

THIS FILE IS MADE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE DECLASSIFICATION EFFORTS AND RESEARCH OF:

# THE BLACK VAULT

THE BLACK VAULT IS THE LARGEST ONLINE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT / GOVERNMENT RECORD CLEARING HOUSE IN THE WORLD. THE RESEARCH EFFORTS HERE ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DECLASSIFICATION OF THOUSANDS OF DOCUMENTS THROUGHOUT THE U.S. GOVERNMENT, AND ALL CAN BE DOWNLOADED BY VISITING:

[HTTP://WWW.BLACKVAULT.COM](http://www.blackvault.com)

YOU ARE ENCOURAGED TO FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO YOUR FRIENDS, BUT PLEASE KEEP THIS IDENTIFYING IMAGE AT THE TOP OF THE .PDF SO OTHERS CAN DOWNLOAD MORE!

May 1970

BEST COPY  
AVAILABLE

INT'L STUDENT CONFERENCE

VS

U.S. NAT'L STUDENT ASSN'

VS

CENTRAL INTELL AGENCY

CHRONOLOGY

APPROVED FOR RELEASE  
DATE 30 DEC 83

(76)

6 May Received copy of Complaint from  
Anderson.

8 May Called Anderson to give  
him Morrison's name. He  
indicated a serious attorney  
of the firm Michael Nassbaum  
had told her to try to find  
a "hole" in the releases,  
that they would probably want  
to try to sit down and talk  
to someone about this. To  
~~that time of the~~ any  
thought the Agency might pay  
her on the side, he should  
indicate that was not possible.  
Even if the Agency wanted to  
help, which it does not, it is  
prohibited from doing so.

20<sup>th</sup> May Anderson called Agency operator  
asking who would accept service on  
behalf of Agency or who to name as  
service got [redacted] DeLo  
called [redacted] told  
him to simply answer the call at 2430  
E Street, NW.

June SUNDAY Post article

U.S. Attorney's office. He said they can't see the Govt in that court (GS) and would presumably have to remove to ~~the~~ the District Court. Ross said he had no one available to check the case in GS so suggested we do it and keep him advised (1204-281). Discussed this w/ ~~the~~ P. Decided I would go to court house afternoon

1550 Went to court house found that a third-party complaint ~~had~~ has been filed - was on 16 June, (last Tuesday)

1430 Called Hamman; not in, will call back

24 June 10850 Called Hamman - not in yet  
 1015 Hamman called; asked if we had been served yet. NO. Ask who was named in the 3rd party complaint: CIA; he said fine, when we are served bring what thing down to him and his people will know to dismiss. "It is not a search slip; you can see the head of an organ in the U.S. Govt but not in"

25 June Rec'd copy of "Opposition to  
Methu for extension of time to  
oppose P's motion for Summary  
Judgment" from David Carlimer,  
Attorney for P.

9:45 Briefed ~~\_\_\_\_\_~~  
D ~~\_\_\_\_\_~~ on status of case; still  
no series. Decided to call  
U.S. Marshals office

~~\_\_\_\_\_~~  
Spoke to a "deputy" who was not  
familiar with case and would not look  
it up. Said if someone delivered  
to Marshall's office or other, they  
have got ~~\_\_\_\_\_~~ get it - and  
have up to 20 days to delivery  
if sent to call back office 4:00  
to talk to "Deputy Eberhart".  
1204 ext 222

4:30 Again called Marshall's office. G.A.  
Eberhart who said "paper is somewhere  
around" and he would search in  
the morning if he can find it. Told  
my name and making search  
should "like to bring it out to the  
place I work" in morning.

11:30 ~~\_\_\_\_\_~~ ~~\_\_\_\_\_~~ ~~\_\_\_\_\_~~

29 June Called Marshall's office and asked  
for Charles. -- in court; left message  
for him to call.

30 June Called David Carbone, not in,  
will call me tomorrow. (628-3112)

July Called Marshall's office; Eberhart  
not in; got his supervisor.  
Asked him for help explaining  
difficulties in matter; he said  
he would straighten it out and  
let me know.

2 July Called Carbone; not in, will call  
back

1405 Called [redacted] talked to  
[redacted] re case  
explaining details to him. Sent  
him copy of 3rd Party complaint  
and summons with his  
signature

10 July Went to court house - got info on  
incident. (EXTRACTS)

" Called [redacted] to file her in.  
(Sent her BECHTOLD, USA memo her request certified)  
(She had told [redacted])

13 July Went to court house again at

July Called Carbone again; will return  
my call.

14 Jul 0900 Talked to Carlman (my call) -  
~~explained we had~~  
received his motion but so far  
had not been served with a summons  
or complaint. He said he was  
surprised we had not been served as  
he had received a copy by mail of  
a 3rd party complaint brought from  
his lawyers. When to get information.

110 Called Mr. Hanson; told him  
about the 3rd party complaint and  
~~the 3rd party complaint~~  
him Marshall's statement on back.  
He said don't worry about it; no  
need to do anything until they  
serve us. Said they "the O.C. Marshall's"  
could not serve in Va. I replied,  
it was my impression they had  
all the time and had done so ear-  
lier as last week. "Oh!" he said.  
Said will "tell served for get in  
front. Also, said again because  
C.C. is not a suitable lawyer."

14 Jul - Research at home: Miss Sumner is  
a second summons issued after first  
one is returned - not served as first  
effort for some reason.

15 Jul

Jul Carlino called - said he had given Soerel my name; asked if he had called yet. I said he had not called me. Said judge - trying to work something out. Said I would try to find if we TSC's dissolved. D

16 Jul Bob [redacted] for info on TSC's dissolution.

19 Jul Got info on dissolution - [redacted] D.

27 Jul Went to court house, looked at file again; no subpoena served on [redacted] informant check in [redacted] files viewed [redacted] file of me sent. The [redacted] just haven't been served.

Talked w/ Carlino; he would like copy of the TSC memo letter.

18 July Anderson called; wanted to know why we had not accepted service; I told him generally, we had not. He indicated they were not going to do anything until we were [redacted] the point when the [redacted] for [redacted] judgment is [redacted] then [redacted]



same as "blame" w/ NSA good  
against ~~the~~ parties.

July

~~\_\_\_\_\_~~ said. Third party complaint  
against CIA dismissed without prejudice

Carlson called; said judge  
indicated he wants CIA ~~movement~~  
in this suit demand for lack  
of jurisdiction but would not  
do so on his own motion.  
Closed court until 2:00.

Carlson feels if we or US  
Attorney could appear specially  
for this purpose at 2:00, ~~it~~  
it would mean our way out; ~~otherwise~~  
otherwise I can very well deal  
with NSA continuing to try to sense  
us.

J. L. Woodbrake  
1156 15th St. N.W.  
Washington, D.C.

D.C. Ct of App

Judicial district 11-941 to 11-963  
~~11-976-985~~

Summons, return of service 13-302  
303; 331 to 341

U.S. marshal, process to be issued to  
civil actions in U.S. District Court.

11-941 In addition to other jurisdiction, has exclusive jurisdiction of civil actions, which demand value does not exceed \$10,000.

1) DC DC, 163 F. Supp 685, 1943. Jurisdiction of DC was  
jurisdiction of suits brought by U.S.

2) D.C. Jur. by 45 A 2d 475, 1955 - had jurisdiction on  
action by U.S. to recover \$765.03 from

3) 69 S. Ct 1108, 93 L. Ed 1006, 265 had jurisdiction for  
bringing action in DC. Jur. of DC

11-962 Transfer of civil actions from DC  
DC where less than \$10,000  
civil actions

13-302 U.S. Marshal  
D.C. CH 48 pr...

(EXHIBITS TO JUL 70) GS 1990-70

MAR 70 TSC filed Complaint - Atty. Gen.

MAR 70 Summary of complaint

MAR 70 Summary served on Charles Palmer, SAC ASD

APR 70 Michael Weissbaum - enters as Atty. for Def.

JUN 70 Letter to Gen. Coun. G.S., sending affidavit of Charles F. Palmer - stated by oversight was not filed for summary judgment to oppose P's motion for summary judgment.

JUN 70 Palmer's affidavit filed

JUN 70 Juan A. del Real enters as Atty. for P.

JUN 70 Dis. interrogatories to P.

JUL 70 3rd Party Complaint - CGB

JUL 70 Summons to CGB filed at Marshall

JUN 70 On back of Summons to CGB - Marshall's return not filed in. In fact the following Defendant CGB

S. Marshall per 106...  
James B. Kerant...

not to be found in my desk

JUN 70

D's answer - six defense points.

FIFTH DEFENSE

Some body else not party involved  
on P's report - for identification

JUN 70

Motion by P's Atty (Cabrera) to  
quash interrogatories

11

Certified - he would copy to OAC, CCA  
on 22 June 70

1

Opposition to motion for return of  
in which oppose P's motion for summary  
judgment

11

Opposition of D. Cabrera  
3 affidavits

JUN 70

Memorandum of Points and Authority  
in support of P's motion for a  
summary judgment

JUN 70

P's statement of material facts as to  
which there is no genuine issue

JUN 70

P's motion for summary judgment

JUN 70

D's motion for return of  
oppose P's motion for summary judgment  
(Cabrera)

22 JUN 73 - On folder - Mention Sub In  
Hearing Jul 15, 1973 - Notes Sub