

Are you a good sheep?



Good sheep don't know!

**By David Preussen
14.02.2008**

What is the problem with the people? Why don't they seem to get what is going on? Why don't they resist? Why do they swallow the lies? Can't they feel the repressive nature of the way of life that is forced upon us? Can't they see that the current state of affairs and a free and democratic society are totally different things? What is wrong with them?

With questions like these awakened people find themselves confronted when experiencing, not without amazement, the sheep-like ignorance and nature of many of our fellow citizens. The explanation is simple, they simply know no better. Brainwashed since childhood, they naturally take the "reality" that is presented to them as something real, many virtually believe that freedom is slavery, or to be more precise, that their state of serfdom, their slavery, is in fact freedom. And why should people resist against what they believe to be "freedom" and "democracy"? For what should they fight anyway? A change to what? They don't know the "why"! Ignorant of any other way of life, they in fact have nothing worth fighting for, or at least so it appears to them. The best way to keep a slave from trying to rebel or escape is by making him believe that he isn't a slave at all but a free man! Man does not have anything even remotely resembling an objective perception, his judgment is based on the difference he acknowledges between different states, a car is greater than a dog, a philosopher more intelligent than a chimpanzee, Russia greater than Belgium, and so on. Without giant states like China or Russia, Belgium would not appear like a small nation, without intelligent people, ~70 IQ people would not appear like morons, and without even remotely free nations, China would not appear like a totalitarian regime.

Without means of comparison, man at best has a vague feeling inside, an ancestral memory, the only indicator that at some point in history life must have been better. Orwell described the problematic of this situation very well in his book "1984":

“‘Comrades!’ cried an eager youthful voice. ‘Attention, comrades! We have glorious news for you. We have won the battle for production! Returns now completed of the output of all classes of consumption goods show that the standard of living has risen by no less than 20 per cent over the past year. All over Oceania this morning there were irrepressible spontaneous demonstrations when workers marched out of factories and offices and paraded through the streets with banners voicing their gratitude to Big Brother for the new, happy life which his wise leadership has bestowed upon us. ...

The phrase ‘our new, happy life’ recurred several times. It had been a favourite of late with the Ministry of Plenty. Parsons, his attention caught by the trumpet call, sat listening with a sort of gaping solemnity, a sort of edified boredom. He could not follow the figures, but he was aware that they were in some way a cause for satisfaction. .. For the moment he had shut his ears to the remoter noises and was listening to the stuff that streamed out of the telescreen. It appeared that there had even been demonstrations to thank Big Brother for raising the chocolate ration to twenty grammes a week. And only yesterday, he reflected, it had been announced that the ration was to be reduced to twenty grammes a week. Was it possible that they could swallow that, after only twenty-four hours? Yes, they swallowed it. Parsons swallowed it easily, with the stupidity of an animal. The eyeless creature at the other table swallowed it fanatically, passionately, with a furious desire to track down, denounce, and vaporize anyone who should suggest that last week the ration had been thirty grammes. Syme, too—in some more complex way, involving doublethink, Syme swallowed it. Was he, then, alone in the possession of a memory?

The fabulous statistics continued to pour out of the telescreen. As compared with last year there was more food, more clothes, more houses, more furniture, more cooking—pots, more fuel, more ships, more helicopters, more books, more babies ore of everything except disease, crime, and insanity. Year by year and minute by minute, everybody and everything was whizzing rapidly upwards. As Syme had done earlier Winston had taken up his spoon and was dabbling in the pale-coloured gravy that dribbled across the table, drawing a long streak of it out into a pattern. He meditated resentfully on the physical texture of life. Had it always been like this? .. was it not a sign that this was not the natural order of things, if one’s heart sickened at the discomfort and dirt and scarcity, the interminable winters, the stickiness of one’s socks, the lifts that never worked, the cold water, the gritty soap, the cigarettes that came to pieces, the food with its strange evil tastes? Why should one feel it to be intolerable unless one had some kind of ancestral memory that things had once been different?” – George Orwell, “1984”

In the case of our western world, it’s not a lack of food, of comfort, it’s the feeling of being restricted, the lack of freedom, the lack of space, which tells one that at some point in our ancestral past things must have been better, better in the sense of less restricted, more free, more unrestrained. Do you know the feeling inside screaming for freedom?

In Europe the domestication of humans started with the Roman empire and became an integral part of Christianity. Christianity in this respect has done much to the people of Europe and I personally consider Christianity to be a for-runner of communism, being forced to believe nonsense did not start with Marxist anti-reasoning and political correctness but with Christianity! It was Christianity which already heavily weakened our resolve against domestication, serfdom, and nonsense. The whole christian doctrine is but a gospel of the inferiority of man, the evil of reason, and pure nonsense. It’s not surprising than that Christianity had to be forced upon the people by means of violence and fear, fear of the inquisition, fear of Draconian laws, fear of an eternity in hell. In the same way that communism was in total antagonism to existing society, Christianity was in total antagonism to the established believes of the European polytheists (pagans) . The polytheists believed themself to be more or less mortal versions of the gods; free, proud, and independent. Writings from that time show that fact very clearly, polytheist writings like those following two quotes of the Greek Stoic philosopher Epictetus (55-135a.d.);

“as to intelligence you are not inferior to the gods nor less; for the magnitude of

intelligence is not measured by length nor yet by height, but by thoughts.” — “*Zeus has set me free: do you think that he intended to allow his own son to be enslaved?*”

The unrestrained trinity of the polytheists; “free, proud, and independent”, was replaced with the repressive and restrained “un-free, humble, and dependent” trinity of the Christians. How much closer than that can it get to sheep mentality? The christian ideology, spread by the sword and fear, not by love of humanity like those hypocrites today claim, was infact the first documented case of enforced large scale human-domestication in Europe!

There exists a major problem with domestication and conditioning, not knowing that something is wrong does not necessarily offer the subject protection against the negative effects of the conditioning, the violation of his nature, which is a form of mental-abuse! Behaviorism, a theory of instructing (I will not use the term “learning” in this context) based upon the idea that all behaviors are acquired through conditioning, is wrong in it’s assumption that the mind at birth is “empty”, and that behavior, morals, life expectations, and such things are the sole result of environmental factors. An error which has already caused an unbelievable amount of misery and suffering in the world! Communism is just one of many ideologies and utopias based on the wrong assumption that the human nature is something without shape by itself, something without form, something which therefore maybe be freely made conform to fit into external structures and forms of organisation. To quote the Jewish psychologist and pioneer of behaviorism B. F. Skinner; “*Give me a child and I’ll shape him into anything.*” Is it not plain that we should not leave our children under the care of such people, and in extension, the public schools which instruct by the methods they developed?

Certain forms of phobia are a good example for possible pre-birth information which control our behavior, there are a lot of data, facts, observations, and the deductions which may be drawn from them, which suggest that certain, often unreasonable, fears, arachnophobia for example, may in some cases be rooted somewhere in the genes as kind of “ancestral memory”. Some people fear certain animals even in cases when they live and were born in an area where such animals either do not even exist or are totally harmless. Many people in northern Europe for example fear spiders and snakes even though those existing species here in the north, with one exception, are totally harmless. In Germany for example exists only one species of poisonous snakes which is very very rare and may only be found, with luck, in the south (Bavaria for example). In more northern areas there are virtually none! With spiders it’s the same, northern Europe is virtually void of poisonous animals posing a danger to humans. How than to explain this phenomenon other than by the existence of a kind of ancestral memory in the DNA? The evidence, and the assumption which may be drawn from it, point to the conclusion that some phobias are not rooted in actual experience but genetic memories, in the case of northern Europeans, memories from a time when our ancestors still lived in areas where snakes and spiders constituted a real danger. It’s very likely that certain phobias are not the only information influencing our behavior which have their roots in our genes, something I may come back to in a future inquiry, for now I hope this simple example suffices to make my point, namely my proposition that the human mind is not absolutely empty at birth but already to a certain degree pre-shaped by genetic memories from ancestral times, so that we may return to the subject matter before this goes to far off topic.

It is worth noticing that animals in their reaction to domestication and conditioning do not differ much from humans. Animals born and raised in captivity do not acknowledge their oppressive and restricted way of life as such, knowing no other way of life, similar to man, they also assume that this way of life, no matter how repressive and restricted, must be how life is supposed to be. How could it be otherwise? They are born into this kind of slavery, captivity seen as normal, unaware of the possibility of a better life, therefore, similar to humans living under such conditions, for them their oppression is no oppression but normal life, and therefore they do not resist.

Animals living under such unnatural conditions, even when totally unaware that something is wrong with their way of life, are much more likely to develop psychological problems than are animals living under natural conditions. This is a well known fact among people with experience in

animal behavior. With humans, born under repressive conditions, it's basically the same thing, knowing no other way of life but their state of captivity, they assume that this way of life must be normal, they don't resist, and in case they are already good domesticated, they do not even feel restricted, but just like animals grown up in captivity, humans living under such conditions are much more likely to develop psychological problems. So called "attention deficit disorders" in most cases, those cases not caused by all those poisons in our food and environment, or drugs, are most likely natural defence reactions to the aggressive conditioning many in today's world are confronted with on a daily basis. Media, schooling, nothing is ideological value free, people are virtually being programmed these days. Very often against common sense and their nature! Sometimes the mind resists against being forced into a shape which may not fit, such resistance reactions can influence behavior in many different ways, from violent reactions to depressions, from hyper activity to apathy, reactions can be quite various in appearance among different individuals and groups, our society usually punishes such people who unconsciously resist the conditioning full with drugs to keep them in line, keep them functional. And as our society becomes more and more restrictive, more and more people require "help" to remain "functional". What "Soma" do you use to remain sane and functional?

"A really efficient totalitarian state would be one in which the all-powerful executive of political bosses and their army of managers control a population of slaves who do not have to be coerced, because they love their servitude. To make them love it is the task assigned, in present-day totalitarian states, to ministries of propaganda, newspaper editors and schoolteachers." – **Aldous Huxley (1894-1963), Forward to 'Brave New World', 1932**

"if anything is destined to exhibit to posterity the infamous hypocrisy of our epoch, it is the fact that educated persons, spiritualistic bigots, have thought to serve religion and morality by altering the nature of our race" – **P.J. Proudhon, "The Philosophy of Misery", 1846**

This was only part I., part II. will go deeper into the history of domestication and describing the means used and still in use to change human group behavior, part III. will explore possible ways of mental de-programming, and other means to repair the damage inflicted on the people of Europe in the last 2000 years.