

This document is made available through the declassification efforts
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)
document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are
responsible for the declassification of MILLIONS of pages
released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

no: 17 January 67

I have withdrawn this
Blue Book action and am re-
turning your signed Form #2416.
Please withdraw the funds and
re-assign to budget.

RECEIVED SEP 1983

404

TRIP REPORT

Date: 21 December 1966

Subject

Visit to _____ for the purpose of discussing possibilities of
implementing Project _____

Time and Place

19 December 1966

AttendanceSummary

The purpose of the meeting was to review the history and the development of Project _____. This was reviewed for Dr. _____ and the others present. A point at issue was the fact that the principal investigator failed to keep the department chief and hospital director informed concerning the research plans associated with the Project. This issue was resolved and it was further decided not to sponsor Project _____ at this time. Meanwhile, Dr. _____ offered to undertake consideration of arranging for the proposed research to be carried out at _____ under his general approval and sponsorship but with a different principal investigator.

Actions

A letter stating the Agency's interest in having the proposed research work accomplished is to be sent to Dr. _____ in all probability this will be sent on _____ stationery.

TRIP REPORT (continued)Discussion

By way of background concerning the development of Project _____ research proposal, developed jointly by Dr. _____ and Dr. _____ the proposal was first submitted to the _____ about a year and a half ago. This proposal was then reviewed and commented favorably upon by Dr. _____ consultant to _____ Subsequent to this, the _____ passed the proposal to _____ being unable to fund the project themselves. _____ then contacted Dr. _____ for discussions of the project objectives and a revision of the project proposal. The revised version was subsequently received in _____ through _____. About this time Dr. _____ went to _____ where he undertook a year's research work. On a return visit to the U.S. the proposed work was rediscussed with him and at that time it became evident that Dr. _____ had lost real interest in the project and he suggested that someone else undertake the work. Meanwhile, Dr. _____ was asked to inform Drs. _____ about the existence of the proposal and to discuss methods of its possible implementation. Drs. _____ were both quite concerned that the proposal had been drafted, revised and submitted to us through the _____ without their having been aware of its existence. I then made arrangements to visit Dr. _____ to review the history of this proposal and to clear up any possible misunderstandings.

Drs. _____ agreed that the failure to properly coordinate the proposal in _____ had not been due to any fault of this office or the _____ Drs. _____ were informed that as a result of our meeting with Dr. _____ in Washington on 5 November 1966, the Agency had decided not to support the proposed research action. All three gentlemen thoroughly agreed with this judgment. Subsequent to the above discussion Dr. _____ offered to take a serious look at reactivating the proposal if the Agency was interested in having it accomplished. Dr. _____ suggested that if there were such an interest we should send him a short letter so stating.

Project Summary

19 December 1966

6. to discuss possible actions with,

Status still pending.

on 19 December.