \rho$.
"and do not go on to say" (as the logical sequence of your last profane objection) "let us then, by all means" (öヶ emphatic) "do evil..."
12. The very words of V. A. ov̉к évziv ēms évós much as one."
21. $\delta \kappa \kappa . \Theta$.$] "God's appointed method-of-justification."$
 approved of and required by Jesus Christ": the corresponding term to $\delta_{\iota \kappa}$. ©. in 21, Gal. 2. 16, 20, Phil. 1. 27, $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota$ тov̂ $\epsilon \dot{u} a \gamma \gamma .2$ Th, 2. 13.
23. סós $\eta \mathrm{y}]$ = possibly, "good opinion," "approval": infra 5. 2, J. 5. 43, 12. 44.

30. $\delta \iota \kappa . \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau . \epsilon \in \kappa \pi$.] Is it not possible that we may have here a blending of the two previous expressions, $1.17, \delta \delta \iota \kappa$. $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \pi$. 乌̆ं $\sigma$. and 26 ,
 тон $\overline{\mathrm{j}}$ п $\pi \sigma$ тoi, and infra 4. 12, 14, 16. The Jews, who believed on Jesus, were oi $\epsilon \kappa \pi i \sigma t \epsilon \omega$ ' $'$., and were justified in consequence of taking their. stand on that side. Is there not then, probably, a constructio pragnans
 'Iovóaiovs, and $\mathfrak{\epsilon ̇ \kappa ~ \pi i \sigma t \epsilon \omega s ~ b e ~ t a k e n ~ b o t h ~ w i t h ~ t h e ~ v e r b ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ n o u n , ~}$ combining the promise of the old prophecy, and the later familiar form of expression ? The very choice of $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ may perhaps be due to its occurrence in Habakkuk, V. A., where it stands simply for $\stackrel{3}{ }$.

Chap. IV. 11. $\sigma \eta \mu$. $\pi \epsilon \rho \tau \tau$.] Not a Greek construction, though correct in Hebrew and English : $\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \circ \mu \eta$ was $\tau \grave{o} \sigma \eta \mu$. $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \delta \alpha a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \varsigma$. Such expressions as "the sign of Circumcision," "the book of Genesis," suit our idiom : but are against Greek grammar. \&i' áкр.] "out of the midst of," "in spite of." Supra 2. 26.
 (as E. T. seems to imply), but of the abstract term тò $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa$ тov̂ vó $\mu \mathrm{ov}=$ oí $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \kappa$ $\tau . v$. "the law party." "The promise...sure to all the seed, not only to the "law-party, but to the faith-party": not ouly to those who-hold-on-to-the law of Moses, but to those who array themselves under the banner of faith.

## Chapter V.

Hebr. 4. катаıб $\begin{gathered}\text { 5. 5. } \pi \nu . \dot{a} \gamma . \tau o \hat{\nu} \delta \text {. position of article: as }\end{gathered}$ also 15. 9. 11. 21. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$. 14. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\iota} \tau \hat{\omega} \dot{o} \mu$.

## Chapter VI.

 т $\hat{\eta} \varsigma \quad \sigma а \rho \kappa$ о̀s.
17. кatév.] "our father in the cyes of God": who seeth not as man: V. A. for לִשְּ2. Supra 2. 18.
20. . Dative of instrument, twice in this verse : infra 5. 15.

Сhap. V. 4. ov̉ катаєбх.] "never disappoints." Ps. 21. 5, 25. 3, 31. 1, 18, 34. 5, פְ
 Hebrew, "to blush," "to have the face ashamed," conveys this meaning.

7. ín $\left.\grave{\rho} \gamma \mathrm{a}_{\rho}\right]$ '? elliptically taken, often means "but," and so is rendered in V. A. ả $\lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime}$, Gen. 17. 15, 42. 12, et passim. Hence, from ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ being thus frequently equivalent to $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, may not $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, possibly, have been looked on as an equivalent to $\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ : or rather, may not the literal $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ have been used at times to express $\stackrel{3}{ }$ ?., instead of ${ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$, which the sense requires; and may not this usage have become familiar to the readers of V. A., and so crept into N. T., as here?
11. This use of a participle absolute, as it were, without any grammatical connexion with what goes before or after, is not uncommon with S. Paul. Infra 12. 9, 13. 11.
 $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\alpha} v \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} v$.
15. "For whereas, on the one land, the penalty was in consequence of one offence resulting in condemnation; on the other hand, the free gift is after many offences, issuing in acquittal."
18. סıк. ६.] Seems the correlative of ката́кр. Өáv. implied though not expressed in 17. For $\delta \ldots \kappa a i \omega \mu \alpha$ in this sense, see Rev. 19. 8.
 personified, treated as a person, in both places, "dead for all claims of sin," "to all suggestions," "to all influences" of $\sin : 2$ Cor. 5. 13,

4. Not ovvєcá申. єis tòv $\theta$ ávacov, but $\delta \iota a ̀$ tov $\beta$. єis tòv $\theta$., "the baptism-into-his-death" of 3 . There are set before us here, verses $3,4,5$, three things, which we share in common with Christ: (1) death,

## Non-C. 5. à $\lambda \lambda \grave{a}$ каì. 10. ö ảméӨave. 11. éavtoùs. 23. óqడ́via.

(2) burial, (3) resurrection : all typified and represented by baptism, as practised in primitive times: (1) the immersion into, (2) the momentary resting beneath, (3) the raising up out of, the water.
5. An argument, not for a natural consequence, but for a moral obligation on the ground of an admitted fact. "For if" (as every believer must allow) "we have been paired and matched" (as it were) "with Him," assimilated to Him, by baptism, which is "the representation," the perpetual shadowing forth and exhibition of His death, and is meant mystically to remind us thereof : and if, further, we have therein also sought to imitate his descent into the grave, claiming the spiritual and supernatural effects of both; how much greater and stronger is the moral obligation, to reproduce in ourselves and imitate and exhibit in our lives the pattern supplied, the lesson taught, by His resurrection? "seeing that we have been assimilated to Him in the likeness of His death, surely, much more shall we strive to be so in the imitation of His resurrection." Since we have clained to set forth a copy of His death and burial, how much more are we bound to exhibit and exemplify His resurrection?
6. тои̂то $\gamma \iota \gamma v$.] Not so much knowing, as considering: "with this thought ever before us." тò $\sigma \omega \hat{\omega} \mu$ т $\hat{\eta} s a \dot{\alpha} \alpha \rho \tau i a s]$ "our sin-bound," "sinpossessed," "sin-enslaved body." Infia 12, 14. "Our body, where sin reigns, is sin's." Wordsworth.
10. ï زàp ärt́tavє...] "For, the death which He died, He died unto sin once for all: but the life which He liveth, He liveth unto God": i.e. "by the death which He died," He showed "once for all" incontrovertibly, that "He was dead to sin," not drawn to it, nor swayed by it, nor alive to it, in any sense ; but dead to it, as a corpse is dead to any influence from without.
14. S. Paul appeals here again to moral obligation, to right feeling, to conscience, to generous impulses and the instinctive sense of duty based on gratitude for mercies received: to what those, who are not under stern, rigid law, but under a covenant of grace, must admit to be binding on them.
16. $\delta o u ̄ \lambda o \iota . . ~ a ́ \mu . ~ \epsilon i s ~ \theta.] ~ " s i n ~ t e n d i n g ~ t o, ~ a n d ~ e n d i n g ~ i n, ~ d e a t h . " ~$
19. "I use a figure of speech common among men, on account of your natural incapacity for other treatment of the subject."
20. $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \epsilon \rho \circ\llcorner. . \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \iota \kappa$.] "Ye were as free men to righteousness": "rejecting the claims of," "repudiating the control of," "disdainful of all allegiance to" righteonsness, treated here as a person.

## Chapter VII.

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \mu$. 22. $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ 光 $\sigma \omega$ ă $\nu \theta \rho$. 24. $\tau o \hat{v} \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu$. тov̂ $\theta$.

## Chapter VIII.

Hebr. 2. ó vó $\mu$. tov $\pi \nu$. 3. бapкòs $\mathfrak{a} \mu$. 15. nom. for voc. 34. $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \delta \epsilon \xi \iota a \underset{\imath}{c}$. 36. $\pi \rho o ́ \beta$. $\sigma \phi$.
 sanctification"; as supra 19.

Chap. VII. 3. éà̀v $\gamma^{\prime} \epsilon$. ảv $\left.\delta \rho i\right] \quad$ So V. A. literally for Lev. 22. 12,


 A remarkable instance of $\tau o \hat{v}$ with inf. for ?, M. 2.6, "so as not to be..."
4. $\delta \iota \alpha$ тov̂ $\sigma \omega \mu$. $\tau 0 \hat{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{X} \rho$.] "through the death of the body of Christ," our participation in the benefits of which, communicated to us at our admission into the Christian covenant, is illustrated and represented by the types and forms of Baptism": Col. 2. 12, ovviaф'́vтєs av̉z仑̂ év tê
 tism" to sin and the law, "raised up with Him" to live for Him, as a wife for her husband, "to bring forth fruit for God."
5. $\left.\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma_{\alpha} \alpha \kappa i\right]$ In our natural state, before baptism. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \theta$. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\left.{ }_{\alpha} \dot{\mu}.\right]$ Hebraism : "our sinful affections, cherished in despite of the law," $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ v o ́ \mu o v, ~ " b r e a k i n g ~ t h r o u g h ~ t h e ~ b a r r i e r s ~ o f ~ t h e ~ l a w . " ~ " ~$
6. катทрүй $\theta$. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o}]$ The metaphor of verses 2 and 4 coutinued. The illustrations, borrowed from baptism and marriage, are inextricably mixed up together.
13. $\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \mu$. $\delta \omega \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \dot{\epsilon} v \tau$.] "sin committed by people under the influence of," "in defiance of," "the commandment," "by breaking through the barriers of $i t$, " "out of the midst of it": supra 2.27. This rendering of $\delta \iota a$, , justified, I hope, by the passages cited there, seems to lessen the difficulties connected with its use here, and supra 8, 11, and elsewhere.
24. тô̂ $\sigma \omega ́ \mu$. тov̂ $\theta$. тov́rov] "this death-enslaved body." 6.6.

Chap. VIII. 2. ó vó $\mu \mathrm{o}-\tau o \hat{v}-\pi v$. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \zeta \omega \hat{\rho} s-\dot{e} v-\mathrm{X} \rho$.] The spiritual law of life-in-and-by-Christ Jesus.
9. S. Paul presupposes, assumes as an undoubted fact, that those to whom he was writing, were members of Christ, Christ's people, Xpıбтov̂ : and so necessarily, $\pi v \in \hat{\imath} \mu a \mathrm{X} \rho$. ё́Xoviєs: regenerate, having the Spirit dwelling in them : no longer èv баркі̀ but èv $\pi v \in \dot{y} \mu a \tau t$. There is
 19. ктібъ for кті $\sigma \mu a .21$.

Sept. 20. ната⿱㇒木́т $\eta$ s.

## Chapter IX.

 $\sigma \kappa$. and $\pi a ̂ s \ldots o v$. .

Non-C. 6. oiov čtı. 11. $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega$ and $\tau \dot{\tau} \dot{a} \gamma$.
Sept. 3. $\dot{a} \nu a ́ \theta \epsilon \mu a=$ nר , res deo devota, sine spe redemtionis, Jos. 6. 17, 18. 1; Deut. 7. 26, res exitio destinata. Grimm and Schl.
no donbt implied by $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \rho$ : it expresses an admitted fact: "You are not in the flesh," left to yourselves in your inherited weakness of the old man, in your human nature unaided from above, "but you are in the Spirit," taken up into,-adopted by,-interpenetrated by all the influences of,-within the sphere and realm of,-the Spirit; "if the Spirit of God dwelleth in you" (which cannot be questioned). "For if any man have not the Spirit of Christ, he is none of His"; not a Christian at all.
10. vєкрòv $\delta \iota^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \mu \ldots$...] "dead for the work of $\sin , \ldots$ alive for the work of righteousness": "as ( $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ ) the body is mortified..., so ( $\delta \stackrel{\xi}{\circ}$ ) the soul is quickened..."
20. $\mu$ araıóт $\eta$ s] V. A. for לֶֶּ, Job 7. 16. кєvòs and $\mu$ атaios are used, in translating this word, indifferently, as though equivalent, Jer. 10. 3,
 passim in V. A. and N. T. In this place $\mu a \tau .="$ corruption, dissolution, temporary annihilation": "the being emptied out." $\delta$ à tòv $\mathfrak{v} \pi \pi$ or. ="for the purposes of..."
 demption of the body,-by its deliverance from the $\delta o u \lambda \epsilon^{\prime} \alpha \operatorname{\tau \eta } s ~ \phi 9$ opas, the enslavement and bonds of death and corruption, at the Resurrec-tion,-will be the completion of our vio $\theta \in \sigma i$, our Adoption as God's children : our Lord calls it "our Regeneration." M. 19. 28.

Chap. IX. 1. év $\mathrm{X}_{\rho}$.] Is this an Hebraic form of adjuration, the
 the Holy Spirit."
10. к. є. ${ }^{*}$ ] . "bed": whereas it means "effusio seminis." Lev. 15. 16, äv $\theta \rho \omega \pi$ os $\ddagger$

 "vasa apta in quæ conferatur benignitas," Grinm :-very remalkable Hebraisms.

## Chapter X.

Hebr. 5̈. 9. $11 . \quad$ Sept. 1. єúठoкía. 17. áкò̀.
28. Exact from V. A. Compare with Hebrew, Is. 10. 22, 23 : curious translation both of tions to an end, cutting them short in righteousness." Gesen. renders "interitus decretus est: affert inundando justitiam."

Chap. X. 8. тò $\grave{\rho} \eta \mu \mu]$ "The essential thing," "materies," "the sum and substance": = "it comes home to thee," "fits close to thee" in mouth and in heart; i.e. "the message of the faith..."

11, 13. These two Quotations are S. Paul's proofs from Scripture of his positions in 9 and 10 : that in (11) asserting the reward of $\pi$ iotis; that in (13) of $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu a \tau o s ~ o ́ \mu o \lambda o \gamma i ́ a . ~ E a c h ~ \gamma \alpha ̀ ̀ ~ i s ~ i n d e p e n d e n t ~ a n d ~$ distinct: the second not a confirmation of the first, but antithetical to it: as is so frequent in his use of $\gamma \dot{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{p}$ : which we find repeated three or four times in succession, introducing each a fresh and separate reason. Cap. 8. 13, 14, 15 : 13. 9, 12.
12. 'I. $\tau \in \kappa \alpha i{ }^{\prime}$ E.] Acts 6. 1, note.
16. а́коท门] Quotation from V. A. John 12. 38, note, Heb. 4. 2. The sense that seems to lie in our trauslation of 17, "and hearing by the word of God," vanishes, if examined carefully. May we explain it thus? Isaiah says, in amazement, "Who hath believed our message-heard-by-him?" (as though all ought to have belicved.) "Clearly then" (S. Paul argues from this astonishment of Isaiah) ä $\rho \alpha$ "faith ought to have followed heedful-hearing-of-the-message, and that ought to have been given because of the word of God conveyed by it": was due to the message as being the word of God.
19. "Did not Israel know" that the Gentiles would hear the message and be admitted into Gol's family? Yes: for Moses and
 that-are-no-people" of God. Could they have understood this, as if meaning "against"? or were they accustomed to render $\underset{\square}{\rightrightarrows}$ by $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ frequently, and did so here, blindly? Can è $\pi i$, by any possible contortion, mean "by," instrumentally?
21. $\pi \rho o$ 's $\tau$. 'I.] " with respect to," Hebr. 1. 7. For לیֶ in this sense see Gen. 20. 2. "Abraham said of Sarah" E. V.; where V. A. have $\pi \epsilon \rho i .2$ Kings 19. 32, $\pi \rho o ̀ s$. This use of a wrong preposition is a strong instance of what has been said above, J. 1. 1, note.

## Chapter XI.

Hebr. 2. év 'H $\lambda i ́ a ́$, by. 9. $\gamma \in \nu$. $\epsilon i s$. 34. $\kappa a i=$ in order that.

## Chapter XII.

Non-C. 1. $\delta \iota a ̀$ with gen. 5. ó $\delta \grave{e} \kappa a \theta^{\prime}$ eis. 16. фооv. $\pi a \rho$ '


Sept. 3. єis tò $\sigma \omega \phi \rho$.

## Chapter XIII.

Hebr. 1. 3. 9. ov̉ $\mu$. M. 9. 18, note. 13. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi$. Mc. 7. 5.


Chap. XI. 2. катà for often has this meaning: Gen. 18. 19, Joel 1. 3, Is. 37. 9. And it is often rendered in V. A. by кат $\dot{\alpha}$ : although not in the passages quoted. We may allowably infer that this meaning may have been attached to it, by the authors and readers of V. A. as an equivalent for
8. Not exactly as either in Hebrew or V. A.
12. $\left.\eta_{\tau} \tau \eta \mu \alpha\right]$ " fall and forfeiture," $\pi \lambda \eta \eta_{\rho \omega} \mu \alpha$, "final and full restoration."
25. ínò $\mu$ '́povs] Occurs only 5 times in N. T., and about as often in V. A.: where it stands twice for מִקְen, literally rendered: Dan. 1. 2, Neh. 7. 70. S. Paul alone uses it, R. 11. 25, 15. 15, 24, 2 Cor. 1. 14, 2. 5. It would seem to be never found in Classical Authors.
30. á $\left.\pi \in \ell \theta \in \epsilon_{i}^{a}\right]$ not "unbelief" but "disobedience": Grimm :-see note, Eph. 5. 6.

Chap. XII. 1. 入oy. 入.] 1 Pet. 2. 1, "spiritual," perhaps, as opposed to $\lambda a \tau \rho \epsilon i ́ a ~ \delta \iota^{\prime} \dot{a} \lambda o \gamma \omega \bar{\omega}$, by sacrifices of animals without reason.
3. єis $\tau \grave{o} \sigma$.] "soberly," E. V. and this is correct. But how do we get this from the Greek? It is apparently a phrase insensibly adopted from V. A., as a convenient adverbial formula, (see Mc. 5. 34) by those acquainted with that Version; and so seems to have got into N. T. as in $\epsilon$ is $\kappa \epsilon v o ̀ v, 2$ Cor. 6. 1, Gal. 2. 2, and here: where tò $\sigma \omega \phi \rho$. stands for a noun. Phil. 2. 13, 16.
19. ठо́тє то́тог] = dare spatium: "make way for it," "let it pass by."

Chap. XIII. 1. $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \psi v \chi \grave{\eta}]=$ "every one, etery body." Supra 2.9.
10. $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ vó $\mu \circ v]$ "the whole-law-in-full."

## Chapter XIV.


Non-C. 1. $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi$. dat. of part. 2. $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\iota} \epsilon \iota$. 5. крiveє. 6. $\phi \rho \circ \nu \omega \hat{\nu}$.

## Chapter XV.

 for voc.

Non-C. 1. ádúvatos in sense of weak. 8. тàs є̇ $\pi a \gamma \gamma . \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi$. 15. àтò $\mu$. 21. $\sigma \nu \nu \eta \dot{\sigma}$. 26. коıขшขía .

Снар. XIV. 4. т仑̣̂ iठ. к.] Like $\zeta \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega}$ ©., 6. 10 : and infra 6, 7, 8. All are instances of what used to be called "dative of the person."
 ó $\mu \nu \dot{v} \omega$ : to which $\zeta \hat{\omega} \hat{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ is clearly equivalent in the mind of S. Paul :
 praise," "adore." M. 11. 25, note : and infra 15. 9.
14. $\left.\epsilon i \mu \eta^{\prime}\right]=\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha}$, as so often in N. T. : note M. 12. 4; 24. 36, Mc. 13. 32 : and this corresponds exactly to the well-known use of
 19, Ps. 1. 4, or by ${ }^{\circ} \tau \iota \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \stackrel{\eta}{\eta}, 2$ K. 23. 23, but occasionally also by $\epsilon i$ $\mu \grave{\eta}$, Gen. 32. 27, Lev. 22. 6.
19. $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ оiкоסo $\mu \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\eta} s \in \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$.] A N. T. illustration and phrase altogether : not found in Hebrew, $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ єis $\dot{\alpha}$. oik. means clearly "mutual edification": but how it comes to mean it, I cannot see.
20. $\delta \iota a ̀ \pi \rho$.] Note $2.27: 4.11$; "in spite of," "in disregard of," offence to his conscience: "breaking it down."

Chap. XV. 2. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \quad \pi \rho$. oik.] "with a view to that which is good-for-edification."
4. таракл.] = "Instruction, teaching, enlightenment": Note Acts 4. $36,13.15,15.31$, "that we may hold our hope in-combination-vith, under the pervading influence of, patience, and the teaching of the Holy Scriptures"; "maintain our own hope in combination with toleration and forbearance to others, and the direction and true interpretation of H. Scr.":-which is the best security under the blessing of $\delta$ ©. $\hat{\eta}_{\mathrm{\eta}} \mathrm{~s}$
 true force to the injunction of (7) $\pi \rho o \sigma \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \dot{\nu}$. "accept," "welcome," others, notwithstanding minor differences and imperfections. I submit this interpretation as consistent with the whole context, confirming and summing up all the arguments of Cap. 14. "Patience and comfort of the Scriptures" are out of tune with the whole previons passage: which

## Chapter XVI.

##  Non-C. 18. єủдoyía and аккс́кш

enjuins emphatically forbearance and submission to divine instruction, in our dealings with the tender consciences and scruples of weaker brethren. Possibly there may be no connexion between đò aúrò ф фoveiv
 $\dot{\epsilon}^{\dot{\epsilon} v} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o \iota s$. "May the God of forbearance and instruction grant to you to be like-minded with Himself in your conduct towards one another after the example of Jesus Christ"; "make you, in accordance with His revealed will and character, tolerant of the infirmities of others, as Jesus was, and ready and willing to teach them the truth in meekness; so that by His blessing, all may be won to agree in the faith, and so with one mind and one mouth glorify God."
 Therper The translators were aware of ? giving signification of dative of person sometimes : and so rendered it here, by dative alone; against Greek idiom altogether. Hebr. 13. 15.
 "offshoot"): no article with either nominative in Hebrew : as required by the sense.

30. $\delta \iota \dot{a}]$ with gen. in this sense peculiar. 12, 1, 1 Cor. 1. 10.

Chap. XVI. 2. '̇v кvрí $(\underset{\sim}{c}]$ Possibly here "because of," "for the

 M. 3. 11.
23. Acts 20. 4, Гáióos was a Corinthian. 1 Cor. 1. 14.
25. Observe кađà first with and then without article: required by the sense in each case : omitted in the latter by common Hebraic usage, exhibited so frequently and so remarkably in this Epistle, notably in these last 3 verses, and not to be accounted for in any other way.

## 1 CORINTHIANS.

## Chapter I.

 infra 8. 11. 29. 30.


## Chapter II.

 art. 14. భuхıко̀s.

Non-C. 2. т८. 12. єi $\delta \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$. 13. $\delta \iota \delta a \kappa т о i ̂ \varsigma ~ \pi \nu є \cup ́ \mu a \tau o s . ~ 16 . ~$ construction: $\tau i \varsigma{ }^{\prime}$ é $\gamma \nu \omega .$. i's.

## Chapter III.

Hebr. 3. кат ${ }^{\prime} \nu \partial \theta$. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a т$.


Chap. I. 21. "When in the midst of the revelations of God's wisdom, the world recognised not God in that wisdom" (looked not through it to God): "it pleased God, by this despised and seemingly foolish announcement..."; "by the promulgation of a doctrine which they esteemed foolishness..."
30. $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi$ a $\mathfrak{v} r o \hat{v}]$ This use of $\vec{\epsilon} \xi$ is probably Hebraic, for $\mathfrak{i}=\dot{v} \pi \grave{o}^{\circ}$, R. 1. 4, 2 Cor. 2. 2, 7. 9, Rev. 2. 11. "Through Him you are in Christ." So also $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o}$ © $\Theta .=\dot{v} \pi \grave{o}$ ©., recalls another V. A. rendering of Pb. Jude 23, note. $^{\text {. }}$

Chap. II. 1. ка $\theta^{\prime}$ vi $\pi \epsilon \rho \circ \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$ ] "by way of any excellence." $\mu a \rho \tau v ́-$ ptov] Hebr. idiom, applied to the Law, first, Ex. 25. 16 : and afterwards to the Gospel.
5. $\pi i ́ \sigma \tau \iota \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{\epsilon} v}$ ] Note Mc. 1.15.

Chap. III. 8. $\left.{ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nu\right]$ "He that planteth and... watereth are one and the same thing": "whether a man planteth or watereth, it is all the same."

## Chapter IV.

Herr. 3. eis è $\lambda a ́ \chi$. 9. Irregularity of article. 15. èj $\mathrm{X} \rho \ldots$


Non-C. 2. ô $\delta \hat{e} \lambda$. 6. Latter clause : construction. 9. $\theta^{\prime}$ áa $\quad$. 11. äpтt.

Chapter VI.
Non-C. 6. à. $\mu \epsilon \tau a ̀ a ̀ a . ~ k p i v e t a l . ~ 11 . ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau a ́ ~ \tau \imath v e s ~ \grave{\eta} \tau \epsilon$.
9. ©. $\sigma v v \epsilon \rho \gamma o i]$ "We are God's labourers all": ye are God's field, God's blessing.
12. छ. x. к.] "wood, thatch, reeds."
13. द̇v $\pi$. ároк.] "There-is-to-be-a manifestation by fire."

Chap. IV. 3. eis è̉áx.] An evident Hebraism: though I find no instance exactly like it in V. A.
4. ov̉dèv é $\mu . \sigma v i v$.$] "I am conscious of no offence": "I know nothing$ against myself": old use of "by" in E. V., see Cranmer's letter to Henry VIII., Burnet's Hist. of the Reformation, Book 3, near the beginning: "I am exceeding sorry that such things can be proved by the Queen":-i.e. "against."
 Or, possibly, ${ }^{\boldsymbol{c} v} \mathrm{X} \rho .=$ cis $\mathrm{X} \rho$., since $\underset{\square}{\rightrightarrows}$ means both in and into; and in the latter sense is rendered by $\dot{\epsilon} v$ occasionally. M. 28. 19. "I have begotten you into Christ," "brought you, as a father, into the family of Christ."
21. év $\left.\dot{\rho} \dot{\alpha} \beta \delta \omega{ }^{2}\right] \quad$ M. 3. 11, note, Apoc. 2. 27, L. 22. 49. It is one of the most startling instances of the literal translation of $\underset{\sim}{7}$ by ${ }^{i} \nu$ : not Greek, in any sense, or by any stretch of critical ingenuity, but Hebraic: which cannot be too strongly stated, or too often repeated, in this and similar instances of violation of grammar and idiom. It is borrowed, no doubt, from similar uses of $\hat{\epsilon} v$ in V. A., e.g. 1 Chr. 12. 11, 14, $\epsilon_{\epsilon \pi a i}^{i} \in v \sigma \in \nu$

 common in S. Paul : assignable in all probability to a Hebrew source. 2 Cor. 5. 5.
 2 Cor. 13. 1, Acts 25. 9.

 lies in its use with only one, rov̂ $\dot{\alpha}$. Compare $1 \mathrm{~K} .5 .12, \eta_{\nu} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ єipq́v $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha}$ $\mu$. Xıрà ккаì àvà $\mu$. इ $\alpha \lambda \omega \mu \omega \dot{\nu}$.

## Chapter VII.


SEPT. 5. Є̇тì tò aữò. 17. $\epsilon i{ }_{\mu} \mu \grave{\eta}$, R. 14. 14, note. 37. тô̂ т $\eta \rho \in \hat{\imath} \nu$.

## Chapter VIII.

 ти́ттодтєร.

## Chapter IX.

Non-C. 1. The construction, for interrogation, unusual. 12. $\sigma \tau \in ́ \gamma o \mu \epsilon \nu$, 15. $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o v$ ท̂...íva.

## Chapter X.


 finitive after intransitive verb: universal almost, in V. A. and N. T. 10. ỏ̀ö $\rho \epsilon v \tau o \hat{v}$. 33. $\mu \eta$ for ov.

Sept. 20. Sal $\mu o v i o i s, ~ M . ~ 9 . ~ 33, ~ n o t e . ~$
13. Strong instances of S. Paul's use of the dative (of the person, as it used to be called, inexactly but comprehensively), see Rom. 6. 2, 20, infra 9. 21.
 the sense of "into" suits best: which $\frac{7}{i}$ constantly conveys. It is a Hebrew idiom literally rendered by a wrong preposition.

Chap. VIII. 11. ė $\pi i]=$ y $v$ "on account of," "leaning upon," "relying upon." Cap. 9. 10.

Сhap. X. 3. тгєขратько̀ $]$ "supernatural." 15. 44, Gal. 4. 29. The manna was not in any sense spiritual food, nor the water out of the rock that followed them, spiritual drink: but both miraculous and supernatural. Пעє仑̂رa constantly means "the supernatural" as contrasted with $\sigma a{ }^{\prime} \rho \xi$ " the natural."
11. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta \tau^{\omega} \hat{\nu}$ ai.] "Upon whom the extremities of the two dispensations, the ante-Christian and Christian æras of the world, have come," in whom have met together the end of the one and the beginning of the other. Heb. 9. 26.
18. The Jews were 'I $\sigma \rho a \dot{\eta} \lambda \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \sigma \alpha ́ \rho к а: ~ t h e ~ C h r i s t i a n s ~ ' I ~ I \sigma \rho . ~ к а т ' ~$ ímayyє $\lambda_{i ́ a v . ~ S . ~ P a u l ~ a p p e a l s ~ b o t h ~ t o ~ t h e ~ J e w i s h ~ a n d ~ C h r i s t i a n ~ i n-~}^{\text {and }}$

Chapter XI.
 $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{a} \nu$ ë $\lambda \theta \omega$.

Chapter XII.
 words. 27. é $\kappa \mu$ épovs. 29. $\mu \eta$ interrogative.

## Chapter XIII.


stinctive belief of what was implied by participation in sacrifices offered to God.
32. See R. 3. 9, John 7. 35.

Chap. XI. 7. סóga] V. A. for N. 12. 8. Never so found in good Greek.
 $K v p$. contrasted with ${ }^{\circ} \delta i o v$ : they met together to eat not the feast of the Lord, in the way instituted by Him, but their own meal.
22. кãaıбXv́vetє] This verb is used by V. A. as = "humilio," "tanquam pudendum rejicio," "contumeliosè afficio": Ps. 13. 7, Ruth 2. 15. So here "you treat the poor with disrespect," "humiliate them."
 instances in V. A. of genitive of ćrime are given in Trommius; only one in Apocrypha. 2 Mace. 13. 6, iєpocodias ë́voxos. There seems to have been a generalisation from a particular, from murder to any other crime; "cædis reus", generalised gradually into "reus": "there is blood on his hands," it $\begin{aligned} & \text { QT , coming at last to signify "there is guilt on }\end{aligned}$
 came to mean "...guilt in the midst of thee": V. A. кaì évtal ėv voì aimãı "VoXos, "some one guilty by reason of bloodshed," originally. M. 5. 22, note, James 2. 10.

Chap. XII. 7. $\dot{\eta}$ фavép $\omega \sigma$ oss] "the illuminating insight," "the power of elucidating divine mysteries and making them clear to others."
10. $\gamma^{\prime} v \eta \gamma \lambda$.] V. A. 2 Chr. 16. 14, $\gamma^{\prime} \nu \eta \mu \nu \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \nu$.

Chap. XIII. 6. d́dıќa] Contrasted with ả $\lambda \eta \theta$ cia : a very strong instance of aंठıкòs $=\psi \in v \delta \eta$ 's, M. 11. 19, note, Luke 16. 9, infra 15.34.

 "observabatur apostolo," and Alford "there is a reference to it."

## Chapter XIV.

Non-C. 2. $\lambda a \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \lambda \omega ́ \sigma \sigma \eta$. 5. $\epsilon \in \tau o ̀ s ~ \epsilon \grave{\iota} \mu \dot{\eta}$ : and subjunctive


## Chapter XV.

 $\tau \hat{\eta} \epsilon ่ \sigma \chi$. $\sigma a ́ \lambda \pi$. 54. катєт....єìs עîкоя.

 є́форє́ $\sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu$. 51. тávтєऽ $\mu \in ̀ \nu \nu$ oủ.

## Chapter XVI.

Hebr. 6. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ v i . ~ \pi a \rho a \mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega}, 2$ Th. 3. 10. 11. є̇v єip $\eta \nu \eta \eta$.
 12. каі̀ $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega ร$ oủk ท้̉ $\theta$. 18. àvét $\pi a v \sigma a \nu$.

Chap. XIV. 7. $\left.\phi \theta_{0}^{\prime} \gamma \gamma o c s\right]=$ "notes."


Chap. XV. 8. "To me, the abortion, so to speak."
14. кйрvүра] not "our preaching": but the subject of it: "the Gospel preached by us."
15. катà т. ©.] "with respect to," see Romans 11. 2.
34. סıкaíws] For תקֶֻּ This rendering of the adverb, justified by the usage of V. A. and N. T., seems in this passage to express the sense better, and is more grammatically correct than those generally suggested.
54. There seems to be a distinction here between $\phi \theta$ apròv and O $\nu$ خò $\nu$ : the former comprehending all that were dead before the judgment day and turned already to corruption (vv. 50, 52), the latter those liable to death, but not yet dead.

Chap. XVI. 2. $\mu i a v \sigma \alpha \beta \beta$.] M. 28. 1, Mc. 16. 2, L. 24. 1, $\mu i \alpha$ for
 first river: V. A. literal rendering for
22. rapàr à $\theta \dot{\alpha}$ ] Syriac: "the great Lord is coming." ó Kúpoos '̇ $\gamma \gamma$ ús, Phil. 4. 6.

## 2 CORINTHIANS.

## Chapter I.

Hebr. 2. ©eov̂...Kupiov, omission of article, Tit. 1. 3. 3. ó
 $\pi . \pi \rho$. 12. द́ $\nu \dot{\text { á }} \pi \lambda$.... 18. $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ s ~ o ́ ~ \Theta . ~$



Chap. I. 3. $\dot{\delta} \pi$. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ oi.] Hebr. use of genitive for adjective. For таракл. see J. 14. 16, note.
5. $\epsilon$ is $\left.\eta^{j} \mu \bar{\alpha} s\right]$ Vulg. "in nobis." The frequent use of $\epsilon$ is in V. A.
 of its occurrence in N. T. in such passages as this, where the sense of apud or $i n$, is required. J. 1. 18.
 cis îv $\eta^{\lambda} \lambda \pi i \kappa$., next verse, is an example of almost parallel usage; see 1 Tim. 5. 5, for our E. V. rendering "trust."
15. $\tau$ av́rŋ $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi$.] dative of cause: "because of this persuasion." Infra $24,2.12$.
18. $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ s$ i $\Theta$. ötı] A form of adjuration, purely Hebraic, and corresponding to surely as God liveth"; "as God is true, verily our word...". Is it not
 may have the force of asseveration which ' has, "surely, verily"? See note, M. 7. 23.
20. "How many soever be the promises of God," He will assuredly fulfil them in and through Christ": lit. "in Him is the vai, and in Him the $\dot{\alpha} \mu \eta^{\prime} \nu "$ " the recognition and the fulfilment.
21. o $\quad \beta \epsilon \beta$. $\dot{\eta} \mu \ldots \ldots$.... $\mathrm{X} \rho$.] It is hard to translate $\epsilon$ is adequately here: "for" seems perhaps best.
23. "It was from the wish to sprare you, that I never came again."

## Chapter II.


 14. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\partial} \sigma \mu \eta \grave{\nu}$.
 $\pi \lambda є о \nu \epsilon \kappa т$.

## Chapter III.

Hebr. 5. à $\phi^{\prime}$ є́aut $\omega \hat{\nu}$. 7. $\hat{\eta}$ ठıaкоvía тô $\theta$.

 Infra 3. 18, 7. 9, 13. 4, note: 1 Cor. 1. 30.
4. $\delta \iota a ̀ \pi . \delta$.] " out of the midst of": R. 2. 27, note : 2 Tim. 2. 2.
10. $\dot{\epsilon} v \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \omega \pi \omega \mathrm{X}$.] (a), 4. 6 (b), 5. 12 (c); the only instances, apparently, in N. T. of $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \pi \rho$. And I can only find one (Pr. 8. 30) in V. A. (for $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi \%$. And in each of the three instances, the meaning is distinctly ${ }_{s}$ different (Grimm sub voce) : (a) in conspectu Christi: i. e, approbante Christo. (b) in facie, i.e. in persona Christi. (c) in externa specie:

12. Év K.] May not the force of év here, and in verses 14 and 17 , be simply "by," " by the help of," derived, of course, from the common use of $\nexists$ ? This meaning seems the most natural and the best, for $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ Kupí $\varphi$, in many passages of G. T. : e.g. 1 Cor. 7. 22, 15. 31, 58, Gal. 5.


## 17. кал $\eta \lambda$.] "adulterate, dilute, water down."

Chap. III. In this chapter we have a very remarkable instance of a well-known peculiarity of S. Paul's writings : that is to say, his tendency to be carried away abruptly from the subject he is discussing to another, very slightly, if at all connected with it, by a fresh train of ideas arising out of expressions employed by him in relation to the matter immediately before him. The mention of the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \grave{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \gamma \epsilon-$
 ${ }_{\epsilon} \nu \pi \lambda$. кар $\delta \dot{\prime} a s$, seems to have reminded him irresistibly of the parallel distinction between the characteristics of the Law and the Gospel ; and he avails himself at once of the opportunity of illustrating the latter contrast by the images suggested by his description of the former. And consequently, the force and meaning of the words and ideas borrowed and transferred, must be modified by the consideration that they are used by way of illustration, and not of dogmatic definition.

Non-C. 1. $\sigma \nu \nu \iota \sigma \tau$. and $\sigma v \sigma \tau a \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$. 3. $\delta \iota a \kappa o \nu \eta \theta$. 14. $\mu \grave{\eta}$ for oú. 18. àmò $\delta$. єis $\delta$.

We must be cautious also not to be misled by our familiarity with the English Version, or by the distinction between the letter and the spirit of a command, or document, in our ordinary phraseology. As S. Paul sums up and concludes his argument by ó $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ Kúpoos $\tau \grave{o} \pi v \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mu a ́$ écru (17), it is hard to imagine that the word $\pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu a$, in any part of that argument, means anything but $\tau \grave{o ̀} \pi \nu \in \hat{\imath} \mu a$ Kvpíov (17). The $\pi a \lambda \alpha i ́ a ~ \delta \iota a \theta \eta^{\prime} \kappa \eta$
 only for a time,-катарүov $\mu$ év $\eta$, not $\mu^{\text {évovora,-was essentially, in }}$ Hebraic phrase, रрá $\mu \mu a \tau o s(6):$ and Moses the original ס̌áкovos of it, its administrator and expounder, as he was the channel of its com-
 баркívaıs,-final, abiding, given once for all, $\mu$ '́vovoa,-was, essentially, $\pi \nu \in \tilde{\mu} \mu a \tau o s$, the gift and work and dispensation of the Holy Spirit; and Jesus Christ the original $\delta$ cákovos of it (R. 15. 8), its promulgator and expounder to Jews and Gentiles alike: hence, in this passage, ঠıaкovía seems almost to be used as equivalent to $\delta \iota a \theta \eta \dot{\kappa \eta}$. For clearly,


 The condemnation and the death (9 and 7), as the justification ( $\delta$ ıкatorvivq) and the life through the Spirit, were the issues, not of the $\delta \iota a \kappa o v i a$ in its strict sense, but of the $\delta \alpha a \theta \dot{\eta} \eta \eta$. The words $\tau \grave{o} \delta \epsilon \delta \circ \xi a \sigma-$
 as naturally to the covenant, as to the promulgation and administration of it. And if we attach any weight to the tenses employed, ${ }^{\dot{\epsilon}} \gamma \epsilon \in \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta$ (7) more accurately describes a single action,-the original enactment of the covenant,-than its continuous and frequently repeated promul-

 It is important also to remember that, in V. A., $\dot{e} \lambda \pi i \zeta \omega$ is constantly
 as here in (12) which is but a resumption and restatement of (4). It was not merely hope, but conviction, that the hearts of those to whom he was writing, were soft and open to the influences of God's Holy Spirit, that encouraged S. Paul "to use great plainness of speech," and "to declare to them the whole counsel of Gud," with no veil drawn between him and them.
13. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ t o ̀ ~ \mu \grave{̀} \alpha$ àtevíal] Ought we to translate this,-with E. V.

## Chapter IV.

Hebr. 3. èv toîs á. 16. ó ${ }^{\prime} \xi \xi \omega$ à $\nu \theta \rho$.
Non-C. 2. $\mu \eta$. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi . ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon i ́ \delta . ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \rho . ~ 6 . ~ \epsilon i \pi \grave{\nu} \nu . . . \lambda a ́ \mu \psi a \iota$. 8. є̀v $\pi a \nu \tau i$. 16. $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a ̨ \kappa$ к. $\dot{\eta}$. 17. ка $\theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta$. єis $\dot{v}$.
and most commentators,-" that they could not," or "that they should not," as if describing the result or the object of Moses putting on the veil? May we not rather take it as referring to the fact mentioned in 7 , and supply from thence $\delta \dot{v} v a \sigma \theta a \iota$, and render "out of regard to the fact that they could not?" Surely Moses put on the veil because the people were afraid (Ex. 34. 30) to look stedfastly on him, and not in order that they might not. And so, doubtless, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega \rho \dot{\omega} \dot{\theta} \eta$ ought to be connected with $\dot{\alpha} \tau \in v i \sigma a l$; and in strict grammar we should expect $\pi \omega \rho \omega \theta \hat{\eta} v a l$, governed also by $\pi \rho o ̀ s$, "out of regard to the fact that they could not look stedfastly,...but that the thoughts of their hearts were blinded"; "had a film over them." $\pi \hat{\omega} \rho o s=$ callositas = the film or curtain that drops over the eye from disease, and blinds by hardening it,-is an apt and forcible emblem of the wilful hardness of heart which blinded the Jews to the true meaning of the prophecies: the ка́ $\lambda_{\imath} \mu \mu \alpha$ which they interposed between themselves and the truth, typified by the veil which hid the face of Moses: and which remained even to the time when the Apostle wrote, $\mu \dot{\eta}$ àvaк., "unless it were rolled back and taken away," as in the case of every one who turned



We should observe also in this wonderful passage the strongly marked and pointed antithesis of the contrasted categories.

| талаıа $\delta \iota a \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| үра́ $\mu \mu \alpha$ тоя | $\pi \nu$ ¢и́uатоs |
| кала́крıтьs | Sıкаıобv́vך |
| $\theta$ ávaros | $\zeta \omega \eta{ }^{\prime}$ |
| тò катарүоv́uєvou | тò $\mu$ évov |
|  | таррךкía |
|  | ảvaкєкалицне́vov тро́төтоข |
| Sov入єía (Gal. 5. 1) |  |

Chap. IV. 2. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho . \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ai $\sigma x$.] "All secret-ways of unseemliness," Hebraic for "all unbecoming disguises," "all degrading equivocations .and false pretences.".
3. "But if, after all, our Gospel be (кai) veiled": still keeping up the metaphor of the last chapter: verses $14,15$.

## Chapter V.

Hebr. 1. оіккоб. є่к Є. 7. тєритатои̂ $\mu \epsilon \nu$, Gal. ธ. 25, Mc. 7. 5, notes. 12. Є̇ $\nu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega ́ \pi \omega$.

Non-C. 7. eidous in sense of sight, and not of the thing seen.
SEPT. 10. ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho_{\rho} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ for "in front of."

## Chapter VI.

Hebr. 16. ̈̈т८, M. 7. 23, note. 18. є̈ $\sigma o \mu a \iota ~ є i s, ~ M . ~ 2 . ~ 6, ~ n o t e . ~$
Non-C. 2. каıр̣̂̂ $\delta$. without preposition. 3. $\mu \eta \delta$. є่v $\mu$. for ov̉ס. є่v ov̀ס. : and 10. 4. '่ย $\pi a \nu \tau i$; and 7. 16.

Sept. 1. єis кevòv, R. 12. 3, note. Gal. 2. 2; Isaiah 29. 8.
8. èv $\pi$ avri] This form is only used by S. Paul, of all the Sacred Writers, and is not found in V. A. I doubt whether it has Classical Authority.
 culcated by Christ, exemplified in Christ": $\dot{\eta}$ そwn $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ 'I. $=$ "the life imparted by Jesus."

Chap. V. 1. $\dot{\eta}$ दُ. $\dot{\eta}$. oiкía тov $\sigma \kappa$.] Hebraic. "Our tent-house upon earth," metaphorically for "our body," "domus in quâ animus habitat his in terris, velut in tentorio, quod mortis tempore detendetur."
 ढ̇к ©. "cujus Deus auctor est."

10. "The reward won by and through the body, corresponding to"; $\pi \rho$ òs.
13. ©єஸ̣̂...víiv] dative of person. R. 6. 2, 1 Pet. 2. 24.

Chap. VI. 3. $\mu \omega \mu$.] " " $=$ labes, macula, corporis vitium (Lev. 21. 23, Deut. 15. 17), in V. A. passim, $\mu \hat{\omega} \mu \rho s=$ dedecus, vituperium ; unde $\mu \omega \mu$ áoдає : vitupero, culpo." Grimm.
11. $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda$ ávvvтal] "swells with emotion," "expands and opens":

 and be confused, and thy heart shall fear and swell with emotion." E. V. "Thou shalt see and flow together and thy heart...be enlarged."
12. $\left.\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha_{\gamma} \chi^{\text {voıs }}\right]=$ affections, feelings: Hebraic idiom; occurring constantly in O. T. Gen. 43. 30, Jer. 31. 20, Cant. 5. 4. In N. T. found less frequently: L. 1. 78, Acts 1. 18, 1 J. 3. 17, Ph. 1. 8, Col. 3. 12. The bawels were considered by the Jews to be the seat of the affections, as the heart by us.

## Chapter VII.

 infra 13. àmò. 15. $\mu \in \tau \grave{c}$ ф'́ßov, M. 24. 31, note.

Non-C. 2. $\chi \omega \rho \dot{\prime} \sigma a \tau \epsilon . \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau . ~ 5 . ~ 11 . ~ 16 . ~ \epsilon ่ \nu ~ \pi a \nu \tau i ̀: ~$ and the participle $\theta \lambda$. 11. $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda \dot{a}=$ immo. 11. $a_{\gamma \nu o u ̀ s . ~ 13 . ~ a ̀ ~}^{\nu} a$


## Chapter VIII.


 19, note.

Chapter IX.
Hebr. 5. 6. єủ入oyía.
Non-C. 11. á $\pi \lambda$ óт $\eta \tau \alpha=$ liberality: supra 8. 2.
Sept, 9. єis $\tau o ̀ \nu$ aî̀̂̀a. Notes Mc. 3. 29 and 1 Th. 4. 15.

Chap. VII. 4. тара́к $\lambda \eta \sigma t s]$ See note J. 14. 16 for this, and infra vv. 6, 7.

Chap. VIII. 5. $\delta_{\iota a} \theta_{\epsilon \in \lambda} \eta^{\prime} \mu$. ©.] A strong instance of the omission of the article, inexplicable by any ordinary rules of Greek construction: and apparently to be referred to the frequent and palpable irregularity as to the use of the definite article in Hebrew.
 see 1 J. 4. 16.
19. $\sigma v v^{\epsilon} \kappa \delta$. $\eta^{\eta} \mu$. $\sigma$ òv $\tau \hat{n} \chi$.] A most curious construction: literally and grammatically implying that the $\chi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ıs was a companion of S. Pau' and his colleague.

Chap. IX. 4. úmóбтабıs] Heb. 3. 14, 11. 1. V. A. for תלֶחֶin, Ps. 39. 8, and חִקְ, Ruth $1.12=$ "confidence, hope, expectation." Infra 11. 17.
5. єủdoyía] V. A. for

 liberal spirit," "bountifully."
10. $\gamma^{\left.\epsilon v v v^{\prime} \mu a \tau a\right] ~ M . ~ 26 . ~ 29, ~ n o t e . ~}$
12. "Is not only enough to satisfy to the full the needs of the brethren, but runs over in praise to God."
13. $\dot{\eta}$ vimoтaŋ̀̀ $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \dot{\circ} \mu$.] "Your unanimous obedience," Hebraic genitive.

## Chapter X.

Hebr. 2. катà бápка $\pi$. 10. ท̀ $\pi a \rho$. то̂̂ $\sigma \omega ́ \mu$.
Non-C. 1. $\pi$. $\dot{v}$. $\delta \iota \grave{a}$ Tĥs... 2. tıvas tov̀s... 13. 15. $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ ${ }_{a} \mu \in \tau \rho \alpha$.

## Chapter XI.

Hebr. 14. ä $\gamma \gamma$. фஸтós.
Non-C. 1. 19. à $\nu \in ́ \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, with gen. 6. io८ $\iota \dot{t} \eta \mathrm{~s}$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$. $\pi$.
 "from you." 20. єis $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma . ~ 23 . ~ v i \pi t ̀ \rho . ~$

Chap. X. 4. $\delta v v a \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\varrho}$ @.] A literal rendering of an Hebrew idiom' (see note, Acts 7. 20). Jonah 3. 3 is, so far as I know, the only instance of this use of ? after an adjective, which Grimm explains as = "Deo judice." It is probably equivalent to

7. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho, \beta \lambda$.$] "You look at the things before your eyes,"$ "judge only by what you see": as in verse 1 , " $I$, who when amongst you am outwardly," "to the eye" (as my detractors say) "insignificant, but full of boldness towards you in my absence": infra verse 10.
8. For omission of article here and infra 17,18 , see ch. 8. 5, note.
13. The $\mu \epsilon \mu \lambda \lambda \tau \omega \mu$ ќvov $\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu a$, which marked bounds, was a каขஸ́v. Eis $\tau \dot{\alpha}{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \mu \in \tau \rho \alpha$ here, and v. 15, may possibly be used in the Classical sense of the word, as Grimm takes it, to mean "extravagantly, immoderately": or in a special, non-classical sense, as most Translators and our E. V. have rendered it, "beyond, outside" our measure; as seems to suit the context best. For the adverbial form, fis $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha}$., see R. 12. 3, note.

Chap. XI. 2. $\left.\eta_{\rho} \mu \circ \sigma a ́ \mu \eta \nu \quad \dot{\mu} \mu \hat{s}\right]$ "I have got-yon-to-be-betrothed," "have caused you to be..."
 ducible to Greek idiom.
$\dot{\eta}$ к. aṽ. ov̉. фр.] "Huic gloriationi non precludetur via": "nemome impediet quominus hâc re glorier": Grimm. "This boasting shall not be barred against me."
14. ä ayciov фwtós] The identity of this Hebrew idiom, gen. of noun for adjective, with our own, "an angel of light" = "a bright, glorious, angel," makes the phrase seem quite natural to us; and we forget that it is not a Greek form at all: only a literal rendering of a Hebrew form.

SEPT. 28. è $\pi \iota \sigma \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau . . . . " q u o t i d i a n æ ~ p e r t u r b a t i o n e s ": ~ N u m b . ~$ 26. 9, compare. 31. $\epsilon i \varsigma$ tov̀s aî̂vas.

## Chapter XII.

Hebr. 12. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi$ r. $\dot{v} \pi$.... 18. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \pi$.
 verse. 18. є̇ $\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu$ éкт.

SEPT. 10. єن̇סoкผ̂ e่v. Note, M. 3. 17.
16. ${ }_{a}{ }^{\phi} \rho \omega \nu$ and ${ }^{\dot{a}} \phi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{v} \nu \eta$ ] in this passage, and infra 12. 6, 11 , seem almost equivalent to "vain," and "vanity": "let no man think me vain" because of my boasting.
25. $\pi \epsilon \pi$ oí $\eta \kappa$ ] This use of $\pi 0$ otêv with nouns of time is seldom found in Classical Authors, and not often in V. A., or Apocrypha. Job 10.7, Ecclesiastes 10.7, it is the literal rendering of in same sense. In Vulgate this verse stands "nocte et die...fui," which, if accurately interpreted, has a widely different meauing.

Chap. XII. 2. ė̀ X $\rho$.] See notes Eph. 6. 21, Ph. 1. 13, Col. 3. 18.
7. $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa i]$ Not in, but for: "a sharp corrective for my human pride." ä* $\gamma \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ 有os in V. A. is used always for only "messenger": whereas in Hag. 1. 13, and Mal. 3. 1, 2. 7, "prophet," or "minister," would express its true sense more closely: in accordance with its derivation from the same root, the leading idea of which is "ministerium," "opus alicui delegatum." We lose sight of this, if we always render ä ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ os in N. T. (when it clearly does not mean angel) by messenger: as generally in our E. V. Here, for instance, "minister," or "agent," is a more appropriate and correct translation. For bodily sufferings, as connected with the agency of Satan, see Job 2. 7, I. 13. 16.
18. This absolute sense of $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \epsilon \epsilon \omega$, as = "to live," is distinctly Hebraic: Mc. 7. 5, note, and supra 5. 7. Observe strange use of dative $\tau \hat{\varphi} \dot{\alpha}$. $\pi \nu$. , and $\tau 0 i \hat{s} \dot{\alpha}$. íxv.: a sort of "dative of the manner," so seldom, in its most natural instances (of which this is certainly not one), found in N. T.
20. $\epsilon \dot{v} \rho \epsilon \theta \hat{\omega} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu]$ we translate at once, by intuition as it were, or by recollection of the familiar Eng. Version, " by you." Do we consider how rare such a construction is in any Greek Authors? How inexplicable in S. Paul? who omits the preposition here, when absolutely necessary for the Greek idiom: as he inserts it elsewhere, when utterly

Chapter XIII.


against the same: with a persistent irregularity, as it were: using it when not wanted, leaving it out when wanted: from old associations, apparently, Hebraic or Alexandrine.

4. The use of $\begin{gathered} \\ \epsilon \\ \xi\end{gathered}$ in this sense, implying the cause, (three times in this verse) ("through" and "by," E. V., ex in Vulg.,) is due most probably to the Septuagint renderings of $i p$, when, as so often, it carries this meaning. Supra 2. 2, 7. 9.

## GALATIANS.

## Chapter I.




## Chapter II.


Non-C. 2. кат’ iठ....є้ठ $\rho a \mu o \nu$. 5. трòs ढ̈ $\rho a \nu$.

## Chapter III.

Hebr. 6. è̉oy. av̉. єis $\delta$. 17. єis X. 19. $\delta \iota$ ' ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \gamma$. R. 2. 27, and $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \chi$.

Non-C. 19. á $\chi$ pus oú $\notin$. 23. vitò with acc.
Sept. 10. тov̂ $\pi .=$ ? , M.' 2. 6, note.

Chap. I. 6. кале́धavtos ėv $\chi$.] See notes at 1 Cor. 7. 15, and 1 Th . 4. 7.
9. кaì ä $\left.\rho \tau_{\imath}\right]$ Most probably Hebraic ; from similar use of !.
16. èv $\mathfrak{c} \mu \mathrm{oi}]$ by me: by my ministry.
 terms: there must be a special distinct meaning for each preposition. Is it not possibly the same use of $\delta \iota \alpha$ as in 2 Cor. 2. 4, "out of the midst of," "combined with"? mívis $\mathrm{X}_{\rho}$., can this be "faith in Christ"? see R. 3. 22. How can we, by any principles of language, get this meaning out of the phrase? I cannot but think the interpretation, so much reprobated by Grimm, deserves careful consideration: "fides, quæ auctore, approbante, jubente Christo, habetur Deo": the same force of the genitive as in $\delta \iota \kappa a \iota o \sigma v ́ v \eta ~ \Theta \epsilon o v ̂ . ~ " C h r i s t ' s ~ f a i t h, " ~ i . e . ~$ "the faith He prescribes and exacts."

Chap. III. 6. édo\%. $\boldsymbol{\text { i is }} \delta$.] There is no ? in Gen. 15. 3, quoted here: but the form with cis in similar cases was so habitual to the Authors of V. A. that they introduced it here.

## Chapter IV.

Hebr. 6. $\dot{\alpha} \beta \beta \hat{a}$ ó $\pi$. 18. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{v}$. 20. $่ \nu \dot{v}$. 27. $\dot{\eta}$ où $\tau$. nom. for voc.

9. oi $̇$ ér. $\pi$.] M. 5. 37, note. "The faith people": "all true

19. $\delta \iota a \tau . \delta i{ }^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma$.] "out of the midst of," "in the presence of."
23. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu . \pi$. גлок.] Irregular syntax, very common in Hebrew : see 2 Sam. 13. 16, and the V. A. rendering: a specimen of strange mistakes. Eph. 2. 3, M. 25. 34, note.

Chap. IV. 13. $\left.\delta \iota^{2} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \in \dot{v} \boldsymbol{v} \epsilon a \nu\right]$ The accusative here inexplicable, on any principles of grammar. Ellicott translates literally, "because of," "on account of": but this is utterly unsatisfactory. Let us rather admit, at once, that it is an instance of bad grammar: acc. for gen., and make it equivalent to "out of." 3. 19. What particular $\dot{a} \sigma \theta$ éveca? Does not the allusion to $\dot{\delta} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu$ ov̀s (15) suggest weakness or disease of the eyes? The result probably of his stroke of blindness at his conversion: which would account for his seldom writing by his own hand: and agrees with many things said about his bodily infirmity. Tòv $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho a \sigma \mu o ́ v ~ \mu o v, ~ " \mathrm{my}$ trial," as he calls it (14).
20. äp $\quad$ tı Apparently never used in V. A.
24. ả入入 $\eta \gamma 0 \rho o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha]$ not "an allegory": but "capable of being allegorised": as the Niphal in Hebrew. Make a ovarocxía: and take Agar and Sara, the slave and the free-woman, as allegorical representatives of the bondage of the Mosaic, and the liberty of the Christian, dispensation : under two categories:

1st. кала̀ бápка. Agar. Ishmael. Lex in Sina. Jerusalem terrestris. Judæi. `Servitus.
 lestis. Christiani. Libertas.
27. $\dot{\eta}$ ov $\tau . \ldots$ ov́к $\omega^{\text {..] }}$ ] Literally quoted from V. A., showing the ungrammatical use of ov̉ for $\mu \eta$ in such expressions, common to V. A. as to N. T.
 ...him whose birth was supernatural." 1 Cor. 10. 3, 15. 44.
31. ăpa] Not "so then," as a deduction from the preceding argument; but as expressing antecedent conclusions. "Surely you cannot

## Chapter V.

Hebr. 16. $\pi \nu$. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi$.
Non-C. 6. тı. 9. цєкра̀ ५. 12. öф. к. äток. 21. ß. Є., no article.

Chapter VI.


wish to stand in the first category: to go back to Judaising bondage.
 the bondwoman, but of the free: surely we shall not consent to disinherit ourselves."
 đòv $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ दं $\lambda$. "the Gospel, the law" (i.e. dispensation, covenant, enactment) " of freedom : Judaism......of bondage."
17. Our translation in E. V. is undoubtedly wrong, as contradicting S. Paul's previous line of argument, full of encouragement and promise: whereas E. V. suggests helplessness and despair, "so that ye cannot do the things that ye would." S. Paul teaches that by the help of God men may master the evil tendencies of their nature (16). And then goes on (17) "For the flesh," i.e. human nature, "is ever struggling against the Spirit: but (on the other hand) so is the Spirit against the flesh: and these are set one against the other" (i.e. God has given us the help of His Holy Spirit as a counterpoise against the carnal tendency) "to enable you to avoid doing whatever your lusts desire," "for the very purpose that you need not do whatever you have a mind to." iva $\mu \eta^{\prime}$, "in order that you may not," "to the end you should not": much closer to the true meaning than, "so that you can not." The Vulgate gives correctly, "Caro enim concupiscit adversus Spiritum: Spiritus autem adversus carnem: hæc enim sibi invicem adversantur: ut non quæcunque vultis ea faciatis."
25. We have $\pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\prime} \mu a \pi t$ in this Chapter, with $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \epsilon \in \omega$ (16), $\zeta \hat{Q}$, ${ }^{\alpha} \gamma \circ \mu a \iota(18)$, and $\sigma \tau o \iota \chi \epsilon$ ' $\omega$ : according to the common Hebrew idiom of "walk" for " life." "If we-are-for living a spiritual life," (as doubtless we are: there is no uncertainty implied by $\epsilon \hat{i}$,) "let us also maintain a spiritual walk." A bold use of dative: representing the Holy Spirit as the regulating cause.

Chap. VI. I. ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \nu \tau . \pi$.] It is remarkable how seldom S. Paul uses, in this Epistle, this form (e่v with dative) to express cause, manner

 16. каข'́v七... $\sigma \tau$.
2. "Enter into the temptations, try and realise the trials, of others": do not make the weight of their shame heavier.
3. Soк $\epsilon$ $\omega=$ cogito in N. T. Note, M. 3. 9, Phil. 3. 4. Also in

5. Future, expressing what is likely to happen: "every one will, in all probability, have to bear."
10. oiкєious $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi$.] "Brethren in the faith," "faith-kindred."

 "his nearest relation," т
12. Tஸ̣̂ $\sigma \tau a v ́ \rho \omega]$ ] Dat. of "cause": a forced expression: like those above, Cap. 5. 16, 18, 25. For striking examples of this dative see 1 Th. 3. 3, Eph. 5. 18.

## EPHESIANS.

## Chapter I.

Hebr. 3. $\epsilon \in \nu \pi$. єủ入. 7. tò̀ $\pi \lambda$. т. X. a. 12. єival... cis é $\pi$. 13. $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \lambda$. $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ a ̀ \lambda$. and $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ П $\Pi$. $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \dot{\epsilon} \pi$. 14. $\dot{a} \pi o \lambda$. $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi$. 15 .


## Chapter II.

Hebr. 2. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \pi . ~ к . ~ т . ~ a i \omega ̀ \nu a ~ a n d ~ v i o i ̂ ~ \tau . ~ a ́ . ~ 3 . ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \sigma a \rho к o ̀ s ~$
 21. 22. Whole verse.

Non-C. 4. $\pi \lambda o v i \sigma . ~ \epsilon ่ \nu ~ \epsilon ่ . ~$

## Chapter III.

 $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \delta$. $a$. and $\epsilon i s \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ é. ă.

Chap. I. 13. Tệ $\Pi \nu . \tau \hat{\eta} \zeta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi$.] Acts 1. 4.
14. $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda$. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi o \imath \eta \sigma \epsilon \omega s]$ "The redemption of the purchasing": i.e. "the redemption which Christ has secured for us at the cost of his
 1 Pet. 2. 9. á $\pi$ одv́r $\rho \omega \sigma \iota \varsigma=$ "payment in full." "The Holy Spirit, which is the earnest of our inheritance, for (eis) the payment in full of every promise" at the great day ( $\dot{\eta} \mu . \dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda .4 .30$ ) when the Sons of God shall enter into their full inheritance. I doubt if $\epsilon$ is can mean "until." But see 1 Th .4 . 15, 2 Tim .1 .12.

Chap. II. 3. тéкरа ф. o..] Gal. 3. 23.


20. áкроүшvtaiov] See M. 21. 42, note. "The head stone of the corner," i.e. "key stone or crown of the pointed arch"; $\gamma \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \eta=$ angle. Infra 4. 16, note.

Chapter IV.
Hebr. 3. 14. 30. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$. 13. єis $\mu$. $\hat{\eta} \lambda$. tov̂ $\pi \lambda$. 17. $̇ ่ \nu \mathrm{~K}$.
 $\epsilon{ }_{\epsilon} \nu \mathrm{X} \rho$.

Non-C. 18. $\delta \iota a ̀$ with acc. $\epsilon \sigma \kappa . \tau \hat{\eta} \delta$. dat. of part, and infra 23.

## Chapter V.




Chap. IV. 8. Neither an exact quotation from V. A., nor a literal rendering of the Hebrew: in which it is not "gave," but "received"
 Lord, ascending in His human body, received gifts for His people.
9. $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ катө́тєра $\mu$. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \hat{\eta} s]$ "the lower region," namely, "that of Earth."
12. $\pi \rho o ̀ s \tau . \kappa . \tau \hat{\omega} v \dot{\alpha}$. cis] Mark force and relation of the prepositions. The cis depends on kazaptєбرóv. "With a view to the perfecting" (the full equipment and supply) "of the Saints for...," "ut Christiani indies perfectiones et aptinres reddantur ad opera ministerii, ad ædificationem Ecclesiæ." "Till we all arrive at unity in the faith and knowledge of the Son of God,--at the maturity of our powers,-at the standard of full-age in Christ": "full Christian growth." тov̂ $\pi \lambda \eta \rho$. Hebr. gen. of qualification. iva $\mu \eta \kappa$. ${ }^{\omega} \mu \in \nu \nu \nu^{\prime} \pi \iota \circ$,

 waylaying and misleading": $\mu \epsilon \theta$ oठєía "nomen neque in V. A., neque apud profanos obvium," Grimm.
16. $\sigma v v a \rho \mu$. каi $\sigma v \mu \beta \iota \beta \alpha \zeta$.] These verbs express the exact effect of the key stone of an arch (2.20). "By Whom the whole body, the Church, symmetrically arranged and firmly compacted and knit together by every joint and articulation of his bountiful supply, maketh continual progress towards its peaceful and harmonious amplification and stability."

29. $\pi \rho o \grave{s}$ oik. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \chi \rho$.] "for necessary and profitable edification"; or "for promotion of the general advantage."
 sibi persuaderi nolint." ámet $\theta$ ' $\omega$ in V. A. does not imply unbelief, but

Chapter VI.
 14. 16. 18. 19. 24.
"resistance to the truth," "refractoriness," "rebellion," "disobedience," and so apparently in N. T. Hebr. 4. 6. Hence as signifying "unpersuadeableness" also it is frequently applied to the Gentiles.
18. oivต...e่v $\pi v \in v ́ \mu a \tau \iota]$ Mark dat. with and without prep., each expressing the instrument. M. 3. 11, 1 Th .3 .3.
 "He hath given us a new birth by a word of truth," "by holy words whose virtue never fails," M. 11. 19, i.e. "the prescribed efficacious form of words ordained by our Lord for Baptism": "having cleansed it in the Water-bath by His own solemn word."
27. A metaphor from the Eastern practice of purification before marriage. Esther 2. 12.
32. єis $\mathrm{X}_{\rho}$.] "with reference to": Acts 2. 25, Hebr. 1. 7.

Chap. VI. 5. тоís к. ката̀ бápка] "your masters in the world," "natural"; as opposed to катà $\pi v \epsilon \hat{\mu} \mu a$, "in spiritual affairs."
12. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi v$. $\tau \hat{\eta} \rho \pi o v . \dot{\epsilon} v \tau o i ̂ s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi$.] "wicked spirits all above and around us": the Jewish notion of "demons in the air."
15. ėv ย̇тоцаабíq] The usual explanations of this word seem to be without special force or meaning. E. V. "the preparation of the Gospel of peace" is unintelligible, as referring to a piece of defensive armour for the feet. "Alacri et prompto animo quem efficit Evangelium pacis," Grimm's suggestion, is strangely inconsistent with the metaphor, and inappropriate. But there is one meaning, derived from V. A., hitherto apparently overlooked or undiscovered, which has a singularly exact coincidence. 1习, Dan. 11. 7, 20, 21, fiכp, Ezra 2. 68, 3. 3, Zach. 5. 10, are all ́̇тоцда⿱㇒́a in V. A., and all = "basis," "founda-

 basis, on which Thy throne stands." Hence metaphorically used here for the strong sole of the caliga with which each Roman soldier was shod: the firm support under his feet, on which he stood and stepped, and advanced fearlessly and calmly and securely over dangerous ground. Keble, in his description of the Christian armour, has, for this item of it, "Then heavenly calmness, lest thou fall where dangers line the way": and this, "the Gospel of peace" can alone supply. So I suggest,

"having undergirt your feet," "having your feet shod," "with the sure support and defence and basis," "the solid, firm substratum" "of the Gospel of peace," to carry you safe over the rough ways of the world. "Apparatus" would more nearly express the meaning than "preparation": which is clearly derived, through the Vulgate, "calceati pedes in preparatione ev. pacis," from the primary meaning of غंтоцда́ ${ }^{\prime} \omega$, literally rendered. But this verb is used in V. A. over a hundred times, for in its different moods, with all its various shades of meaning, (among which "constituo, stabilio, confirmo," are prominent,) as if equivalent to them all (which of course it is not, "apud Grecos,"
 av̇rov̂, 2 Chr . 12. 1, 2 Kings 2. 12. In each of these the Vulgate has the true meaning: severally, "stabiliam," "cum roboratum fuisset," "firmatum est." In other places it appears to have followed V. A. without discrimination, e.g. Ps. 21. 13, 88. 3, Hab. 2. 12, with the literal proparare. Hence we can understand its adopting propparatio for étoццабía here.
17. тov̂ $\sigma \omega \tau \eta p i o v]$ A common translation of 59. 17, "
21. $\pi \iota \sigma$ tòs $\delta$. $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$ K.] This phrase $\hat{\epsilon} \nu$ K. appears to me very difficult to explain: and I can not feel satisfied with Grimm's elaborate attempts. I believe it to mean. "coram Christo." Note at Col. 3. 18 and Phil. 1. 13.

## P HILIPPIANS.

## Chapter I.

Hebr. 8. èv $\sigma \pi \lambda$. 'I. X. 26.
Non-C. 13. тoîs $\lambda . \pi$. 14. $\lambda$ óyov $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu . ~ 28 . ~ e ̉ \nu ~ \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu ̀ ̀ . ~$
Chapter II.

Non-C. 2. тò êv $\phi \rho$. 16. Whole verse. 23. wis à $\dot{a} \pi$. 29. $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \pi$. $\chi$.

Chap. I. 8. ėv $\sigma \pi \lambda a \alpha_{\gamma}$.]; " with an affection like that of Jesus," "inspired by Jesus."
13. ¿̀ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}]$ Can this mean "by the help of Christ," "through Christ"? "my imprisonment has, through Christ, become known." Grimm renders: $\delta \in \sigma \mu_{0}$ è èv X. "vincula quorum causa posita est in consortio Christi," unsatisfactorily.

Chap. II. 8. $\mu$ éxpı $\theta$.] "even as far as death."
 in (11).
10. "That every knee should be bent:in the name of Jesus"; i.e. "that all our prayers should be offered in His Name." John 14. 6, 15. 16, 16. 23.

 is the strict and literal equivalent, means "propter," or "secundum": and so $\dot{v} \pi \grave{\rho} \rho$ here may possibly have that meaning, as though expressing לٍ. Grimm explains quite differently, without any reference to Hebrew. Gesenius says: "על substantivis abstractis premissum adverbiis circumscribendis inservit." עֵל שֶֶׁקר , falso. Lev. 5. 22, V. A.

 above), "in a pleasing manner," which seems to be the meaning of

## Chapter III.


NoN-C. 2. $\beta \lambda$ ย́тєєє. 8. ả $\lambda \lambda a ̀$. oủv к. 12. єỉ ката入áß $\beta$. 16. $\sigma \tau о \iota \chi \in i ̂ \nu ~ к а \nu o ́ \nu \iota . ~$

## Chapter IV.

Hebr. 1. 7. 13. 15. $\epsilon i s \lambda$. 19. $\epsilon ่ \nu \delta . \epsilon \not \nu \mathrm{X}$.
NoN-C. 10. $\eta$ 认 $\delta \eta \ldots .$. to end. 6. 12.
the text; "in a way to please Him," "agreeably to His will." (In V. A. єن̉סokia is almost always put for (רָּוֹ.) Gesenius compares the phrase to ${ }^{\text {b }}$, Jerem. 6. 20 (V. A. $\delta_{\epsilon \kappa \tau \alpha}$ ) making that adverbial, as א See Note Rom. 12. 3: and infra verse 16. V. A. use $\dot{v} \pi \grave{\varrho} \rho$ very seldom, 2 Kings 18. 5, Ps. 55. 7, Thr. 4. 7. I have found no other instances. It would appear to have been almost unknown to the Translators, which may account for their rendering by a periphrasis as above.
30. $\tau \hat{\eta} \psi v \chi \hat{\eta}]=$ =

Chap. III. 2. S. Paul disputes the right of the old Judaising party to call themselves $\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau o \mu \dot{\eta}$, or oi $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \epsilon \rho i \tau o \mu \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~S}$ (Acts 10. 45, 11. 2), and asserts his claim to it, and that of all true believers: and coins a new word for the "destructives," калатон : the false teachers, who like dogs, bark down true doctrine.
 lated in V. A. indifferently with or without èv: e.g. Ps. 78. 22, 32. Hence a similar use in N. T. See Notes Mark 1. 15, 2 Thess. 3. 4.
5. 'E $\beta$ p. $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \xi{ }^{\text {c }} E \beta \rho$.] " of Hebrew blood a Hebrew," "a Pharisee to the very letter of the law": кала̀ v. Ф. 2 Cor. 11. 22, Gal. 1. 14, Acts 6. 1, notes.
16. "But that to which we have attained, is, to walk..." may possibly be the correct translation.

6. $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho$. кaì $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta$. $\left.\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \epsilon \grave{\chi} \chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau i a s\right]$ Can this have any reference to special prayers at the Eucharist? The use of the article seems to mark a definite and special occasion.


## COLOSSIANS.

## Chapter I.

Hebr. 4. 9. 11. 21. 23. 28. 29, all illustrate varying meanings of $\epsilon$, , very frequent in this epistle.

## Chapter II.

 Non-C. 8. $\beta \lambda$. $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ é $̈$ тal. 14. tò $\chi$. тoîs $\delta$.

## Chapter III.

Hebr. 6. vi. $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \dot{a} \pi$. 18. nom. for voc. and ${ }_{e} \nu \mathrm{~K}$. NoN-C. 11. $\%$ \%тоv $=$ in whom, in which.

Chap. II. 11. $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \tau о \mu \hat{\eta} \tau o \hat{v} \mathrm{X} \rho$.] i.e. Buptism; which is the Christian initiation, as Circumcision was to the Jews.
15. '̇v $\left.\pi \alpha^{\prime} \rho \dot{\rho} \eta \sigma \alpha_{i}^{\alpha}\right]$ "openly," "boldly," "confidently": Mc. 8. 32 note.

Chap. III. 1. $\epsilon i$ ov̉v $\sigma v v \eta \gamma \epsilon \in \rho \theta \eta \tau]=$ " Seeing then that ye have been raised up," implying a recognised fact. In ordinary Greek, of course, it would mean "if ye had been."
4. фavep. ėv סók $\eta_{n}$ ] M. 13. 43, James 1. 17: "appear" is far too weak in either case: "manifestation," "showing forth openly," is the idea.
5. $\tau \alpha{ }^{2} \mu^{\prime} \lambda \eta \ldots$...] Can this mean "mortify your members as to fornication "... : or are we to look upon these and similar offences as members making up collectively the whole body of Sin: looking on $\sin$ as a body? Observe the curious introduction of the definite article before only one noun, $\tau \dot{\eta} v \pi \lambda$. : a strong instance of Hebraic irregularity in its use.
6. $\dot{\pi} \pi \epsilon \theta \in \dot{a} \alpha$ s.] Note Eph. 5. 6, Hebr. 4. 6, R. 11. 30.
7. ढ̇v av̉roîs: i.e. $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ v i o i o ̂ s ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ a ̉ \pi . ~$
 $\theta \epsilon \lambda$. $\tau \circ \hat{v} \Theta$. : all specimens of same class of deviation from strict

Chapter IV.
Non-C. 3. $\theta \ldots . . \lambda a \lambda \eta{ }^{2} \sigma a \iota$. 17. $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon$.
grammar (which requires two definite articles in such cases,) traceable to Hebraic influence : as also 1 P. 3. 12, oi ó $\phi \theta$. K. and $\dot{\omega} \tau a \operatorname{avj}$., and Jude $6, \dot{a} \gamma \gamma$. $\tau$ ò̀s $\mu \eta \tau . \quad 1 \mathrm{Th} .2 \cdot 13,4.3$.
12. द́v $\delta$ v́ $\sigma a \sigma \theta \epsilon \ldots \sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \chi v a]$ a curiously distorted metaphor. $\sigma \pi \lambda$. оікт. = " pitiful feelings," Hebraic. 2 Cor. 6. 13.
16. èv $\chi^{\text {ápıčı] Can this mean "thankfulness, gratitude," as con- }}$ stantly in ordinary Greek? I cannot find any instance of this use in V. A. except the oue given by Grimm, 2 Macc. 3. 33: but it is not uncommon in N. T. 1 Tim. 1. 12, Philemon 7, Rom. 6. 17, 7. 25, 2 Cor. 9. 15, Luke 6. 32. Here, "with gratitude in your hearts," or "singing, with your hearts, in gratitude": "with grateful heart worship."
18. áv$\hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon]$ Eph. 5. 4, Philem. 8, apparently cognate with and used in same sense as $\pi \rho o \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon$. Found in only three passages of N. T. and four times in V. A.: in Apocrypha, 1 Macc. 10. 40, 42, 11. 35, 2 Macc. 14. 8. In Classical Authors, apparently never occurring in this signification.
${ }_{\epsilon}^{e} \nu K \nu \rho^{\prime}(\omega]$ This phrase, so frequently employed by S. Paul, but only once, in same sense, by any N. T. writer (Apoc. 14. 13), is most difficult to explain, or account for, or adequately interpret. May I venture some attempt at its elucidation? Can it mean "apud, coram," "in the presence of," "in the sight of," as equivalent to $\ni \frac{\text { in V. T. fre- }}{}$ quently? Gen. 23.18, 14. 4. Gesenius considers this as an abbreviation of בִּשְּיֵ ; can we imagine S. Paul using $\dot{c}^{\epsilon} v$ with a similar meaning? I think it will be found that this sense, or one derived from or connected with it, fits and suits most of the passages in his Epistles. Rom. 9. 1, 16. 13, Phil. 1. 1, 3. 1, 6. 1, Eph. 6. 21, 1 Th. 1. 1, 2 Th. 1. 10, and infra Col. 3. 20,
 mots, "in medio hominum," " apud, coram homines." Can the idea and the phrase possibly have been transferred, from the frequency of its familiar use, in the Hebraistic dialect of the day, when several persons were spoken of, to cases where there was only one?
22. тoîs катà бápка к.] as opposed to тoîs катà тò єv̉aryé̀̀ov, or к. Xpıotòv.

Chap. IV. 6. eióévai] The infinitive is often used as if it were a noun, in apposition to another noun going before it, in any case: here ciócéval seems to be in this relation to á $\lambda a \tau \iota$; "seasoned with salt," i.e. (namely) "the knowing how..."

## 1 THESSALONIANS.

## Chapter I.

Non-C. 6. $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \chi$. $\pi \nu . \dot{\alpha} . ~ 9 . ~ є ่ ~ \epsilon \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho . \ldots . ~ \delta o u \lambda . ~$
Chapter II.
Hebr. 2 and 17. 18. кai $=$ but.
Non-C. 7. $\omega \dot{s} a \hat{a} \nu . . . \theta a ́ \lambda \pi \eta$. 10. $\gamma$ í $\gamma \nu \mu a \iota$, with adverbs.

Chap. I. 3. Hebraic : "your faith-sprung works, your love-inspired zeal, your hopeful expectation of Christ," "ever making mention" of these " before God."
5. $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \phi \circ \rho i \neq]$ metaphor : "either from a ship in full sail and so $=$ $\beta \in \beta a o^{\prime} \eta \mathrm{y}$; or from a tree in full bearing, with notion of completeness, satisfaction, full persuasion." Schl.

Chap II. 6. èv $\beta$ á $\rho \in i]$ Schl. sub voce, says, "Paulus respexit sine dubio usum Vocab. Hebr. 그ָㅜ." The original meaning was "gravitas, pondus" : and hence "dignity, honour." V. A. renders it by $\tau \grave{o}$ èv $v \delta o \xi_{o v}$. Is. 22. 24, 59. 19. But in Judges 18. 21,-wwhere it means "res pretiosa," "res gloriosa,"-they have $\beta$ ápos: which, we may hence infer, with them ="dignity, honor, high repute"; as "gravitas = auctoritas". "When we might justly have claimed high place among you."
13. $\lambda$ óyov $\Theta \epsilon \circ \hat{v}]$ "The word of God as you heard it from us" $=\tau \grave{\nu} v$

17. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ к a t \rho o ̀ v ~ \check{~ s ́ p a s] ~ L . ~ 8 . ~ 13, ~ J o h n ~ 5 . ~ 35, ~ G a l a t i a n s ~ 2 . ~ 5, ~} 1$ Cor. 7. 5, 2 Cor. 7. 8, Philem. 15, Hebr. 12. 10, 11, Jac. 4. 14. These are apparently the only instances of this very remarkable use of $\pi \rho o \grave{s}$, seldom, if ever, met with in Classical Authors: which seems to be used as if equivalent to cis in similar expressions, e.g. eis évíaurov, which is Homeric ; and common also in V. A. and N. T. But I have
 it easily and readily and instinctively, by our own corresponding idiom: but how did it get into N. T. ? I cannot connect it with any Hebrew

## Chapter III.

Hebr. 4. $\pi \rho$ òs $\dot{\nu}$. 9. $\neq \mu \pi \tau \rho$. т. $\Theta$.
Non-C. 1. $\mu \eta \kappa$. $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \gamma$. 10. $\delta \epsilon o ́ \mu$. єis tò io.

## Chapter IV.

Hebr. 8. єị̆ $\dot{v}$. 1ǔ. $\mathfrak{e} \nu \lambda$. K. omission of article. 16. $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \nu \kappa \ldots$ $\phi . . . \sigma$. all remarkable. 17. eis áćpa.


## Chapter V.

Hebr. 2. $\dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu$. K. 23. каi... $\tau \eta \rho \eta \theta$. so that... supra 3. 5.


form. Vulgate renders it by $a d$ in all the above, except the three last, where it has in. Grimm's citations from Classical Authors do not touch the difficulty, exhibiting an entirely different meaning of $\pi \rho^{\prime}$ s.
 depressed and cowed by these afflictions": i.e. to comfort you about your faith (verse 2), by the example and experience of God's Saints.
5. $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega \mathrm{s}$ ] "whether or no," as Gal. 2. 2. How are we to explain
 Hebraic, corresponding to a well-known and frequent use of ! for "so that": "whether or no the Tempter has tempted you, in order that our labour might be in vain," infra 5. 22. It is clear that $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i p a \sigma \epsilon \nu$ and $\gamma$ '́v $\eta \tau a \iota$ cannot be coupled together by a mere and. For $\epsilon$ is $\kappa \epsilon v o ̀ v$ see R. 12. 3.

 K. 2 Sam. 19 7. See M. 5. 34 and 2 Th. 3. 6.
3. "The will of God is your sanctification": three constituent
 $\mu \dot{\eta}$ vi $\pi \in \rho \beta a i v \epsilon \iota$.
 stands here for $\epsilon$ is, which is one of the meanings of that preposition, "has called you unto sanctification." See notes 1 Cor. 7. 15, Gal. 1. 6, M. 28. 19.
15. It is most unusual to have $\epsilon$ is =until. 2 Tim 1. 12. Perhaps in each case it does not refer to the time but the object. M. 10. 22, 24. 13, Mc. 13. 13. It would seem to be due to the literal rendering of $\}$ in similar expressions; as constantly found in V. A.

## 2 THESSALONIANS.

## Chapter II.

Non-C. 10. $\dot{a} \nu \theta^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \nu$. 13. $\pi i ́ \sigma \tau \iota \stackrel{a}{a} \lambda$.

Char. I. 10. év toîs áyíoss] possibly "coram sanctis Ejus." Col. 3. 18, note: Ex. 14. 4, אָּבָּ V. A. évסoğ where the literal $\dot{\epsilon} v$ obscures the force of $\underset{\square}{7}$; which is the same here as in Gen. 23, 18, where V. A. has caught and given the true meaning,
 of 3. Matt. 3. 11.
11. $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \eta \ldots \hat{\varepsilon} v \delta v_{v a ́ \mu \epsilon]}$ " complete in you a full delight in all goodness and works that spring of faith, effectually and powerfully."

Chap. II. 1. $\dot{v} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma i a s]$ "with respect to": $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \grave{\rho}$ is the exact literal equivalent of $ל \underline{\underline{y}}$, one of the well-known meanings of which is, "concerning, with respect to," 1 Kings 22. 8, Is. 1. 1, Gen. 26. 21 : but in these V. A. have $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{l}^{\prime} . ~ I ~ f i n d ~ \dot{v} \pi \grave{\rho} \rho$ only three times in V. A. : in two of which it stands for $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \text { in the above sense : } 2 \text { Kings 18. 5, Ps. } 55 .\end{aligned}$ 7. It is a legitimate inference that such a meauing may have gradually attached to the word, as suiting literally the old familiar mode of expression, when transferred into Greek. Phil. 2. 13. The Thessalonians would seem to have misunderstood his first epistle : cap. 4. 15.
2. $\delta \iota^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ] In V. A. $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha}$ is frequently used for $\overline{7} \frac{\square}{2}, "$ by the hand of," 2 Chr. 29. 25, Jos. 20. 2. So that here it may mean simply "by my hand," "from me."
3. $\dot{o}$ viós $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \dot{\alpha} \pi$.] J. 17. 12, note.
10. Here $\dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i \alpha a$ and $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota x i a$ are opposed, as constantly by V. A. See notes at M. 11. 19, L. 16. 9, 1 Tim. 3. 16. Here render " with every lying deceit." Below, verse 12 , the opposition is still more pointed and emphatic: åठckía clearly means "lying, falsehood," corresponding to tò $\psi \in \hat{\varepsilon} \delta o s$ in verse 11 . It is astonishing how all the

## Chapter III.

Hebr. 1. 10. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ v ̌ . ~ 4 . ~ \pi \epsilon \pi . ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ K . ~ 6 . ~ 15 ̆ . ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~=~ y e t . ~$

Versions, following in the wake of the Vulgate, have copied and reproduced this glaring mistake of the V. A., and so have confused and distorted the plain meaning of innumerable passages in O. T.: and our Euglish Version notably so. But what wonder, when the irregular and careless interchange of $\delta i ́ \kappa \alpha u o s$ and $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\eta} s, a ̈ \delta \iota \kappa o s$ and $\psi \in v \delta \dot{\eta} s$, and the substantives connected with them, in V. A., has affected and coloured so frequently whole sentences in N. T.
 not Greek, but Hebraic : in Greek it could not be so used, with an accusative, as expressing an action in or near, with no sense of motion to. It is simply the literal rendering of hss, which has both meanings. But the translators in V. A., in consequence of their imperfect acquaintance with Greek, unable to discriminate delicate shades of meaning, treated $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ as uniformly equivalent to לیֶ: and so the occurrence of such utterly ungrammatical phrases as that in the text (which would have defied the comprehension of those who knew ouly real Classical Greek) becomes intelligible; and can in fact only in this way be accounted for. John 1. 1.

## 1 TIMOTHY.

Chap. I. 16. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ v i \pi o \tau u ́ \pi \omega \sigma \iota \nu] ~ n o t ~ " a n ~ e x a m p l e ~ f o r ~ t h e m ~ t o ~ c o p y, " ~ " ~$ "a pattern for them to imitate"; (as he is speaking of God's wonderful mercy,) but "as a shadowing forth, a sketch, an outline of what should be the experience of all Christians": "for a picture of the case of all,
 "by me first"; or rather "by me above and before every one else," "by me chiefest of all," verse 15 : which sense of $\pi \rho \omega \hat{\omega}$ os is common in N. T., as in V. A. 1 Ch. 27. 43, Ez. 27. 22, $\pi \rho \omega \hat{\tau} \alpha$ そ̀ $\delta o ́ o ́ \sigma \mu a \tau \alpha, 2$ Ch. 26.20 ; and is found also in Classical Authors.
 Jewish Priest had to fulfil, לְצבא צָּבָא, Numb. 4. 23, "to serve the service": V. A. $\lambda$ etrovpyeiv. In this place it has nothing whatever to do with "warfare," as E. V. translates it: but with the functions and service of the priesthood, $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon i a \nu$ being the exact equivalent of the Hebrew idiom given above, which describes the sacred service of the Priests, Levites, \&c.
 munications from above guiding me to thee":-"in accordance with the intimations of the divine Will previously pointing to thee."

Chap. III. 13. $\beta a \theta \mu \grave{\partial}$, "a step up," advancement, promotion : ̇̇тavaßaiveiv. But may it not mean "foundation," "standing ground," "a good footing," as $\theta \epsilon \mu \mu^{\prime} \lambda_{\iota}+\nu$ infra, 6. 19 ?
16. єv่ $\sigma \in \beta \in i a]$ V. A. for $ת$ תN?ㄴ., Prov. 1. 7. In Is. 11. 2 it stands alone for "יִּאַת יַּ. Is it not possible that this well-known passage may have given the word a fixed and special meaning for the Jews, in which it is used in N. T.? "our Holy Religion."

є́ $\delta \iota \kappa a i \omega \theta \eta$ ] M. 11. 19, L. 16. 2, 2 Thess. 2. 10 : here, most probably, in accordance with the views stated in my former notes, "was. declared to be true Christ," "authenticated" by the Holy Spirit," at His baptism : "declaratus est talis qualis reverâ est," Schl. :-justified, ap-

## Chapter V.


Non-C. 12. $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota s$. 24. $\tau \iota \nu \omega \hat{\nu}$ before its noun.
proved, demonstrated to be the Messiah, by the gifts and credentials of the Holy Spirit, and by His workings in Himself and His Apostles.
 V. A. of Elijah's Translation, 2 Kings 2. 11 ; and of our Lord's Asceusion in N. T. Acts 1. 11. Ėv סógn, not "into," but " with" glory.

Сеар. IV. 1. $\rho \eta \tau \omega \bar{s}]$ i.e. "spoke to S. Paul by inspiration" : foreshowing Gnostic and other heresies. סacuóvıa = שֵׁ V. A. ML 9. 33, note. Ps. 105. 37, and so in Apocrypha : Baruch 4. 5. Hence its use for evil spirits (a notion entirely Jewish) in N. T.
2. év vimoкр. $\psi \in v \delta$.] "Throvgh the hypocrisy of lying teachers": èv of the cause.
5. ácıá̧єтal] See Lev. 11. 44 : both for the word (á $\gamma$. : V. A. for .נְ?ּבּש) and the idea. "By the word of God." What word? the command and explanation given to S. Peter, Acts 10. 15. 1 Cor. 10. 25, Eph. 5. 26.
7. "Harden and train and discipline thyself, with a view to religious improvement," "to the devout life"; with the devout life, the life of God in the soul, as its end and aim. With this object in view, bodily discipline has its use and advantage: small, comparatively, but still real and important. "Cibis, lautionibus, venere, similibus, ante certamina publica abstinere, $\gamma^{\prime} \mu \nu a \sigma i a$ appellabatur," Schl. Hence it may mean "religious discipline of the body," as distinct from mental and spiritual discipline.
9. $\pi$ roròs $\delta^{\circ} \lambda$.] "The statement is true and to be relied upon."
14. In 2 Tim. 1. 6 S . Paul's agency alone is spoken of : here he speaks of a conjunction of the Body of Presbyters : there it is $\delta \iota \alpha \dot{\epsilon} \pi t-$
 in Acts $8.17,19.6$, the imposition of hands is used by apostles alone.
$\delta \iota a ̀ \pi \rho o \phi \eta \tau \epsilon i a s]$ "by directions from Heaven," "by divine intimation and appointment," "by the declaration of God's will": as supra 1. 18.

Chap. V. 5. Here $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \grave{i}$ with acc. after $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \zeta \omega$ : supra 4.10 it has dative. V. A. constantly use this verb followed by $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ for $ח$ חטָּ as was no doubt known to the Authors of our E. V., when they translated here "trusteth": as in 4. 10, 6. 17, 1 Pet. 3. 5. In Judg.


Chap. VI. 2. "Because those who lay claim to the benefit of their services are faithful : i.e. Christian believers."
5. "looking on our Holy Religion as a means of making money": thinking that religion is a source of profit.
12. not "fight the good fight," but " run the glorious race," " maintain the noble struggle." 2 Tim. 4. 7 ; $\tau \rho \in ́ \chi \omega \mu \in \nu$ тòv ả $\gamma \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha$, Hebr. 12. 1. 1 Cor. 9. 25. For $\omega_{\mu} \mu \lambda . .$. see Heb. 4. 14.
19. As $\beta a \theta \mu$ òs (supra 3.13) seems possibly $=\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon^{\prime} \lambda \iota o v$, may not the latter here stand for the former? or may the meaning be, "laying up," -as men pile up treasures,-"their successive tiers of good works, as a firm basis or foundation, ever rising higher, from which they may stretch upwards to the prize, and spring to lay hold on it at last," $\dot{\alpha} \phi o \rho \mu \dot{\eta}$. As though eternal life were hanging up before us, as the prize of our contest, like a ring, to be grasped and held by the winner. $\theta_{\epsilon} \mu$ é $\lambda_{\iota} \nu=$ " a standing ground, a solid basis: something firm beneath the foot." Each advance in holiness is an upward step, on which to rise yet higher: whereas men, whose religion is mainly talk and feeling, are like people walking up sand-hills; they cannot advance towards the prize : they have nothing to spring from: they slide downwards, and go back.

## 2 TIMOTHY.

Chap. I. 1. Can катà here = "propter," "with a view to," "for the purpose of,", as has been suggested by Winer and others: Tit. 1. 1, катà míretv? as hy often means? If I could cite any instances where V. A. give кavà for
2. $\chi$ ápıs, $e^{e} \lambda$. . $\left.\epsilon i \rho.\right]$ "The triple crown of glory." Keble.
5. ن́тó $\mu v$. $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta$. and $9 \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \chi \rho$. ai. are Non-C.

Chap. II. 2. $\delta<a ̀ \pi$. $\mu$ apt.] "in the midst of," "coram": notes R. 2. $27,4.11,14.20$, and Gal. 3. 19. Ellicott and others see that this must be the meaning, and try to account for it: I have shown how it is so, probably.
15. $\dot{\rho} \rho \theta$ oro $\mu$ eiv ] Found only here in N. T.; and twice in V. A. Prov. 3. 6, 11. 5, and there with óoovs: supposed to be a metaphor from cutting a furrow straight, $\dot{o} \rho \theta \dot{o} s=\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} s$. Not met with in Classical Authors. May we not here (in the absence of oidos, or anything like it) keep to the exact meaning of the word ó $\rho \theta$ os, "vertical," "upright," and so "true": "dressing it" (as masons say) "by the plumb-line": "setting it up and presenting it to the world, all true, square, uniform: with no deflections or distortions." There does not seem to me to be any idea of division: of breaking the truth up into its several portions: but of shaping and arranging the whole truth for exhibition. Grimm, following Schleusner, drops the idea of "cutting": and suggests "rectè tracto," which the Vulgate has: illustrating this by the secondary sense of каєvото $\epsilon \hat{\imath}$ = " nova facio, muto."-Schl. cites Euseb. H. E. 4. 3, to
 be merely derived by them from the use of the word here: and may go to prove that they too understood it as suggested above, and did not hold it to imply division, as our E. V.
19. "Yet this solid and fundamental doctrine of God's Gospel" (i.e. the Resurrection) "stands firm and sure" ( $\epsilon \tau \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon$ ), "having this seal" and authentication: viz. the same that God gave to the authority
of Moses and Aaron against Korah: Numb. 16. 5, V. A.: є́ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \pi \tau \alpha \iota$
 with its two verbs, בּקר וידע יה" אֶת צְשֶׁר לו, from which our E. V. has been diverted by the "tomorrow" in verse 16, and the Vulgate rendering, "mane notum faciet Dominus." "God will discriminate and acknowledge those that are His"; therefore "let every one...." As Moses warned the congregation against Korah (Numb. 16. 26), so the Apostle warns the Church against these false teachers and their untruth (ảdıcía, 1 Cor. 13. 6, M. 11. 19, 2 Th. 2. 10). The Seal is Kípıos ${ }^{\epsilon} \gamma \nu \omega . \quad$ каì $=$ "therefore," Hebraice, for !, so common in that sense: "therefore let every one...keep clear of all false doctrine."
25. $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi о т \epsilon]$ M. 13. 15, Mc. 4. 12. "In case God, at some future time, may grant them." E. V. "if God peradventure will give them": grasping and exhibiting here the true meaning, which it has obscured in the two other passages, by "lest": Vulgate, in them all, has "nequando."


## TITUS.

 3. $15=$ "The Christian system."
3. '̇v кทр'́y $\mu a \pi \imath$ ] "by the promulgation of the Gospel message." The omission of the article is simply Hebraic, and need not surprise any one acquainted with the arbitrary and irregular use of it in Hebrew. I may here again express my opinion of the unsoundness and impracticability of the attempt to account for the anomalies and bewildering perplexities connected with the omission of the definite article in G. T., on any principles of Classical Criticism.
10. oi $\epsilon \kappa \pi$.] "The strict Jewish party among the Christian converts": not merely, "the Jew-converts": Acts 10. 44, 45, 11. 2, 3.
 Eph. 4. 13, $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i ́ a ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega ́ \mu a \tau o s ; ~ " t h e ~ f u l l, ~ c o m p l e t e ~ m a n h o o d . " ~ " ~$ "Waiting for our blessed hope, even the glorious Epiphany..."
14. $\pi \in \rho$ pov́aıov] Found only here in N. T., and four times in V. A., Ex. 19. 5, Deut. 7. 6, 14. 2, 26. 8, always with $\lambda a o ̀ s$, for
 (Ps. 134. 4, Eccl. 2. 8); the word seems to have been coined by the Authors of V. A., to express the same idea, which they have rendered
 classical authority. They would seem to have concluded that, ${ }^{\circ}$
 peculium.

Chap. III. 4, 5. Connect last half of 5 with 4, putting oủk $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\xi} \mathcal{E}_{\epsilon}$.... ${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu}$ in a parenthesis: "he has saved us," i.e. "has provided a way of salvation for us," "by Baptism, and Renewal of the Holy Spirit" (Collect for Christmas Day); "not in consequence of any works of righteousness in us, but according to his mercy."
7. к $\quad \eta \rho \rho \nu . \gamma \epsilon \nu$.] "that we may, according to our hope, as we hope, come-in-for-the-inheritance-of," "attain to": in which sense $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v o \mu \epsilon i v$
is used constantly by V. A. for For instances see Grimm. And thus both verb and noun are found in N. T., in this wider sense, borrowed doubtless from V. A. ; Hebr. 1. 2, 4, 11. 7, 12. 17. This is purely Hebraic, and non-Classical. Polybius has it once. It arose probably from the pecufiar light in which the Jews looked on the land of Canaan.
9. $\pi \in \rho$ uí̃ nor in any Classical Authors in this sense. Josephus, A. J. 4. 6. 12 and Lucian and other later writers use it so. Grimm.

## PHILEMON.

 Christ of all the good that is in us."
7. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \lambda . \ldots \dot{\alpha} v a \pi \epsilon ́ \pi$.] "The hearts of the Saints have been refreshed, re-invigorated, encouraged."

## HEBREWS.

## Chapter I.

Hebr. 1. év toîs $\pi$....ề vị́̂. 3. 5. ếooma九 cis $\pi$. 8. Nom. for Voc.

Non-C. 3. $\phi$ '́ $\rho \omega \nu$. 9. è $\chi \rho \iota \sigma \epsilon \ldots$..è $\lambda a \iota \circ$.

The title of this Epistle (as Dr Roberts suggests in his Dissertations on the Gospels) indicates, possibly, not the Jews universally, nor even the Jewish converts generally, but the strict Jewish party within or without the Church, of e่к $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau o \mu \eta \hat{\eta}_{\mathrm{s}}$ : Acts 6. 1: as opposed to the ${ }^{\text {'E }}$ E $\lambda$ ทиıoтai, the Hellenizers; and the line of argument and the whole tone of the Epistle support this view. That either the difference of style or absence of any personal allusions, or the expression in Chap. 2. 3 ขंтò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aंк. єis $\dot{\eta} \mu$ âs..., prove S. Paul not to be the Author, is untenable. Whoever wrote it, was plainly writing anonymously: and apparently did not wish to be known.

Chap. I. 1. $\pi$ од $\nu \mu \epsilon \rho \bar{\omega}$ ] "The leading thought seems to be that there were many parts or divisions in the Prophetical Harmony; that no one utterance embraced the entire mystery: and that each portion had its own style and manner: as S . Paul seems to intimate, 1 Cor. 13. 9 є่к $\mu$ є́ $\rho o v s . " ~ M a u r i c e . ~$
 "adeptus est, proprium accepit," "has by right, as his own."
 Rom. 10. 21, Eph. 5. 32. Hebraic use, though occasionally found in Classical Authors: similarly $\epsilon$ is, Acts 2. 25. Mark force of $\mu \grave{\iota} \nu-\delta \grave{\epsilon}$, "and whereas he saith of the Angels...of the Son, on the contrary, he saith."

10, 11, 12. The God addressed in Ps. 102 is, all along, God manifest in the flesh to Sion, the Incarnate Messiah, come down to earth: hence the applicability of this quotation.
14. "Sent out on errands of help and service for the benefit of those who..."

Chap. II. 2. $\delta \iota^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \%$.] This may mean "in the presence of," "out of the midst of," as 2 Tim. 2. 2, and may refer to Deut. 33. 2, and to the law as given from Sinai alone. Or we may understand it of the word and revelation of God conveyed at various times to the Jews by the Prophets, through the intervention of Angels. Chap. 1. 1.
$\pi а р а к о \grave{\eta}=$ " misapprehension."
 ַַהָּ "nova mundi institutio," "œconomia Christiana": the Rabbinic phrase for the post-Messianic æra, ó $\mu \in \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ai $\omega \nu$, as the state of things before Messiah: ó vîv or oivos aićv: a distinction most vividly presented to us in N. T., 1 Tim. 6. 17, L. 18. 30, 20. 35, 1 Cor. 1. 20, Heb. 6.5. But I can find no instance of oiкov $\mu$ év $\eta$ in this sense, though it suits the passage exactly, as expressing "the world of the future": "as it was to be under the coming dispensation." For the government and channels of grace in the Church were to be, not by Angels, but by men: and the Church was to absorb the world and renovate it, and change its character altogether.

 before him," "in his eyes": which is the exact meaning of $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \ell$, as describing something "good to the eyes." We dare not presume to say, -not even an inspired Apostle, -that any particular course of action "became God," "decebat Deum" (Vulgate). We may conclude, from the results, that such a course " seemed right to Him."
15. Évoxor] See M. 5. 22, 1 Cor. 11. 27. Here it seems to mean "subject-to-the-penalty-of": Vulg. "obnoxii servituti." But the construction with gen. in this sense, is quite anomalous. It had perhaps come to be used as a substantive.
16. "For assuredly it is not angels he comes to help, but the sced of Abraham." é $\pi \iota \lambda a \mu \beta$. $=$ " to take by the hand."

 Jewish.



Chapter IV.

Chapter V.
Non-C. 2. $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́ k . ~ a ̀ \sigma \theta . ~ 12 . ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \chi . ~$
Chapter VI.
Non-C. 6. Acc. after $\gamma \epsilon v \sigma a \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu o v s . ~ 17 . ~ є ं \mu \epsilon \sigma i ́ т \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon . ~$

Chap. IV. 2. ©́ $\lambda . \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} к о \hat{\jmath} s]$ See Rom, 10. 16, M. 4. 24. "The

12. $\mu \in \rho \iota \sigma \mu \hat{v}]$ Schleusner "ad intimos animi recessus"; as if parting asunder implies the very middle or innermost part: and Grimm, apparently following him, though without acknowledgment, gives "usque ad absconditissimum illum locum, quo animus et anima inter se discernuntur." This appears to be the probable meaning. To take the word in an active sense, as Vulg. "divisio" and our E. V. "dividing asunder," is unjustifiable. Supra 2. 4 it is clearly passive, "gifts." But there is the same ambiguity in many of the Latin and English words signifying "division, distribution, assignment"; active forms used passively.
14. к. т. ó $\mu \mathrm{o}$ orias] "Let us hold fast to our vow," "our covenant with God." V. A. use the word for 7 נֶ, votum, Lev. 22. 18, Deut. 12. 6. Comp. 1 Tim. 6. 12 with this passage, and Jerem. 44. 25, $\tau$ as


Chap. V. 7. aंगò $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ є $\dot{\lambda} \lambda$.$] "by reason of," "as the result of..."$ $=1 \%$ Prov. 13. 11. The Hebrew preposition is constantly used in this sense among many others (Jude 23, note); whereas ánò, its primary literal equivalent, is put for it in V. A., without any discrimination of diversity of meaning, almost universally, as though it were its one sole and sufficient exponent. Gen. 9. 11, ov̉к $\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \theta a v \epsilon i ̂ \tau a l ~ \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma a \quad \sigma \alpha ́ \rho \xi$
 $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{o} \rho \gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ oov; Hence, probably, it passed into an idiom, and became a vernacular usage. "Having his prayer heard by reason of his piety," "he learnt, from what he suffered himself, Son though he was, the difficulty of obedience."

Chap. VI. 1. tòv $\tau \hat{\eta} S \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s . . . \lambda$.$] "The initiatory doctrine," "the$ elementary teaching," of Christ: "the first principles of Christiauity."




Chap. VII. 1. Who was Melchisedek? Clearly he must have been, in Abraham's belief, the Patriarch of the Holy Chosen Seed, the family of Shem: Head and Priest of the race: to whom Abrabam paid tithe: one of his ancestors; the Representative, by the law of primogeniture, of the rights and dignities of the Sacred Line: whom Abraham, heir of all the promises, acknowledged as his superior, in things human and divine. Which of the descendants of Shem fulfilled these conditions, as first-born in his generation, being alive at the time and within reach of Abraham, on the same side of the Euphrates; on the other side of which they were all born, and so far as we know, chiefly lived? One there was, who, if we may in any degree trust the Jewish Genealogies, lived to a great age and was alive then: whose very name implies that he crossed; who was evidently well known in the country as a Progenitor of Abraham; who has left his name to Abraham and all his seed, as their universal designation: who is especially pointed out in the Bible, as the prominent and most remarkable of the progeny of Shem, signalled out for special distinction above Elam and Asshur and Lud and Aram. For Shem is called emphatically (Gen. 10. 21) "the father of all the children of Eber" = "חבֶּ, "qui transivit": (indicating probably his crossing the great River at the time of the dispersion, intimated by the name of his son Peleg = "division "), and Abraham is called, by a patronymic, "the Hebrew," i.e. "the Eberite," or "descendant of Eber": and after him and not after Abraham, all the children of Abraham are called. Eber, Priest by birth-right, "a Prince in Religion," מַלְִּּ צֶרֶ = Melchisedek (as he was temporal king of Salem), the type and emblem and embodiment of the Priesthood of the First-born,-seems to have been selected by divine appointment, as the impersonation and representative of the Order, of which Christ was a Priest, by his descent from Judah, in whose favour Reuben, Simeon, and Levi were set aside. (Hebr. 7, passim.) If, as seems most probable, Melchisedek is not a name but a title; no one, in the long list of our Lord's Progenitors, appears so nearly to fulfil the conditions of the tradition, as Eber; a man so wonderfully honoured by what is implied, rather than said, in Holy Writ, -so pre-eminently immortalised as the stem and root of the Hebrew race, by the transmission of his name, through so many ages of the world's history. If he was not Melchisedek, who was? The words $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \rho, \alpha, \alpha, \quad \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon v \in \alpha \lambda . \ldots$ in verse 3 ,-as they cannot, of course, be taken literally,-may imply no more than the unquestionable fact, that when Melchisedek is introduced into the Sacred Story, no statement is made as to his parentage or descent, or the time of his birth or death. He appears on the scene and disappears mysteriously; but that in no way

## Chapter IX.

Hebr. 3. $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \grave{\eta} \ldots$..á $\gamma i \omega \nu$. 5. X. $\delta o ́ \xi \eta s$. 8. т $\grave{\nu} \tau$ т. ú. ó.
militates against his being a real personage, subject to all the necessary conditions and laws of human existence.

5,6 . "And whereas those who..." oi $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$, " in contrast to all this, he...," ó $\delta \epsilon$.
11. "God's people had-been-legislated-for, on it as a basis," "had received the Law on the understanding of the Levitical Priesthood." Compare 8. 6. Grimm.
15. єi] Acts 26. 8, 23: "if, as is the fact," "seeing that." What is $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma$. ${ }^{\text {en }} \tau<$ к.? Clearly, the statement above in 12: "the necessity of a change in the law," i.e. the Divine economy and dispensation: "This necessity is more abundantly patent and demonstrable, from the fact that..." As a consequence of the excellency of the new Priesthood, the Religion connected therewith must take a new and higher excellence, i.e. a spiritual.
26. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon$ ] "was proper for us," "befitting, beseeming."
 both in use of $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho_{\rho} \alpha$ (M. 2. 1, note), and каі = ! = when: "a time is coming when..."
 rendering, except the omission of ! and ? which have great force in the original. єiòグбovat, N. C.

Chap. IX. 1. סıкас $\omega \mu a \tau \alpha]$ V. A. passim for in, tiones, generally rendered "statutes" in E. V., Deut. 4. 1, Ps. 119. 5, 8, 12. тó $\tau \epsilon$ ä $\gamma$ ov коб $\mu$ ккóv. Pearson on Creed (Art. 6) quotes the
 mundana": the part of the Sauctuary which represented this lower world (i.e. the Outer Court and Holy Place), as the Holy of Holies, or Most Holy, represented Heaven: (which Josephus expressly states to have been the belief of the Jews). So, perhaps, the Vulgate
 to take other ground: the first dispensation had its appointed rites of service," "common united worship," ( $\lambda a \tau \rho \epsilon i ́ a=$ cultus Dei publicus,) "and its Outer Tabernacle," for general use, of public access, entered day by day, in which men moved constantly to and fro, as in this lower world.
5. катג̀ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \circ s$ ] "part by part," "in detail"; particularly, E. V.
7. á $\gamma \mathrm{vó} \eta \mu a]$ like á ápría, loses its first sense in its adopted one: and includes all sin that is not wilful and presumptious.


## Chapter X.


Non-C. 34. V̈rap $^{2} \xi \iota \nu$.
 and prescribed demands upon the body with respect to meats..." $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\imath}=5 \geq 2$. Otherwise the rendering in E. V. seems allowable; "carnal ordinances," i. e. "for the body."
14. $\delta \iota \grave{~} \Pi v$. aìv.] Compare R. 1. 4, 1 Tim. 3. 16, 1 P. 3. 18.
26. $\left.\sigma v v \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon^{\prime} a\right]$ The point in which the $\tau^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \eta$ of two things, succeeding one the other, meet. 1 Cor. 10.11. The confluence, or meeting of the two æras, Ante-Christian and Christian. The Jews had, in their theosophy, three systems, (1) Ante-Mosaic, (2) Mosaic, (3) Messianic. The Sacred Writer is here speaking of the two latter.


Chap. X. 5. $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ кат $\eta \rho \tau i \sigma \omega \mu$ о ] Exact quotation from V. A. How they ever came so to render the original, hast thou bored," is inexplicable. We know, Ex. 21. 6, that this means "thou hast claimed me as a servant." Here it would seem as if, in the mind of the Translators of V. A., the providing a human body for Christ, was equivalent to making Him a Servant: as Phil. 2. 8,

6. V. A. have ท̈ँๆ $\kappa \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota$, without preposition: as also Ps. 84. 1, Gen. 33. 10. Nute, M. 3. 17 .

37. © $\dot{\varepsilon} \mathrm{e} \rho \mathrm{x}$.] One of the common names of Messiah, from Gen. 49. 18, Is. 25.9. Its use here shows that as yet only part of the purpose of His coming was fulfilled.
38. There is a considerable variation in V. A., as quoted here, from the original Hebrew, to which our E. V. is much closer. For
 and for $\underset{3}{3}$ is curious.
 tion," "restoration," "recovery": which is its exact meaning here.

## Chapter XI.

Non-C. 8. $\mu \grave{\eta} \ldots \pi o \hat{v}$. 12. т $\hat{\varphi} \pi \lambda$. 37. фóvழ̣ $\mu$. SEPT. 5. тồ $\mu \eta$ iठ. for ?.

## Chapter XII.

Non-C. 2. ávti. 10. 11. $\pi \rho \rho \grave{s} \dot{\partial} \lambda . \dot{\eta} \mu$. and tò $\pi a \rho o ̀ v . ~ 15$. $\dot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$ à $\pi \dot{o}$.

## Chapter XIII.



Chap. XI. 1. ímóvzaбıs] Cap. 3. 14, 2 Cor. 9. 4, 11. 17. In all these it means "confidence," "well grounded assurance." Here it seems rather to mean, in its stricter and closer sense (both of derivation and construction), "substantiation," "realisation"; the instrument or process, by which we give substance and reality to things: and ${ }^{\mu} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \chi^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ not so much "the test," as " the mode of testing":-"illud, quo subsistunt quæ sperantur; quod demonstrat quæ non cernuntur." Beza. Without faith in a principle or doctrine, acting as if we believed it, we cannot test it, or prove it to be true. "Faith is the process and instrument by which we give substance and reality to things hoped for, and test and ascertain the truth of things unseen."
 "a staff," for מְִּ, "a bed." Vulg. bas "lectuli caput." It seems clear there were no vowel points in the Hebrew MSS. used by V. A.
28. $\pi \epsilon \pi$ oíךкє тò $\pi$.] Special use of $\pi 0$ 伯 $\omega$ for $\theta \dot{v} \omega$. Note, M. 26. 18.

Chap. XII. 15. $\mu \dot{\eta}$. tis pí̧a $\pi$. ${ }^{\text {a. }}$. $\phi$.] This is almost an exact quotation from Deut. 29. 18. E. V. "a root that beareth gall and wormwood," and in Margin "a poisonful herb": ( $\pi \iota \kappa \rho i^{\prime}=$ poison. See Note, Acts 8. 23) i.e. "one who poisons God's people with false teaching or bad example"; as the context shows. And such is the meaning here.

Chap. XIII. 7. є́к $\beta a \sigma \iota s]$ in Apocrypha = "exitus," "eventus." Sap. 2. 17, 8. 9, 11. 15, "significatione a profanis alienâ," Grimm: -" the issue and outcome of their walk on earth."
 which is a direct quotation from V. A. (see note). Here it is a sort of


## S. JAMES.

## Chapter I.

Hebr. 6. є̀v $\pi$. 11. $\pi \rho о \sigma \omega ́ \pi o v$ and $\pi о \rho \epsilon i ́ a t s . ~ 13 . ~ o ̈ т \iota . ~ 1 ~ J . ~$


Chapter II.
Hebr. 1. є̇̀ $\pi \rho 0 \sigma$. 2. 4. Whole verse. 5. 10. 10. ö ótıs т $\eta \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ : fut. 23. є̇̀о\%. єis.
 of testing. Prov. 27. 21.
17. $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma a$ סó $\sigma \iota \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \gamma \ldots$...] Hebraic construction. "Every gift, good, every bounty, perfect, cometh down from above": "Every gift of God is by its very origin altogether and entirely good and perfect"; with no admixture of evil or blemish in it: a reply to the heresy of verse 13 , ảmò ©. $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a ́ \zeta o \mu a \iota$. God permits, but does not send, evil.
$\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \phi \dot{\tau} \omega \nu]$ i.e. "the Creator of the Orbs of Heaven." Jerem. 4. 23, Ps. 135. 7 (apud Aquilam, ä $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha$ ). The name and attribute which most forcibly suggests unchangeableness. Acts 16. 29.
 a word of truth"; "a word that cannot deceive or fail": i.e. by the holy formula, ordained by our Lord himself, for Baptism. Eph. 5. 26, note.
 Gen. 26. 8, Prov. 7. 6. vóuov é $\lambda_{\epsilon v} \theta$ єpías: note, Gal. 5. 1.
27. $\theta \rho \eta \sigma \kappa \epsilon i a="$ outward devotion," "worship." Deeds of mercy and careful avoidance of the polluting influences of the world, are pure worship: i.e. "elements of it," "essential parts of it": not, of course, the whole of it.

Chap. II. 4. каì for äpa, a common meaning of ?. "Have you not, in fact, made partial selections, and acted as judges influenced by wrong considerations?": gen. for adj. "wrong-thinking judges."

Non-C. 14. $\lambda$ évŋ ë ě.
Chapter IV.
Non-C. 1. $\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \omega ิ \nu$. 4. ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho a$ тov̂ $\Theta$. 13. ă $\gamma \epsilon$, with plural. 14. $\pi \rho$ òs ò $\lambda i ́ y o v$.

## Chapter V.


Sept. 17. $\pi \rho o \sigma \eta \dot{\xi} \xi$. $\tau$ ồ $\mu \grave{\eta} \beta$. M. 2. 6.
5. $\pi \lambda . \stackrel{\grave{\epsilon} v}{ } \pi$.] "rich in faith": a correct idiom in English, as in Hebrew: but utterly incorrect, and bad in Greek.
8. v. $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa o ̀ s]$ "The law of our King Jesus."

20. кєvòs] = $\mu a ́ \tau \alpha \iota o s$ in V. A.: they are constantly interchanged as renderings of same words,

Chap. III. 6. Mr W. Randolph suggests a parallelism, in verses 5 and 6:
(5) a. ỏníyov $\pi \hat{\imath} \rho$,

(6) a. $\dot{\eta} \gamma \lambda . \pi \hat{v} \rho$,
 which he thinks is confirmed by $\phi \lambda$ ori ${ }^{\prime}$. $\tau . \tau \rho . \tau \eta \mathrm{\eta} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$. And he quotes in illustration Micah 1. 4:
a. Molten were the mountains, $b$. and the valleys were cleft,
$a$. as wax before the fire,
b. as waters poured down a precipice (cleave the face of it ).
15, 17. coфía] = חֲכָמָה, and is used in its Hebrew sense, so common in Proverbs, and throughout O. T., of "religion," " piety."

Chap. IV. 5. The quotation is in verse 6, from Prov. 3. 34. "Do you think that Holy Scripture ever speaks in vain? The spirit within us feels strong desires, that tend to envy: but God giveth grace yet stronger. And therefore the Holy Writer saith...." There is no quotation from H. S. in 5: only an introduction to that in 6.

## 1 S. PETER.

## Chapter I.

Hebr. 4. єís víâs. 14. тéкva vi.
Chapter III.

Non-C. 13. $\mu \iota \mu \eta \tau а і$. 15. $\mu є \tau \grave{a} \pi$.
Sept. 5. є̇ $\lambda \pi$. є̀ $\pi i$. 1 Tim. 5. у.

Chap. I. 1. $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \pi \iota \delta . \delta \iota a \sigma \pi$.] "dispersion-sojourners."
11. $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ єis $\mathrm{X} \rho . \pi a \theta$.] Some render "the sufferings destined for Christ": but can this meaning be got out of the Greek? May we not possibly regard the words as the literal rendering of $\langle$ used, as often, for genitive? 1 K. 15. 31, 1 S. 22.30.
17. $\epsilon i]$ with indicative, stating an admitted fact: "seeing that..."
18. нáralos] = "heathenish," as opposed to ooфòs, which is the Hebrew definition of the true believer. James 3. 17.
 construction.

Chap. II. 1. $\lambda_{0}$ кко̀ ${ }^{2}$ R R. 12. 1. "Spiritual": nutriment for the $\lambda$ óros, the reason or immaterial part of man.

9. $\lambda$ aoòs $\epsilon$ is $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi$.] Tit. 2. 14, note. Compare 1 Chr. 29. 3.

Chap. III. 9. єis тoîto......кג $\lambda_{\eta \rho o v o \mu .] ~ " Y e ~ h a v e ~ b e e n ~ c a l l e d ~ t o ~}^{\text {. }}$ inherit blessing," i.e. "have been admitted into all the hopes and privileges of the Christian covenant," cis tov̂ro, "for this very purpose," "with this object in view," "on this condition," namely, the fulfilment of the rule laid down in 8, 9. St Peter enforces this argument, based

## Chapter IV.

Non-C. 2. є̇ $\pi \iota \theta v \mu i a \iota s . . . . . \beta \iota \omega ิ \sigma a \iota . ~ 3 . ~ \pi \epsilon \pi о \rho \epsilon v \mu$. 4. $\xi \in \nu i \zeta$. 8. Participle nom. absolute. 12. そ̌́vov. 14. ката̀.

Chapter V.

on their intuitive perception of their new religions obligation, by an apt quotation from the writings of a Saint of old. This connexion of the words ( ${ }^{( } \kappa \lambda \lambda \eta^{\prime} \theta$. iva) agrees with the context and the logical sequence of the passage, which the other combination ( $\epsilon$ is $\tau 0 \hat{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{ro}$ iva) does not.
 searching after,"-a good conscience towards God.

Chap. V. 3. $\left.\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho \omega \nu\right]$ "the divisions" of God's people: "the portions allotted" to the charge of each Presbyter, i.e. "Ruler," in the Church. "Neither as lording it over their allotted fields of labour and administration."

## 2 S. PETER.

## Chapter I.

Hebr. 5. ẻv. 20. $\pi a ̂ \sigma a \ldots o v . ~ 21 . ~ \Theta . ~ a ̈ \nu ~ \partial . ~$
Chapter II.
Hebr. 1. aip. à $\pi$. 2. $\dot{\eta}$ ó. $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ ả. 10. ómí$\sigma \omega . . . . \pi o \rho \in v . ~ 14$. кат. тє́кขа.

Non-C. 7. катат. v. 10. кирьótทтоя. Jude 8. 14. ảкатат. $\dot{a} \mu$. 20. $\epsilon i$, with subj.

Chapter III.
 Non-C. 9. Gen. after $\beta \rho a \delta$. 11. Plural, àvaбt.

Chap. I. 3. $\delta \iota a ̀$ dós $\eta \mathrm{s}$ каì ả.] I cannot translate this, nor can I understand the force of the preposition, by the light of Classical usage or Hebraistic misuse.
 not always. Gen. 33. 10, Ps. $51.16,19,85.1$, there is no preposition either in Hebr. or Gr.

Chap. III. 12. $\left.\delta i{ }^{\circ} \eta \nu \bar{\nu}\right]$ for the manifestation and accomplishment of which day."

## 1 S. JOHN.

## Chapter I.

Hebr. 2. $\eta$ ク $\nu$ т $\rho$ òs tò̀ $\pi$. J. 1. 1, note.
Chapter II.
Hebr. 1. тара́к....трòs. 21. тầ...ov̉к: infra 3. 15. Rev. 21. 27. 28. a่ $\pi^{\prime}$ aủ $\frac{1}{}$ =


## Chapter III.

Hebr. 15. 17. к $\lambda . \tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \chi \nu a$.
Non-C. 5. ă $\rho \eta=$ take away. 16. $\psi \cup \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$ é $\theta \eta \kappa \varepsilon=$ laid down. Note, J. 10. 17.

## Chapter V.

Non-C. 15. éàv oíס. 16. є́pшt. Mc. 4. 10.
 construction after same verb, to express the same meaning, without and with a preposition: the first strictly grammatical, the second, Hebraic. M. 3. 11, note.

Chap. IV. 2. "That Jesus has come, the Incarnate Messiah": or "that Messiah has come in human nature, the man Jesus."
16. iv $\left.\dot{\eta}_{\mu i v}^{v}\right] \quad 2$ Cor. 8.7. A most curious use of $\dot{\epsilon} v:$ I can give no explanation of it , or of the $\mu \epsilon \theta^{3} \dot{\gamma}_{j} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ in 17: and I cannot agree
 mutuus inter nos et Deum": as being against the sense of the passage, and the requirements of fitting reverence: as if $\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{Eis}$ could comprehend us and God.

## 2 S. JOHN.

Hebr. 1. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{a}$. 12. $\gamma$. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{v}$.
 151, 86. 11, as so frequently found in V. A. and N. T. Notes, M. 11. 19, L. 16. 9, 2 Th. 2. 10. Compare 2 P. 1. 2, 3 John 3, 4, 12.

## 3 S. JOHN.

2. $\epsilon$ v̈ $^{\prime} \neq \mu \alpha \iota$ ] followed by inf. pres. ungrammatical.
3. $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\nu}]=$ "an act of Christian principle, of faith."
4. $\dot{\boldsymbol{i} \pi}$ ' av. $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} a \lambda$.$] Can this mean "by his holy life itself?"$ 2 J. 4. It seems impossible to get any meaning out of our English Version "by the truth itself."

## S. J U DE.





3. adv. $\left.{ }^{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \chi^{\circ} \nu \nu\right]$ Can this be an instance of the Epistolary Amperfect, as in Latin? Compare Gal. 4. 20. Here we clearly, in English, want a present tense.
11. T $\hat{\eta}$ of $\delta \hat{\varphi}]$ Construction without a preposition unusual.
14. тov́roıs] The "de his" of Vulgate, and "of these" of English Version, have no grammatical justification. The word cannot be so rendered: the only possible meaning is "prophesied to them," "forewarned them," "spoke in the name of God to them." For ${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{v} \dot{\alpha} \gamma . \mu v \rho$. see note, M. 3. 11. Also L. 14. 31, 22. 49, 1 Cor. 4. 21, Apoc. 13. 10, 19. 15.
23. One of the meanings of the preposition $\dagger$ is " $b y$," Gen. 9. 11, Job 4. 9, 7. 14, Is. 28. 7: but its literal rendering in V. A. for its almost universal sense "from," is $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o}$ : they scarcely ever put any other word for it. Hence ad àò being used for $\dot{\boldsymbol{j} \pi o ̀ ̀ ~ i n ~ m a n y ~ i n s t a n c e s, ~ a s ~ i n ~}$ those passages cited above, came to be regarded as equivalent to it by readers of V. A.; and the usage has crept into N. T. See Apoc. 2. 11 for similar use of $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$. I cite a few instances of àmò put for in V. A., as if at random, without any connexion with the sense. Numb. 32. 22, Deut. 14. 24, Ps. 68. 30, Jer. 26. 9, 32. 43, 34. 22, Is. 52. 14.

## REVELATION.

The deviations from grammatical correctness in the Apocalypse are so violent and so astonishing, as to defy explanation. Some few of them may be traceable to Hebraic influences: as I have endeavoured to point out. The others I have simply left untouched. The style of S. John in the Gospel and Epistles is so remarkably pure, - so comparatively free from Hebraisms or non-Classical words and forms, so much more like the language of the best Greek Authors; that these peculiarities are all the more perplexing. They have given rise to innumerable speculations ancient and modern: but no satisfactory explanation of them has hitherto been found.
 able to the absence of inflexion in Hebrew nouns, which made such a violation of grammar less startling to a Jew writing in Greek.
o épx.] We say in English, "past, present, and to come": and the same idea for "that which is to be, which will exist hereafter," (i.e. the future) is common in Hebrew, expressed by Is. 27. 6, הַדָּאִּ
 that are to come," in Vulgate " ventura." And hence the form is used, with $\dot{o} \dot{\eta} \nu$, and $\dot{o} \hat{\omega} \nu$ here, as one of the categories of sempiternal existence. It is curious that whereas Hebrew, Latin and English alike use words that imply "coming": the Greek equivalent implies "delay, keeping back," viz. $\mu^{\prime} \lambda_{\lambda \epsilon c v . ~ A n d ~ i t ~ i s ~ r e m a r k a b l e ~ t h a t ~ t h i s ~ v e r b ~ i s ~}^{\text {in }}$ used once only in V. A. to express futurity, Is. 48. $6, \mathfrak{a}{ }^{\mu} \mu^{\prime} \lambda_{\lambda \epsilon \iota}$ үevé $\sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$ for Apocrypha.

 "pugnabo cum illis in gladio oris mei." The English idiom coincides
with the Hebrew: but $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha$ in this sense is against all good Greek
 renders, "Domine, si percutimus in gladio": utterly sacrificing the sense in slavish adherence to a foreign idiom,-which the Translator, apparently, did not understand,-twice in one short sentence: as in the verse now before us.

Chap. III. 4. óvó $\mu a t a]$ "persons," as Acts 1. 15. Infra 11. 13.
Chap. IV. 6. кúк $\lambda \omega$ тоиิ $\theta \rho$.] 7. 11. A form borrowed from V. A. Numb. 11. 24, ,
 av̉rஸ̂̀ кஸ́mas. Grimm cites Xen. Cyr. 4. 5. 5 as an instance of the phrase in a Classical Author.
10. Future for present: Hebraic irregularity and want of precision as to difference between tenses: with which every student of Hebrew is familiar.
 repetiit ab aliquo," "caedem ultus est." Here we have a blending of the two ideas, in the one verb.

12. $\dot{\eta} \pi \lambda$. тov̂ $\theta$. av̉rov̂] "His deadly wound."

Chap. XIV. 14. The harvest in N. T. parables always represents "the ingathering of the good," M. 13. 30: the vintage, "the judgment of the wicked." See Joel 3. 13.

Chap. XVI. 3. $\psi v x \eta ̀ ~ \zeta \omega \eta ̂ s]=" l i v i n g ~ s o u l . " ~ C o m p . ~ \xi ́ v ́ \lambda o v ~ \zeta ., ~$ supra 2. 7.

Chap. XIX. 8. тà $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \omega \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau a]$ R. 5. 18, Heb. 9. 1.

## INDEX OF GREEK WORDS.

ả $\gamma a \pi \eta$ тò̀ $\Theta \epsilon o \hat{v}, \mathrm{M} .25 .34$, R. 1. 7.
 $a ̈ \delta ı к o s=\psi \in v \delta \eta ̀ s, 1$ Cor. 13.6, L. 16. 9. aí $\sigma \chi$ ưขך, "disappointment," R. 5. 4.
 बंко̀̀, J. 12. 38.

a่và $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o \nu, 1$ Cor. 6. 5.
ó äv $\theta \rho \omega \pi o s$, "mankind," J. 2. 25.
${ }^{\alpha} \nu \theta^{\circ}{ }^{\omega} \nu$, "because," L. 1. 20, R. 5. 12. àтєi $\theta \in \iota a$, Eph. 5. 6.
àmò for vinò, 1 Cor. 1. 30, Jude 23.
ả $\pi \grave{o}$ for "e numero," L. 24. 42.
aंmò, Acts 25. 9, Heb. 5. 7.
á申í $\mu$, "leave," M. 18. 12, L. 18. 16.
$\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu=$ "put," M. 7. 28, 9. 38. є̇ข $\beta$ ápєı, 1 Th. 2. 6.
 $\beta \delta € \lambda v \gamma \mu a . . . \epsilon \not \rho \eta \mu \omega \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{M} .24 .15$.
$\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ à $\pi$ ò, Mk. 8. 15.
$\gamma \dot{a} \rho=\stackrel{9}{9}=a \lambda \lambda \dot{a}$, R. 5.7.

$\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon a ̀$, "history," A. 8. 33.
ура́ $\mu \mu$, 2 C. 3. 6.
סalцóvıa, "evil spirits," M. 9. 33.
ס́́o $\mu a i ́ ~ \sigma o v, ~ A . ~ 8 . ~ 34 . ~ . ~$

ס̇à тov̂тo, "for all this," J. 19. 11.
$\delta i a ̀$, "out of the midst of," R. 2. 27.
סíxaıos $=a ̉ \lambda \eta \theta_{\eta} s$, M. 11. 19, L. 16. 9.
סıкаıoбv́vך Өєov̂, R. 1. 17.


סóga, "approval," J. 5. 44.
"likeness," I C. 11. 7.
$\delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota \varsigma$, M. 7. 22, L. 21. 26.

$\epsilon i$ interrogative, M. 12. 10.
ei negandi, Mk. 8. 12.
$\epsilon i ̉ \eta \grave{\eta}=a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀$, R. 14. 14.
єivaı $\epsilon i s=\gamma i \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, M. 2. 6.
бv̀ єîitas, M. 26. 25.
єípウ́v $\eta$ v $\mu i \nu$, J. 20. 19.
єis, "apud," M. 13. 56, 27.9.
єis єip $\eta_{\eta} \nu \eta \nu, \kappa \in \nu \mathrm{o} \nu . .$. Mk. 5. 34.
єis, " with respect to," A. 2. 25.
eis, "until," Mk. 3. 29, 1 Th. 4. 15.
'่к $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma, \ldots \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \circ \mu \hat{\eta} s$, M. 5. 37.
$\epsilon_{\epsilon} \kappa$ for $\mathfrak{v} \pi \dot{\prime}$, R. 1. 4, 1 Cor. 1. 30.
"E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$, "heathen," Mk. 7. 26.
є $\lambda \pi \bar{i} \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ є่ $\pi i$, "trust," 1 T. 5. 5.

${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \nu$, literal for $\underset{\hdashline}{7}$, M. 3. 11, 1 C. 7. 15,
A. 1. 15 .
${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \nu$ adjurandi, M. 5. 34, R. 9. 1.
$\epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu$, "coram," ধ̇ $\nu \mathrm{K} v \rho i ́ \varphi, \mathrm{Col}$. 3. 18.
év for $\epsilon i s, 1$ C. 7.15.
є̇v סaктúhต Ө. L. 11. 20, A. 1. 15, 27, 28, Vulgate literalisms.
évoxos, M. 5. 22, 1 C. 11. 27.
${ }_{\epsilon} \epsilon \xi \in \lambda \theta_{\epsilon} \tau o ̀ \pi \nu .$, Nom. for Voc. Mk. 5. 8.

є́ $\pi \grave{\imath}=$ "juxta," Mk. 8. 4, 1 Cor. 6. 1.
є́ $\pi i$, 1 C. 8. 11, R. 5. 12.
$\epsilon^{\epsilon} \pi \pi^{\prime}$ ắ $\rho \tau \underset{\varrho}{ }{ }^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \nu$, M. 4. 4, 18. 5.

$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \theta \epsilon$, Fut. for Imp. M. 5. 48.

єто七นаб＇а＝＂basis，＂E．6． 15.

R．1．1，M．1．1，J．1．1，A．13． 10.
єv่סоќє́ $\omega$ ，M．3． 17.
єv̇סoкía，М．11．26，18． 14.
є ن̉доує́ $\omega$, M．26． 26.
єv̉久oyía，＂donum，＂ 2 C．9．5．


$\eta^{i} \lambda \iota<i ́ a q u ı k$ òs，dat．of＂part，＂L．19． 3.


Өєஸ̣̂ ả
$\theta \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \in \iota \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ á $\mu a \rho \tau i ́ a$, dative of＂person，＂ R．6．2，20， 1 C．6．13， 2 C．12． 7.
Ө $\nu$ ๆтò̀．．．фӨaртò̀， 1 C．15． 54.
${ }^{i}$＂ $1 九$ ，J．16．32，A．4． 23.
ï $\lambda \epsilon \omega$＇s $\sigma o \iota, ~ " G o d ~ f o r b i d, " ~ M . ~ 16 . ~ 22 . ~$
iv $\nu \mu$ मे，G．5． 17.
ioxupòs，M．3． 11.
kaì for iva， 1 Th .3 .5.
＂ov̉v，L．10． 2.
＂${ }^{\text {ajpa，Jac．2．} 4 .}$
каі．．．ккаі，A．1． 10.
какодоүєіि $=\dot{a} \tau \iota \mu \dot{\zeta} \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$, М．15． 4.
каrà，＂with respect to，＂R．11． 2.

к $\lambda \eta \rho о \nu о \mu \epsilon і \nu$, Tit．3． 7.
коı̀òs，＂unclean，＂M．15． 11.
ки́к $\lambda \omega$ той．．．，Ар．4． 6.
$\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \omega \pi о \nu$, L．20． 21.
入óyos $\pi$ opveías，M．5． 32.

малакіа，М．4． 23.
$\mu a \rho a ̀ ̀ ~ a ̀ \theta a ̀, ~ 1 ~ C . ~ 16 . ~ 22 . ~$
щapтúpıov，1 C．2． 1.
$\mu a ́ т а \iota o s=\kappa \in \nu$ о̀s，R．8． 20.
Мє $\chi \chi \iota \sigma \epsilon \delta \dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ ，Heb．7． 1.
$\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$, Hebraic，M．24．31，L． 24.52.
$\mu \dot{\eta} \pi о т \epsilon$ ，＂in case that，＂M．13． 14.
ódòs K．，A．9． 2.




ó $\rho$ Өотонєì， 2 T．2． 15.
ös for ris，M．26． 50.
${ }^{\text {öт }}$＂asseverandi，＂M．7．23，L． 4.12.
avi Єiveke $\nu=\grave{\alpha} \nu \theta^{\circ} \omega \nu$, L．4． 18.
av̉ фоvev́gets，M．19．18．Fut．for Imp． $\dot{o ́ \phi \epsilon i \lambda \eta \mu a=\tilde{a} \mu a \rho т i ́ a, ~ М . ~ 6 . ~} 11$ ．
$\pi a \theta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$ єis $\mathrm{X} \rho ., 1$ P．1． 11.
$\pi a \rho a ̀$ ，with acc．＂near，＂Mk．4． 1.
тара́к $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota$ ，＂teaching，＂A．4．36，R．15．4．
Пара́клұтоя，J．14． 16.
таррŋбía，Mk．8．32，J．16． 25.
$\pi \epsilon \pi a \iota \theta \in ́ v a \iota ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \grave{\text { ®̀，M．27．} 43 .}$
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota o v ́ \sigma \iota o s$, Tit．2． 14.
$\pi є \rho \iota \pi a \tau \in i ̂ \nu, ~ M k . ~ 7 . ~ 5, ~ G . ~ 5 . ~ 25 . ~$
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \frac{i \eta \sigma \iota s, ~ E . ~ 1 . ~ 14, ~ H e b . ~ 10 . ~}{39 .}$
$\pi \epsilon \rho є т о \mu \eta$ X $\rho$ ．，＂Baptism，＂Col．2． 11.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau о \mu \eta$ §s，oi $\epsilon \in \kappa, ~ A .6 .1 . ~$
$\pi \iota к р i ́ a, ~ " p o i s o n, " ~ A . ~ 8 . ~ 21 . ~$
mi $\sigma \pi \iota^{\prime}$＇I．X $\rho$ ．，R．1． 22.
$\pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu a \tau \iota к o ̀ s, " i n s p i r e d, " 1$ C．14．37， Mk．12． 36.
，，＂supernatural，＂1 C．10．3，14．1．
тоteî̀ тò $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi a, ~ М . ~ 26 . ~ 18 . ~$
$\pi 0 \lambda \in \mu \in \stackrel{\imath}{\nu} \mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha}, ~ A p . ~ 2 . ~ 16 . ~$
$\pi \circ \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \cup \cup \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, A．23． 1.
$\pi о \nu \eta \rho o ̀ s ~ o ̉ \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o ̀ s, ~ M . ~ 20 . ~ 15 . ~$
тотацоі̀ vैठatos ऍ̂̀vtas，J．7． 38.
тотท́рьор，таро廿is，M．23． 26.
$\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota$ ，＂seems right，＂Heb．2． 10.
$\pi \rho \circ \theta \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ äprot，Mk．2． 26.
$\pi \rho o ̀ s, "$ with respect to，＂Acts 28． 25.
＂＂apud，＂M．13．56，J．1．1．
éautov̀s，J．20． 10.

каı $\grave{̀} \nu$ ต̈pas．．．， 1 Th．2．17．

є́ $\nu \pi \rho o \sigma \dot{\omega} \pi \omega, 2$ C．2． 10.
$\pi \rho \grave{̀} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \dot{\pi} \pi \circ v, \mathrm{Mk} .1 .2$.
$\pi \rho \circ \phi a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \dot{\prime} \chi \circ \nu \tau a \iota$ ，dative of＂man－ ner，cause，time，＂L．18．33，20．47， A．9．31，G．6． 12.
$\pi \tau \omega \chi$ òs for tatetขòs, M. 5. 3.
$\pi \omega \hat{p}$ os, "callositas," 2 C. 3. 14.

$\dot{\rho} \bar{\eta} \mu \alpha=$ "thing," M. 4. 4, L. 2. 15.
ṕi $\zeta a=$ "surculus,"not"radix,"R.15.12.
$\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i ̂ o \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau о \mu \hat{\eta}$, R. 4. 1.


бкıà Өavátov, М. 4. 15.
бофía, "religion," Jac. 3. 15, 1 P. 1. 18. $\sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \chi \nu a, 2$ Cor. 6. 13, Ph. 1. 8.
бтратєن́єıข $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon i ́ a \nu, 1$ Tim. 1. 18.
бтратia ov̉ $\frac{1 v o v ̂, ~ A . ~ 7 . ~}{42 .}$
$\sigma v \gamma \notin \epsilon$, A. 2. 6.
$\sigma v \nu \tau \in ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota a \tau \omega ิ \nu a i ̉ ., ~ М . ~ 13 . ~ 39 . ~$
$\sigma \dot{\zeta} \zeta \epsilon \iota$, "sanare," M. 9. 21, A. 14. 9.
tí є́цoì кaì бoí; J. 2. 4.
rov, with infinitive, M. 2. 6, R. 15. 22.
viòs $\gamma \epsilon \epsilon \in \nu \nu \eta s$, et similia, M. 8. 12, J. 17. 12.

ข่тако̀̀ $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$, R. 1. 5.
$\dot{v} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho$, "with respect to," 2 Th. 2. 1.


ข่тотúл $\omega \sigma$ เs, 1 Tim. 1. 16.

фо阝єíб $\theta a \iota$ ảmò, M. 10. 28.
$\phi \hat{\omega}$ for $\pi \hat{v} \rho, \mathrm{Mk} .14 .54$.
$\phi \hat{\omega} \tau a=a ̈ \sigma \tau \rho a$, Jac. 1. 17 .
$\chi$ ápıs, "thankfulness," Col. 3. 16.
$\chi \omega \dot{\rho} a=$ "rus," J. 11. 55.
" ="ager," L. 21. 21.
$\psi v \chi \grave{\eta}$, Ph. 2. 30, $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a$, R. 2.9.
$\omega$ ผ̉̊ives $\theta$ avátov, A. 2. 24.
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## BIBLIOTHECA CLASSICA.

A Series of Greek and Latin Authors, with English Notes, edited by eminent Scholars. $8 v o$.
平schylus. By F. A. Paley, M.A. 18 s.
Cicero's Orations. By G. Long, M.A. 4 vols. 16s., 148., 168., 18 s.
Demosthenes. By R. Whiston, M.A. 2 vols. 16s. each.
Euripides. By F. A. Paley, M.A. 3 vols. 16s. each.
Homer. By F. A. Paley, M.A. Vol. I. 12s.; Vol. II. 14s.
Herodotus. By Rev. J. W. Blakesley, B.D. 2 vols. 32 s.
Hesiod. By F. A. Paley, M.A. 10s. $6 d$.
Horace. By Rev. A. J. Macleane, M.A. 188.
Juvenal and Persius. By Rev. A. J. Macleane, M.A. $12 s$.
Plato. By W. H. Thompson, D.D. 2 vols. 7s. 6d. each.
Sophocles. By Rev. F. H. Blaydes, M.A. Vol. I. 18s.

- Philoctetes. By F. A. Paley, M.A. [In the Press.

Tacitus: The Annals. By the Rev. P. Frost. 15s.
Terence. By E. St. J. Parry, M.A. 18s.
Virgil. By J. Conington, M.A. 3 vols. 12s., 14s., 14s.
An Atlas of Classical Geography; Twenty-four Maps. By W. Hughes and George Long, M.A. New edition, with colonred ontlines. Imperial 8vo. 12s. 6 d .

Uniform with above.
A Complete Latin Grammar. By J. W. Donaldson, D.D. 3rd Edition. 14s.
A Complete Greek Grammar. By J. W. Donaldson, D.D. 3rd Edition. 16s.

## GRAMMAR-SCHOOL CLASSICS.

A Series of Greek and Latin Authors, with English Notes. Feap. 8 vo.
Cæsar : De Bello Gallico. By George Long, M.A. 5s. $6 d$.
__Books I.-III. For Junior Classes. By G. Long, M.A. 2s. $6 d$.
Catullus, Tibullus, and Propertius. Selected Poems. With Life• By Rev. A. H. Wratislaw. 2s, 6d

Cicero: De Senectute, De Amicitia, and Select Epistles. By George Long, M.A. 4s. 6d.
Cornelius Nepos. By Rev. J. F. Macmichael. 2s. 6d.
Homer : Iliad. Books I.-XII. By F. A. Paley, M.A. 6s. $6 d$.
Horace. With Life. By A. J. Macleane, M.A. 6s. 6d. [In 2 parts. 3s. 6d. each.]
Juvenal: Sixteen Satires. By H. Prior, M.A. 4s. 6d.
Martial: Select Epigrams. With Life. By F. A. Paley, M.A. 6s. $6 d$.
Ovid: the Fasti. By F. A. Paley, M.A. 5s.
Sallust: Catilina and Jugurtha. With Life. By G. Long, M.A. $5 s$.
Tacitus: Germania and Agricola. By Rev. P. Frost. 3s. $6 d$.
Virgil: Bucolics, Georgics, and Æneid, Books I.-IV. Abridged from Professor Conington's Edition. 5s. 6d. (The Bucolics and Georgics in one volume. 3s.)
——— Eneid, Books V.-XII. Abridged from Professor Conington's Edition. 5s. 6d.
Xenophon: The Anabasis. With Life. By Rev. J.F.Macmichael. 58.

- The Cyropædia. By G. M. Gorham, M.A. 6s. Memorabilia. By Percival Frost, M.A. 4s. 6d.
A Grammar-School Atlas of Classical Geography, containing Ten selected Maps. Imperial 8vo. 5s.


## Uniform with the Series.

The New Testament, in Greek. With English Notes, dic. By Rev. J. F. Macmichael. 7s. 6 d .

## CAMBRIDGE GREEK AND LATIN TEXTS.

巴schylus. By F. A. Paley, M.A. 3s.
Cæsar: De Bello Gallico. By G. Long, M.A. $2 s$.
Cicero: De Senectute et de Amicitia, et Epistolæ Selectæ. By G. Long, M.A. 1s. 6 d.

Ciceronis Orationes. Vol. I. (in Verrem.) By G. Long, M.A. 3s. $6 d$.
Euripides. By F. A. Paley, M.A. 3 vols. 3s. 6d. each.
Herodotus. By J. G. Blakesley, B.D. 2 vols. $7 s$.
Homeri Ilias. I.-XII. By F. A. Paley, M.A. 2s. $6 d$.
Horatius. By A. J. Macleane, M.A. 2s. $6 d$.
Juvenal et Persius. By A. J. Macleane, M.A. 1s. $6 d$.
Lucretius. By H. A. J. Munro, M.A. 2s. $6 d$.
Sallusti Crispi Catilina et Jugurtha. By G. Long, M.A. 1s. $6 d$.
Terenti Comœdiæ. By W. Wagner, Ph.D. 3s.
Thucydides. By J. G. Donaldson, D.D. 2 vols. $7 s$.
Virgilius. By J. Conington, M.A. 3s. $6 d$.
Xenophontis Expeditio Cyri. By J. F. Macmichael, B.A. $2 s .6 d$.
Novum Testamentum Græcum. By F. H. Scrivener, M.A. 4s. $6 d$ An edition with wide margin for notes, half bound, 12s.

## CAMBRIDGE TEXTS WITH NOTES.

A Selection of the most usually read of the Greek and Latin Authors, Annotated for Schools. Fcap. 8vo. 18. 6d. each.
Euripides. Alcestis. By F. A. Paley, M.A.
——Medea. By F. A. Paley, M.A.

- Hippolytus. By F. A. Paley, M.A.
-- Hecuba. By F. A. Paley, M.A.
—— Bacchæ. By F. A. Paley, M.A.
-_Ion. By F. A. Paley, M.A. [Price 2s.]
尼schylus. Prometheus Vinctus. By F. A. Paley, M.A.
-- Septem contra Thebas. By F. A. Paley, M.A.
Ovid. Selections. By A. J. Macleane, M.A.


## PUBLIC SCHOOL SERIES.

A Series of Classical Texts, annotated by well-known Scholars. Crown 8 vo.
Aristophanes. The Peace. By F. A. Paley, M.A. $4 s .6 d$.
—— The Acharnians. By F. A. Paley, M.A. 4s. $6 d$.
The Frogs. By F. A. Paley, M.A. 4s. $6 d$.
Cicero. The Letters to Atticus. Bk. I. By A. Pretor. M.A. 4s. 6 d . Demosthenes de Falsa Legatione. By R. Shilleto, M.A. $6 s$.

- The Law of Leptines. By B. W. Beatson, M.A.

Plato. The Apology of Socrates and Crito. By W. Wagner, Ph.D. 4th Edition. 4s. $6 d$.

The Phædo. By W. Wagner, Ph.D. 5s. 6d.
The Protagoras. By W. Wayte, M.A. 4s. $6 d$.
Plautus. The Aulularia. By W. Wagner, Ph.D. 2nd edition. 4s. 6 d .
——Trinummus. By W. Wagner, Ph.D. 2nd edition. 4s. 6d.

- The Menaechmei. By W. Wagner, Ph.D. 48. 6d.

Sophoclis Trachiniæ. By A. Pretor, M.A. 4s. 6d.
Terence. By W. Wagner, Ph.D. $10 s .6 d$.
Theocritus. By F. A. Paley, M.A. 4s. 6d.
Others in preparation.

## CRITICAL AND ANNOTATED EDITIONS.

不tna. By H. A. J. Munro, M.A. 3s. $6 d$.
Aristophanis Comœdiæ. By H. A. Holden, LL.D. 8vo. 2 rols. 23s. 6 d. Plays sold separately.

Pax. By F. A. Paley, M.A. Fcap. 8ro. 4s. $6 d$.
Catullus. By H. A. J. Munro, M.A. 7s. $6 d$.
Horace. Quinti Horatii Flacci Opera. By H. A. J. Munro, M.A. Large 8vo. 1l. 18.
Livy. The first five Books. By J. Prendeville. 12 mo. roan, 58. Or Books I.-III. 3s. 6d. IV. and V. 3s. 6 d.

Lucretius. Titi Lucretii Cari de Rerum Natura Libri Sex. With a Translation and Notes. By H. A. J. Munro, M.A. 2 vols. 8 ro . Vol. I. Text, 16s. Vol. II. Translation, 6 s. (Sold separately.)
Ovid. P. Ovidii Nasonis Heroides XIV. By A. Palmer, M.A. 8 vo .68.
Propertius. Sex Aurelii Propertï Carmina. By F. A. Paley, M.A. 8vo. Cloth, 98 .
Sophocles. The Ajax. By C. E. Palmer, M.A. 4s. $6 d$.
Thucydides. The History of the Peloponnesian War. By Richard Shilleto, M.A. Book I. 8vo. 6s. 6 d. (Book II. in the press.)
Greek Testament. By Henry Alford, D.D. 4 vols. 8 vo . (Sold separately.) Vol. I. 11.8s. Vol. II. 1l.48. Vol. III. 18s. Vol. IV. Part I. 18s.; Part II. 1ts.; or in one Vol, 3 s.s.

## LATIN AND GREEK CLASS-BOOKS.

Auxilia Latina. A Series of Progressive Latin Exercises. By Rev. J. B. Baddeley, M.A. Fcap. 8vo. 2s.
An Introdectory Part to the above on Accidence. [In the Press.
Latin Prose Lessons. By A. J. Church, M.A. 2nd Edit. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6 d .
Latin Exercises and Grammar Papers. By T. Collins, M.A. 2nd Edition. Feap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
Analytical Latin Exercises. By C. P. Mason, B.A. 2nd Edit. 3s. $6 d$.
Scala Græca: a Series of Elementary Greek Exercises. By Rev. J. W. Davis, M.A., and R. W. Baddeley, M.A. 3rd Edition. Feap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
Greek Verse Composition. By G. Preston, M.A. Crown 8vo. 48. $6 d$.

By the Ref. P. Frost, M.A., St. John's College, Cambridge.
Eclogæ Latinæ; or, First Latin Reading-Book, with English Notes. and a Dictionary. New Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. $6 d$.
Materials for Latin Prose Composition. New Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d. Key, 4s.
A Latin Verse-Book. An Introductory Work on Hexameters and: Pentameters. New Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 3s. Key, 5 s.
Analecta Græca Minora, with Introductory Sentences, English: Notes, and a Dictionary. New Edition. Feap. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
Materials for Greek Prose Composition. New Edit. Fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6d. Key, 5 s.
Florilegium Poeticum. Elegiac Extracts from Ovid and Tibullus. New Edition. With Notes, Fcap. 8vo. 3s.

By the Rev. F. E. Gretton.
A First Cheque-book for Latin Verse-makers. 1s. $6 d$.
A Latin Version for Masters. 2s. 6d.
Reddenda; or Passages with Parallel Hints for Translation intoLatin Prose and Verse. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.
Reddenda Reddita (see next page).
By H. A. Holden, LL.d.
Foliorum Silvala. Part I. Passages for Translation into Latin Elegiac and Heroic Verse. 8th Edition. Post 8vo. 7s. 6 d.

- Part II. Select Passages for Translation into Latin Lyricand Comic Iambic Verse. 3rd Edition. Post 8vo. 5s.
- Part III. Select Passages for Translation into Greek Verse. \&rd Edition. Post 8vo. 8s.

Folia Silvulæ, sive Eclogæ Poetarum Anglicorum in Latinum et Grecum conversæ. 8vo. Vol. I. 10s.6d. Fol. II. 123.
Foliorum Centuriæ. Select Passages for Translation into Latin and Greek Prose. 6th Edition. Post 8ro. 8 s.

## TRANSLATIONS, SELECTIONS, \&c.

** Many of the following books are well adapted for School Prizes.
Eschylus. Translated into English Prose by F. A. Paley, M.A. 2nd Edition. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

- Translated into English Verse by Anna Swanwick. Crown 8 ro . 2 vols. 12 s.

Folio Edition, with 33 Illustrations after Flaxman. 2l. 28.
Anthologia Græca. A Selection of Choice Greek Poetry, with Notes. By F. St. John Thackeray. 4th and Cheaper Edition. 16mo. 4s. 6 d.
Anthologia Latina. A Selection of Choice Latin Poetry, from Nævins to Boëthius, with Notes. By Rev. F. St. John Thackeray. Fcap. 8ro. 6s. 6 d .
Aristophanes: The Peace. Text and Metrical Translation. By B. B. Rogers, M.A. Fcap. 4to. 7s. 6 d.

The Wasps. Text and Metrical Translation. By B. B. Rogers, M.A. Feap. 4to. 7s. $6 d$.
Corpus Poetarum Latinorum. Edited by Walker. 1 rol. 8 ro. 18 s.
Horace. The Odes and Carmen Sæculare. In English Verse by J. Conington, M.A. 7th edition. Feap. 8vo. 5s. 6 d.

The Satires and Epistles. In English Verse by J. Conington, M.A. 4th edition. 6s. 6d.
Illustrated from Antique Gems by C. W. King, M.A. The test revised with Introduction by H. A. J. Munro, M.A. Large 8ro. 1l. 18.
Mrsæ Etonenses, sive Carminrm Etonæ Conditorrm Delectrs. By Richard Okes. 2 vols. 8 ro. 15s.
Propertius. Verse translations from Book V., with revised Latin Test. By F. A. Paley, M.A. Fcap. 8ro. 3s.
Plato. Gorgias. Translated by E. M. Cope, M.A. 8 ro. 7 s. Philebus. Translated by F. A. Paley, M.A. Small 8ro. 48. Theætetus. Translated by F. A. Paley, M.A. Small 8ro, 48. Analysis and Index of the Dialogues. By Dr. Day. Post 8vo. 5 s.
Reddenda Reddita: Passages from English Poetry, with a Latin Verse Translation. By F. E. Gretton. Crown 8vo. 6s.
Sabrinæ Corolla in hortulis Regiæ Scholæ Salopiensis contexuerunt tres riri floribus legendis. Editio tertia. 8vo. 8s. 6 d.
Sertum Carthusianum Floribus trium Seculorum Contextum. By W. H. Brown. 8vo. 14s.

Theocritus. In English Verse, by C. S. Calverley, M.A. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6 d .
Translations into English and Latin. By C. S. Calverley, M.A. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d. By R. C. Jebb, M.A. ; H. Jackson, M.A., and T. E. Currey, M.A. Crown 8vo. 8s.

- into Greek and Latin Verse. By R. C. Jelb. 4to. cloth gilt. 10 s .6 d .


## REFERENCE VOLUMES.

A Latin Grammar. By T. H. Key, M.A. Gth Thousand. Post8ro. 8 s.
A Short Latin Grammar for Schools. By T. H. Key, M.A., F.R.S. 11th Edition. Post 8vo. 3s, 6 d.

A Guide to the Choice of Classical Books. By J.B. Mayor, M.A. Crown 8vo. 2s.
The Theatre of the Greeks. By J. W. Donaldson, D.D. 8th Edition. Post 8ro. 5s.
A. Dictionary of Latin and Greek Quotations. By H. T. Riley. Post 8 ro . 5s. With Index Verborum, 6 s .
A History of Roman Literature. By W. S. Teuffel, Professor at the University of Tübingen. By W. Waguer, Ph.D. 2 vols. Demy 8 vo. 21 s.
Student's Guide to the University of Cambridge. Revised and corrected. 3rd Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 6s. 6d.

## CLASSICAL TABLES.

Greek Verbs. A Catalogue of Verbs, Irregular and Defective; their leading formations, tenses, and inflexions, with Paradigms for conjugation, Rules for formation of tenses, \&c. \&c. By J. S. Baird, T.O.D. 2s. $6 d$.
Greek Accents (Notes on). By A. Barry, D.D. New Edition. 1s.
Homeric Dialect. Its Leading Forms and Peculiarities. By J. S. Baird, T.C.D. New edition, revised by W. Gunion Rutherford. 1s.
Greek Accidence. By the Rev. P. Frost, M.A. New Edition. 18.
Latin Accidence. By the Rev. P. Frost, M.A. 1s.
Latin Versification. 18.
Notabilia Quædam; or the Principal Tenses of most of the Irregular Greek Verbs and Elementary Greek, Latin, and French Construction. New edition. 1 s.
Richmond Rules for the Ovidian Distich, \&c. By J. Tate, M.A. 1s.

The Principles of Latin Syntax. 18.

## CAMBRIDGE SCHOOL AND COLLEGE TEXT-BOOKS.

A Series of Elementary Treatises for the use of Students in the Universities, Schools,'and Candidates for the Public Examinations. Fcap. $8 v o$.
Arithmetic. By Rev. C. Elsee, M.A. Fcap. 8vo. 7th Edit. 3s. 6d. Algebra. By the Rev. C. Elsee, M.A. 4th Edit. 4s. Arithmetic. By A. Wrigley, M.A. 3s. $6 d$.

- A Progressive Course of Examples. With Answers. By J. Watson, M.A. 3rd Edition, 2s.6d.

Algebra. Progressive Course of Examples. By Rev. W. F. M'Michael, M.A., and R. Prowde Smith, M.A. 3s. 6 d .

Plane Astronomy, An Introduction to. By P. T. Main, M.A. 3rd Edition. [In the Press.
Conic Sections treated Geometrically. By W. H. Besant, M.A. 2nd Edition. 4s. 6 d.
Elementary Conic Sections treated Geometrically. By W. H. Besant, M.A.
[In the Press.
Statics, Elementary. By Rev. H. Goodwin, D.D. 2nd Edit. 3s.
Hydrostatics, Elementary. By W. H. Besant, M.A. 7th Edit. 4s.
Mensuration, An Elementary Treatise on. By B. T. Moore, M.A. $5 s$.
Newton's Principia, The First Three Sections of, with an Appendix; and the Ninth and Eleventh Sections. By J. H. Evans, M.A. 5th Edition, by P. T. Main, M.A. 4s.
Trigonometry, Elementary. By T. P. Hudson, M.A. 3s. 6d.
Optics, Geometrical. With Answers. By W. S. Aldis, M.A. 3s. $6 d$.
Analytical Geometry for Schools. By T. G. Vyryan. 3rd Edit. 4s. 6 d .
Greek Testament, Companion to the. By A. C. Barrett, A.M. 3rd Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 5s.
Book of Common Prayer, An Historical and Explanatory Treatise on the. By W. G. Humphry, B.D. 5th Edition. Fcap. 8ro. 4s. 6 d. $!$
Music, Text-book of. By H. C. Banister 7th Edit. revised. 5s. - Concise History of. By H. G. Bonavia Hunt, B. Mus. Oson. 3rd Edition revised. 3s. 6 d .

## ARITHMETIC AND ALGEBRA.

Principles and Practice of Arithmetic. By J. Hind, M.A. 9th Edit. 4s. 6 d .
Elements of Algebra. By J. Hind, M.A. 6th Edit. 8ro. 10s. 6 d .
Choice and Chance. A Treatise on Permutations and Combinations. By W. A Whitworth. 2nd Edition. Crown 8vo. 6 s.

See also foregoing Series.

## GEOMETRY AND EUCLID.

Text-Book of Geometry. By T. S. Aldis, M.A. Small 8ro. 4s. 6d. Part I. 2s. 6d. Part II. 2s.
The Elements of Euclid. By H. J. Hose. Fcap. 8ro. 4s. $6 d$. Exercises separately, 1 s.
_ The First Six Books, with Commentary by Dr. Lardner. 10th Edition. 8ro. 6s.

The First Two Books explained to Beginners. By C.P. Mason, B.A. 2nd Edition. Fcap. 8ro. 2s. 6 d.
The Enunciations and Figures to Euclid's Elements. By Rev. J. Brasse, D.D. 3rd Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 1s. On Cards, in case, 5s. 6d. Withoat the Figures, 6 d.
Exercises on Euclid and in Modern Geometry. By J. McDowell, B.A. Crown 8vo. 2nd Edition revised. 68.

Geometrical Conic Sections. By W. H. Besant, M.A. 3rd Edit. 4s. 6 d.
Elementary Geometrical Conic Sections. By W. H. Besant, M.A.
[In the Press.
The Geometry of Conics. By C. Taylor, M.A. 2nd Edit. 8ro. 4s. 6 d.
Solutions of Geometrical Problems, proposed at St. John's College from 1830 to 1846. By T. Gaskin, M.A. 8ro. 12.

## TRIGONOMETRY.

The Shrewsbury Trigonometry. By J. C. P. Aldous. Crown 8vo. 2s.
Elementary Trigonometry. By T. P. Hudson, M.A. 3s. $6 d$.
Elements of Plane and Spherical Trigonometry. By J. Hind, M.A. 5 th Edition. 12mo. 6s.

An Elementary Treatise on Mensuration. By B. T. Moore, M.A. 5s.

## ANALYTICAL GEOMETRY AND DIFFERENTIAL CALCULUS.

An Introduction to Analytical Plane Geometry. By W. P. Turnbull, M.A. 8vo. 12 s.
Treatise on Plane Co-ordinate Geometry. By M. O'Brien, M.A. 8vo. 9s.
Problems on the Principles of Plane Co-ordinate Geometry. By W. Walton, M.A. 8vo. 16 s.
Trilinear Co-ordinates, and Modern Analytical Geometry of Two Dimensions. By W. A. Whitworth, M.A. 8vo. 168.
An Elementary Treatise on Solid Geometry. By W. S. Aldis, M.A. 2nd Edition revised. 8vo. 8s.

Geometrical Illustrations of the Differential Calculus. By M. B. Pell. 8vo. 2s. 6 d .

Elementary Treatise on the Differential Calculus. By M. O'Brien, M.A. 8vo. 10s. 6 d .
Notes on Roulettes and Glissettes. By W. H. Besant, M.A. 8\%o. 3s. 6 d.
Elliptio Functions, Elementary Treatise on. By A. Cayley, M.A. Demy 8 vo. ${ }^{155}$.

## MECHANICS \& NATURAL PHILOSOPHY.

Statics, Elementary. By H. Goodwin, D.D. Fcap. 8ro. 2nd Edition. 38 .
Statics, Treatise on. By S. Earnshaw, M.A. 4th Edition. 8ro. 108. 6d.

Dynamics, A Treatise on Elementary. By W. Garnett, B.A. 2nd Edition. Crown 8vo. 6s.
Statics and Dynamics, Problems in. By W. Walton, M.A. 8 ro. 10s. 6 d .

Theoretical Mechanics, Problems in. By W. Walton. 2nd Edit. revised and enlarged. Demy 8ro. 16s.
Mechanics, An Elementary Treatise on. By Prof. Potter. 4th Edition revised. 8s. 6 d .
Hydrostatics, Elementary. By Prof. Potter. 7s. 6 d .
Hydrostatics By W. H. Besant, M.A. Fcap. 8ro. 7th Edition. 48.
Hydromechanics, A Treatise on. By W. H. Besant, M.A. 8 vo. New Edition revised. 10s. 6d.
Dynamics of a Particle, A Treatise on the. By W. H. Besant, M.A.
[Preparing.
Dynamics of a Rigid Body, Solutions of Examples on the. By W. N. Griffin, M.A. 8vo. 6s, 6d.

Motion, An Elementary Treatise on. By J. R. Lumn, M.A. 7s. 6d. Optics, Geometrical. By W. S. Aldis, MI.A. Fcap. 8ro. 3s. $6 d$.
Double Refraction, A Chapter on Fresnel's Theory of. By W. S. Aldis, M.A. 8vo. 2 s .
Optics, An Elementary Treatise on. By Prof. Potter. Part I. 3rd Edition. 9s. 6d. Part II. 12s. 6d.
Optics, Physical; or the Nature and Properties of Light. By Prof. Potter, A.M. 6s. 6d. Part II. 7s. 6d.
Heat, An Elementary Treatise on. By W. Garnett, B.A. Crown 8ro. 2nd Edition revised. 3s. 6d.
Geometrical Optics, Figures Illustrative of. From Schelbach. By W. B. Hopkins. Folio. Plates. 10s. 6 d.
Newton's Principia, The First Three Sections of, with an Appendix; and the Ninth and Eleventh Sections. By J. H. Evans, M.A. 5th Edition. Edited by P. T. Main, M.A. 4s.
Astronomy, An Introduction to Plane. By P. T. Main, M.A. Fcap. 8vo. cloth. 4s.
Astronomy, Practical and Spherical. By R. Main, M.A. 8ro. 148.
Astronomy, Elementary Chapters on, from the 'Astronomie Physique' of Biot. By H. Goodwin, D.D. 8vo. 3s. 6 d.
Pure Mathematics and Natural Philosophy, A Compendium of Facts and Formule in. By G. R. Smalley. Fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6 d.
Elementary Course of Mathematics. By H. Goodwin, D.D. 6th Edition. 8ro. 16s.
Problems and Examples, adapted to the 'Elementary Course of Mathematics.' 3rd Edition. 8ro. 5s.
Solutions of Goodwin's Collection of Problems and Examples. By W. W. Hutt, M.A. 3rd Edition, rerised and enlarged. 8vo. 9s.
Pure Mathematics, Elementary Examples in. By J. Taylor. 8ro. 7s. 6 d.
Euclid, Mechanical. By the late W. Whewell, D.D. 5th Edition. 5s.
Mechanics of Construction. With numerous Examples. By S. Fenwick, F.R.A.S. 8 vo . 12 s .

Anti-Logarithms, Table of. By H. E. Filiporrski. 3rd Edition. 8 ro . 15 s .
Mathematical and other Writings of R. L. Ellis, M.A. 8ro. 168.
Pure and Applied Calculation, Notes on the Principles of. By Rev. J. Challis, M.A. Demy 8vo. 15s.
Physics, The Mathematicai Principle of. By Rev. J. Challis, M.A. Demy 8vo. 5s.

## HISTORY, TOPOGRAPHY, \&c.

Rome and the Campagna. By R. Burn, M.A. With 85 En. gravings and 26 Maps and Plans. With Appendir. 4to. 3l. 3s.
Modern Europe. By Dr. T. H. Dyer. 2nd Edition revised and continned. 5 vols. Demy 8vo. 21. 12s. 6d.
The History of the Kings of Rome. By Dr. T. H. Dyer. 8 ro. 16 s.
A Plea for Livy. By Dr. T. H. Dyer. 8ro. 1s.
Roma Regalis. By Dr. T. H. Dyer. 8ro. $2 s .6 d$.
The History of Pompeii: its Buildings and Antiquities. By T. H. Dyer. 3rd Edition, brought down to 1874. Post 8vo. 7s. $6 d$.

Ancient Athens: its History, Topography, and Remains. By T. H. Dyer. Super-royal 8vo. Cloth. 1l. 5 .

The Decline of the Roman Republic. By G. Long. 5 vols. 8vo. 14s. each.
A History of England during the Early and Middle Ages. By C. H. Pearson, M.A. 2nd Edition revised and enlarged. 8ro. Vol. I. 16s. Vol. II. 14s.
Historical Maps of England. By C. H. Pearson. Folio. 2nd Edition revised. 31s. $6 d$.
History of England, 1800-15. By Harriet Martineau, with new and copious Index. 1 vol. 3s. $6 d$.
History of the Thirty Years' Peace, 1815-46. By Harriet Martineau. 4 vols. 3s. 6d. each.
A Practical Synopsis of English History. By A. Bowes. 4th Edition. 8vo. 2s.
Student's Text-Book of English and General History. By D. Beale. Crown 8ro. 2s. 6 d .

Lives of the Queens of England. By A. Strickland. Library Edition, 8 vols. 7s. 6 d. each. Cheaper Edition, 6 vols. 5s. each. Abridged Edition, 1 vol. 6s. 6 d.
Eginhard's Life of Karl the Great (Charlemagne). Translated with Notes, by W. Glaister, M.A., B.C.L. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6 d.
Outlines of Indian History. By A. W. Hughes. Small post 8vo. 3s. 6 d .
The Elements of General History. By Prof. Tytler. New Edition, brought down to 1874. Small post 8ro. s. 6 d .

## ATLASES.

An Atlas of Classical Geography. 24 Maps. By W. Hughes and G. Long, M.A. New Edition. Imperial 8vo. 12s. $6 d$.
A Grammar-School Atlas of Classical Geography. Ten Maps selected from the above. New Edition. Imperial 8ro. 5s.
First Classical Maps. By the Rev. J. Tate, M.A. 3rd Edition. Imperial 8ro. 7s. 6 d .
Standard Library Atlas of Classical Geography. Imp. 87o. 7s. $6 d$.

## PHILOLOGY.

WEBSTER'S DICTIONARY OF THE ENGLISH LAN. GUAGE. Re-edited by N. Porter and C. A. Goodrich. With Dr. Mahn's Etymology. 1 vol. 21s. With Appendices and 70 additional pages of Illustrations, 31s. 6d.
'The best practical English Dictionary extant.'-Quarterly Review. Prospectuses, with specimen pages, post free on application.
New Dictionary of the English Language. Combining Explanation with Etymology, and copiously illustrated by Quotations from the best Authorities. By Dr. Richardson. New Edition, with a Supplement. 2 vols. 4to. 4l. 14s. 6d.; half russia, 5l. 15s. 6 d. ; russia, 6 l . 12s. Supplement separately. 4to. 12 s.

An 8vo. Edit. without the Quotations, 15 s .; half russia, 20s.; russia, 24s.
The Elements of the English Language. By E. Adams, Ph.D. 15th Edition. Post 8vo. 4s. 6d.
Philological Essays. By T. H. Key, M.A., F.R.S. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
Language, its Origin and Development. By T. H. Key, M.A., F.R.S. 8vo. 14s.

Synonyms and Antonyms of the English Language. By Archdeacon Smith. 2nd Edition. Post 8 ro. 5 s.
Synonyms Discriminated. By Archdeacon Smith. Demy 8vo. $16 s$.
Etymological Glossary of nearly 2500 English Words in Common Use derived from the Greek. By the Rev. E. J. Boyce. Fcap. 8ro. 3s. 6 d.
A Syriac Grammar. By G. Phillips, D.D. 3rd Edition, enlarged. 8vo. 7s. 6 d .
A Grammar of the Arabic Language. By Rev. W. J. Beaumont, M.A. 12 mo. is.
Who Wrote It? A Dictionary of Common Poetical Quotations. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. $6 d$.

## DIVINITY, MORAL PHILOSOPHY, \&c.

Novum Testamentum Græcum, Textus Stephanici, 1550. By F. H. Scrivener, A.M., LL.D. New Edition. 16mo. 4s. 6 d . Also on Writing Paper, with Wide Margin. Half-bound. 12s.

By the same Author.
Codex Bezæ Cantabrigiensis. 4to. 26s.
A Full Collation of the Codex Sinaiticus with the Received Text of the New Testament, with Critical Introduction. 2nd Edition, revised. Fcap. 8vo. 5 s.
A Plain Introduction to the Criticism of the New Testament. With Forty Facsimiles from Ancient Manuscripts. 2nd Edition. 8vo. 16s.
Six Lectures on the Text of the New Testament. For English Readers. Crown 8vo. 6s.

The New Testament for English Readers. By the late H. Alford, D.D. Vol. I. Part I. 3rd Edit. 12s. Vol. I. Part II. 2nd Edit. 10s. 6 d . Vol. II. Part I. 2nd Edit. 16s. Vol. II. Part II. 2nd Edit. 16s.
The Greek Testament. By the late H. Alford, D.D. Vol. I. 6th Edit. 1l. 8s. Vol. II. 6th Edit. 1l. 4s. Vol. III. 5th Edit. 18s. Vol. IV. Edit. 1l. 8t, Vol. II. ${ }^{\text {Part }}$. th Edit. 18. Part II. 4th Edit. 14s. Vol. IV. 1l. 12 s .

Companion to the Greek Testament. By A. C. Barrett, M.A. 3rd Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 5 s.
Liber Apologeticus. The Apology of Tertullian, with English Notes, by H. A. Woodham, LL.D. 2nd Edition. 8vo. 8s. $6 d$.
The Book of Psalms. A New Translation, with Introductions, \&c. By Very Rev. J. J. Stewart Perowne, D.D. 8vo. Vol. I. 4th Edition, 18s. Vol. II. 4th Edit. 16s.
——Abridged for Schools. 2nd Edition. Crown 8vo. 10s. 6d.
History of the Articles of Religion. By C. H. Hardwick. 3rd Edition. Post 8vo. 5s.
Pearson on the Creed. Carefully printed from an early edition. With Analysis and Index by E. Walford, M.A. Post 8 vo. 5 s .
Doctrinal System of St. John as Evidence of the Date of his Gospel. By Rev. J. J. Lias, M.A. Crown 8vo. 6s.
An Historical and Explanatory Treatise on the Book of Common Prayer. By Rev. W. G. Humphry, B.D. 5th Edition, enlarged. Small post 8 ro. $4 s, 6 \dot{d}$.
The New Table of Lessons Explained. By Rev. W. G. Humphry, B.D. Fcap. 18. $6 d$.

A Commentary on the Gospels for the Sundays and other Holy Days of the Christian Year. By Rev. W. Denton, A.M. New Edition. 3 vols. 8vo. 54s. Sold separately.
Commentary on the Epistles for the Sundays and other Holy Days of the Christian Year. By Rev. W. Denton, A.M. 2 rols. 36 s . Sold separately.
Commentary on the Acts. By Rev. W. Denton, A.M. Vol. I. 8 vo . 18 s . Vol. II. I4s.
Notes on the Catechism. By Rev. A. Barry, D.D. 5th Edit. Fcap. 2s.
Catechetical Hints and Helps. By Rev. E. J. Boyce, M.A. 3rd Edition, revised. Fcap. 2s. 6d.
Examination Papers on Religious Instruction. By Rev. E.J. Boyce. Sewed. 1s. $6 d$.
Church Teaching for the Church's Children. An Exposition of the Catechism. By the Rev. F. W. Harper. Sq, feap. 2s.
The Winton Church Catechist. Questions and Answers on the Teaching of the Church Catechism. By the late Rev. J. S. B. Monsell, LL.D. 3rd Edition. Cloth, 3s.; or in Four Parts, sewed.
The Church Teacher's Manual of Christian Instruction. By Rev. M. F. Sadler. 16th Thousand. 2s. 6 d .
Short Explanation of the Epistles and Gospels of the Christian Year, with Questions. Royal 32mo. 28. 6d.; calf, 4s. 6 d .
Butler's Analogy of Religion; with Introduction and Index by Rev. Dr. Steere. New Edition. Fcap. 3s. $6 d$.

- Three Sermons on Human Nature, and Dissertation on Virtue. By W. Whewell, D.D. 4th Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6 d.
Lectures on the History of Moral Philosophy in England. By W. Whewell, D.D. Crown 8vo. 8s.

Elements of Morality, including Polity. By W. Whewell, D.D. New Edition, in 880. 15s.
Astronomy and General Physics (Bridgewater Treatise). New Edition. 5 s.

Kent's Commentary on International Law. By J. T. Abdy, LL.D. New and Cheap Edition. Crown 8ro. 10s. 6d.
A Manual of the Roman Civil Law. By G. Leapingwell, LL.D. 8vo. 12s.

## FOREIGN CLASSICS.

A series for use in Schools, with English Notes, grammatical and explanatory, and renderings of difficult idiomatic expressions. Fcap. $8 v o$.
Schiller's Wallenstein. By Dr. A. Buchheim. New Edit. 6s. 6d. Or the Lager and Piccolomini, 3s. 6d. Wallenstein's Tod, 3s. 6d.

- Maid of Orleaṇs. By Dr. W. Wagner. 3s. 6d.

Maria Stuart. By V. Kastner. 3s.
Goethe's Hermann and Dorothea. By E. Bell, M.A., and E. Wölfel. 2s. 6 d .

German Ballads, from Uhland, Goethe, and Schiller. By C. L. Bielefeld. 3s. 6 d.
Charles XII., par Voltaire. By L. Direy. 3rd Edition. 3s. 6d.
Aventures de Télémaque, par Fénélon. By C. J. Delille. 2nd Edition. 4s. 6 d .
Select Fables of La Fontaine. By F. E. A. Gasc. New Edition. 3s.
Picciola, by X. B. Saintine. By Dr. Dubuc. 4th Edition. 3s. 6d.

## FRENCH CLASS-BOOKS.

Twenty Lesssons in French. With Vocabulary, giving the Pronunciation. By W. Brebner. Post 8vo. 4s.
French Grammar for Public Schools. By Rev. A. C. Clapin, M.A. Fcap. 8vo. 6th Edit. 2s. 6d. Separately, Part I. 2s.; Part II. 1s. 6d.
French Primer. By Rev. A. C. Clapin, M.A; 3rd Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 1 s.
Primer of French Philology. By Rev. A. C. Clapin. Fcap. 8vo. 1s.
Le Nouveau Tresor; or, French Student's Companion. By M. E. S. 16th Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6 d.

## F. E. A. GASC'S FRENCH COURSE.

First French Book. Fcap 8vo. New Edition. 18. 6d.
Second French Book. New Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
Key to First and Second French Books. Fcap. 8ro. 3s. 6d.
French Fables for Beginners, in Prose, with Index. New Edition. 12 mo . 2 s .
Select Fables of La Fontaine. New Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 38.
Histoires Amusantes et Instructives. With Notes. New Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6 d.

Practical Guide to Modern French Conversation. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6 d .
French Poetry for the Young. With Notes. Fcap. 8vo. $2 s$.
Materials for French Prose Composition; or, Selections from the best English Prose Writers. New Edition. Fcap, 8vo. 4s. 6d. Key, 6s.
Prosateurs Contemporains. With Notes. 8vo. New Edition, revised. 5 s.
Le Petit Compagnon; a French Talk-Book for Little Children. 16mo. 2s. 6 d .
An Improved Modern Pocket Dictionary of the French and English Languages. 25th Thousand, with additions. 16mo. Cloth. $4 s$. Also in 2 vols., in neat leatherette, 5 s.
Modern French-English and English-French Dictionary. 2nd Edition, revised. In 1 vol. 12s. 6 d. (formerly 2 vols. 25 s .)

## GOMBERT'S FRENCH DRAMA.

Being a Selection of the best Tragedies and Comedies of Molière, Racine, Corneille, and Voltaire. With Arcuments and Notes by A. Gombert. New Edition, revised by F. E. A. Gasc. Feap. 8vo. 1s. each; sewed, 6 d.

> Contents.

Moliere:--Le Misanthrope. L'Avare. Le Bourgeois Gentilhomme. Le Tartuffe. Le Malade Imaginaire. Les Femmes Savantes. Les Fourberies de Scapin. Les Précieuses Ridicules. L'Ecole des Femmes. L'Ecole des Maris. Le Médecin malgré Lui.

Racine:--Phédre. Esther. Athálie. Iphigénie. Les Plaideurs.
Thébaïde; or, Les Frères Ennemis. Andromaque. Britannicus.
P. Corneille:-Le Cid. Horace. Cinna. Polyeucte.

Voltaire:-Zaïre.

## GERMAN CLASS-BOOKS.

Materials for German Prose Composition. By Dr Buchheim. 5th Edition, revised, with an Indes. Fcap. 4s. 6 d.
A German Grammar for Public Schools. By the Rev. A. C. Clapin and F. Holl Müller. Fcap. 2s. 6d.
Kotzebue's Der Gefangene. With Notes by Dr. W. Stromberg. 1s.

## ENGLISH CLASS-BOOKS.

The Elements of the English Language. By E. Adams, Ph.D. 15th Edition. Post 8vo. 4s. 6d.
The Rudiments of English Grammar and Analysis. By E. Adams, Ph.D. New Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 2s.

By C. P. Mason, B.A. London University.
First Notions of Grammar for Young Learners. Fcap. 8vo. Cloth. $8 d$.
First Steps in English Grammar for Junior Classes. Demy 18mo. New Edition. 18.
Outlines of English Grammar for the use of Junior Classes. Cloth. 5th Edition. 18. 6 d .

English Grammar, including the Principles of Grammatical Analysis. 22nd Edition. Post 8vo. 3s. 6d.
Shorter English Grammar, with copious carefully graduated Exercises. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d. [Just published.
English Grammar Practice, being the Exercises from the abore, in a separate volume. 1 s.
[Just published.
The Analysis of Sentences applied to Latin. Post 8ro. 1s. 6d.
Analytical Latin Exercises: Accidence and Simple Sentences, \&c. Post 8ro. 3s. 6 d .

## Edited for Middle-Class Examinations.

 With Notes on the Analysis and Parsing, and Explanatory Remarks.Milton's Paradise Lost, Book I. With Life. 3rd Edit. Post 8 ro. 2s.
——Book II. With Life. 2nd Edit. Post 8ro. $2 s$.

- Book III. With Life. Post 8vo. 2s.

Goldsmith's Deserted Village. With Life. Post 8vo. Is. 6 d .
Cowper's Task, Book II. With Life. Post 8ro. $2 s$.
Thomson's Spring. With Life. Post 8ro. $2 s$.
——Winter. With Life. Post 8 vo. $2 s$.
Practical Hints on Teaching. By Rev. J. Menet, M.A. 4th Edit. Crown 8vo. cloth, 2s. 6d. ; paper, 2s.
Test Lessons in Dictation. Paper cover, $1 s .6 d$.
Questions for Examinations in English Literature. By Rev. W. W. Skeat. 2s. 6d.

Drawing Copies. By P. H. Delamotte. Oblong 8ro. 12s. Sold also in parts at 1s. each.
Poetry for the School-room. New Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 1s. $6 d$.
Select Parables from Nature, for Use in Schools. By Mrs. A. Gatty. Fcap. 8ro. Cloth. 1 s.
School Record for Young Ladies' Schools. 6d.
Geographical Text-Book; a Practical Geography. By M. E. S. 12 mo . 2 s .

The Blank Maps done ap separately, 4to. 2s. coloured.
A First Book of Geography. By Rev. C. A. Johns, B.A., F.L.S. \&c. Illustrated. 12mo. 2s. 6 d.
Loudon's (Mrs.) Entertaining Naturalist. New Edition. Revised by W. S. Dallas, F.L.S. 5 s.

Handbook of Botany. New Edition, greatly enlarged by D. Wooster. Fcap. 2s. 6 d.

The Botanist's Pocket-Book. With a copious Index. By W. R. Hayward. 2nd Edit. revised. Crown 8vo. Cloth limp. 4s. 6 d.
Experimental Chemistry, founded on the Work of Dr. Stöckhardt. By C. W. Heaton. Post 8ro. 5s.
Double Entry Elucidated. By B. W. Foster. 7th Edit. 4to. $8 s 6$.
A. New Manual of Book-keeping. By P. Crellin, Accountant. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6 d .
Picture School-Books. In Simple Language, with numerous Illustrations. Royal 16 mo .
School Primer. 6d.-School Reader. By J. Tilleard. 1s.-Poetry Book for Schools. 1s.-The Life of Joseph. 1s.-The Scripture Parables. By the Rev. J. E. Clarke. 1s.-The Scripture Miracles. By the Rev. J. E. Clarke. 1s.- The New Testament History. By the Rev. J. G. Wood, M.A. 1s.-The Old Testament History. By the Rev. J. G. Wood, M.A. 1s.-The Story of Bunyan's Pilgrim's Progress.' 1s. -The Life of Christopher Columbus. By Sarah Crompton. 1s.-The Life of Martin Luther. By Sarah Crompton. 18.

## BOOKS FOR YOUNG READERS.

In 8 vols. Limp cloth, $6 d$. each.

The New-born Lamb; Roserwod Box; Poor Fan; Wise Dog-The Cat and the Hen; Sam and his Dog Red-leg; Bob and Tom Lee; A Wreck-The Three Monkeys-_Story of a Cat, told by Herself-The Blind Boy; The Mnte Girl; $\Delta$ New Tale of Babes in a Wood-The Dey and the Knight; The New Bank-note ; The Royal Visit; A King's Walk on a Winter's Day-Queen Bee and Busy Bee-Gull's Crag, a Story of the Sea.

## BELL'S READING-BOOKS.

## FOR SCHOOLS AND PAROCHIAL LIBRARIES.

The popnlarity which the 'Books for Young Readers' have attained is a sufficient proof that teachers and pupils alike approve of the use of interesting stories, with a simple plot in place of the dry combination of letters and syllables, making no impression on the mind, of which elementary reading. books generally consist.

The Publishers have therefore thought it advisable to extend the application of this principle to books adapted for more advanced readers.

## Now Ready. Post 8vo. Strongly bound.

Masterman Ready. By Captain Marryat, R.N. 1s. $6 d$. The Settlers in Canada. By Captain Marryat. R.N. 1s. 6d. Parables from Nature. (Selected.) By Mrs. Gatty. 1s. Friends in Fur and Feathers. By Gwynfryn. $1 s$. Robinson Crusoe. 18. $6 d$.
Andersen's Danish Tales. (Selected.) By E. Bell, M.A. 18.
Southey's Life of Nelson. (Abridged.) $1 s$.
Grimm's German Tales. (Selected.) By E. Bell, M.A. 1s. Life of the Duke of Wellington, with Maps and Plans. 1 s.

Others in Preparation.

London: Printed by John Strangewats, Castle St. Leicester Sq.
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[^0]:    Cambridge,
    1 March, 1875.

[^1]:    Cap. III. 2. ì $\beta$. т. oúp.] Not found in V.A., may be inferred from Dan. ii. 42 and vii. 14. Grimm cites from Targums
    

[^2]:    D. M. has oujpavêv, the other Evangelists $\theta \in 0$.
    3. $\delta \dot{p} \eta \theta \epsilon i s]$ "the person spoken of," unusual in masculine.

[^3]:    22. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda o ́ \tau \eta s-V . A$. for $\square \dot{\text { ® }}$ "integritas." 2 Kings xv. II, Prov. xix. 1.
    23. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \epsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha l]$ here and L. xii. 3 I , in sense of "come in afterwards," "come next," as Acts xii. 3, $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \theta \in \tau 0 \quad \sigma v \lambda \lambda a \beta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ IIt́t $\rho o \nu$ : "he seized Peter afterwards." L. xx. II. Always used by V. A. for ${ }^{\text {PD }}$ in same sense. Here the future stands for imperative or permissive, a usage not uncommon in Hebrew; and vice versâ. Is. lv. 2, "Hearken unto me and eat:" i. e. "ye shall eat;" and the commandments in Ex. xx.-V.A. frequently renders He brew imperative by future: e.g. Is. vi. 9 , a passage very loosely translated in it : see
[^4]:    19: 20. єis $\gamma \rho$. for $\gamma \rho$. Tis. $\pi$ oû for ő $\pi 0$.
    28. $\chi^{a \lambda \epsilon \pi o ̀ s] ~ V . A . ~ I s . ~ x v i i i . ~} 2$, for Nొำ

[^5]:    "terribilis, formidandus," Niph. well defined to mean "hard of things, harsh of men, fierce of beasts." W. W.

[^6]:    2I. $\sigma \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \circ \mu a \iota$ ] Schleus. cites Xen. Mem. II. IO. I to show that $\sigma \dot{\omega} \varsigma \epsilon \nu=$ "t to heal," and that $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho=$ physician, and $\sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma \tau \rho o \nu$ his fee for restoring health. But it is not a common use of the words in Greek authors. It is not found in this sense, so far as I can disccover, in V.A. in which it stands
     But in N.T. it very often means "to heal:" as here : and Mk. v. 23, vi. 56 : L. viii. 36, J. xi. 12, Acts iv. 9. And our Auth. Version curiously renders $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a$, " health," Ps. xlii. II, xliii. 5; and "saving health," Ps. lxvii. 2 : most probably from the double meaning of "salus," (a) health and (b) salvation; which latter they dis-

[^7]:    23. $\left.{ }^{2} \omega s\right]$ for $\pi \rho l y$ often in N.T.
    24. This peculiar use of els to ous or Eis Tà $\omega$ Tra had probably become habitual from its frequent occurrence in V.A. for
     Is. v. 9. $\dot{\eta} \kappa \circ \dot{\sigma} \sigma \theta \eta$ eis $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\omega} \tau \alpha$ : as Acts
[^8]:    xi. 22. It seems to have been adopted as an idiomatic equivalent.
    28. $\phi \dot{0} \beta \in \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota \dot{a} \pi \dot{j} \dot{j}]$ in V.A. and N.T. is a literal rendering of a common He braism: © iii. 7 , xxvii. I.

[^9]:     xii. 8 , have no parallel in V.A.
    41. €is övoца $\pi$.] Grimm renders "respiciens nomen "prophetre quod gerit," "out of regard to." But it may possibly be nothing more than an inaccurate use

[^10]:    20. See xiii. 52. V.A. $\epsilon$ ls $\dot{a} \lambda \dot{y} \theta \epsilon \iota a \nu$ $\epsilon \xi=l \sigma \in \iota$ крiбı : much more exact; though it also renders :חִּ:
    
    $\zeta \Gamma!=\epsilon \lambda \pi l \xi \omega$ and may $=\pi \epsilon \pi \sigma \circ \theta a$, as
     Version is more true to sense of the ori-
[^11]:    Cap. XIV. 26. Compare vii. 23 , xix. two very strong instances in point.

[^12]:    
    
     13, kotvoùs à $\theta$ pútous and kotkò Blov, as in Acts $x .28, \alpha v \theta \rho_{0} \kappa_{.}=$"gentilis, homo profanus."

[^13]:    24. "About the two brothers."
    25. "Lord it over them." "Keep them under and down by arbitrary
[^14]:    25. a่фर̂кєข] xviii. 12, xxiii. 38, xxiv.
    
    V.A. Deut. xxii. 5, Ps. ii. 2.
[^15]:    15. viठे $\gamma$.] "Criminal," "reprobate," "felon." v. 22, "one of the Gehenna brood," "one of those who represent it and incur its penalties." "儒 ถ. ה. Compare viii. 12, of v., тท̂s $\beta a \sigma i-$ $\lambda$ elas, L, x. 6, v. єipívךs. xx. 36, àva$\sigma \tau d \sigma \epsilon \omega s, 2$ Thess. ii. 3, àm $\pi \lambda$ elas.
[^16]:     of" (as 27), but "filled from or by," extortion or excess. But compare L. xi. 39. The notipeov and rapouis, though not used in such special sense by V.A., may perhaps mean here bowls and dishes, the cup and platter, in which the drinkoffering and meat-offering were presented before God: the externals of zoorship.

[^17]:    

    Numb, xxii. $3^{2}$ and Jer. ix. II.
    52. '̇v $\mu a \chi \alpha i \rho \eta]$ See iii. II.
    54. "How are the Scr. to be fulfil-
    64. $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ סuváuews] "Buxtorf shows (Lex. Talm. p. $3^{85}$ ) that the Jews applied the term הフ̣ㄹ․ to God." Schl.

[^18]:    32. See Gesenius and Grimm sub voce: $a^{\prime} \gamma \gamma a \rho \in \dot{v} \omega=$ "to press into the king's service;" primarily as a "cursor" or "tabellarius," secondarily for any public use, and so generally to "compel," a word of Persian origin. 2 Chr. xxx. J, Neh. ii. 7 ,
    
