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Evidence for Non-Randomness of "Pour-State Electronic Random Stimulus Generstor"

OTS/CB Memorandum 75-60

As requested in the last paragraph of the referenced memorandum, we have investigated the data provided to establish evidence for randomess. The basis for suggesting non-randomness is as follows:

Table 1 of the Reference provides data concerning frequencles of:
A. Initial States
B. State Transitions

Since the experiment consisted of requiring the subjects to indicate the next-to-be presented state, it would seem most important to establish that all possible transitions occur with equal probability. To test for possible non-equality of transitions, we extracted the observed frequencies of nonidentity transitions to form the following table;

|  | Yellow | Green | Rlue | Red |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Y | - | 764 |  | 765 |  |
| G | 777 | - | 793 | 863 |  |
| B | 776 | 796 | - | 773 |  |
| R | 787 | 852 | 803 |  |  |

ORD 2240-75

This table can be restructured as a two-by-six table as follows:

|  | $Y / G$ | $Y / B$ | $Y / R$ | $G / B$ | $G / R$ | $\frac{B / R}{}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Forward | 764 | 765 | 790 | 773 | 863 | 773 |
| Beckward 777 | 776 | 787 | 796 | 852 | 803 |  |

The table thus restructured brings together all possible mon-identity transitions viewed as state-pairs. For instance, Col. 1 shows that there were 764 transitions from the yellow state to the green state and there were 777 transitions from the green state to the yellow state. Under the hypothesis that all state transitions are equally probable and equally affected by chance the observed frequency with which forward transitions occur should be unrelated to that with which backward transitions occur in the same pair. This condition is not met. There is a very strong relationship between the observed forward and backward transition frequencies. The coefficient of correlation between frequencies for these two directions, computed across all six posible non-identity transitions is .93, ( $p<.01$ ) (see attached graph). This finding shows that there were, in fact, systematic pair-wise biases associated with the electronic processes by which the transitions were selected.

The finding that the forward and backward transitions are closely associated with respect to joint probability of occurrence suggests that they can be considered as having been drawn from the same population. To test this, we computed the forward and backward mean and the Standard Deviation (SD) of the observed frequencies. They are:

|  | Mesn | SD |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | Forward | 788 |
| Heckward | 798 | $\mathbf{3 8 . 9}$ |
|  |  | 28 |

The standard error of the difference between these two moans is 15.59 while the difference between them is only ten; clearly
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these data may be nerged. Merging them provides the following teble:

|  | $Y / \mathrm{G}$ | $Y / B$ | $\underline{Y} / \mathrm{R}$ | $G / B$ | G/R | B/R | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frequency | 1541 | 1541 | 1577 | 1569 | 1715 | 1576 | 9519 |
| Expected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frequency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lypothesis | 1586.5 | 1586.5 | 1586.5 | 1586.5 | 1586.5 | 1586.5 | 9519 |
| Chi Square | 1.305 | 1.305 | . 057 | .193 | 10.408 | . 069 |  |

## Total Chi Square $=13.337 \mathrm{df}=5 \mathrm{p}=.02$

In the above table it can be seen that the large excess of observed transitions involving the red-green pair is significant at the . 02 level. Inspection of the observed frequencies reveals that there are almost ten percent more transitions iavolving the red-green pair than the average of the other five possible nonidentity transitions.

These results suggost that adopting (for whatever conscious or unconscious reason) a strategy of "When green, press red, when red, press green and, otherwise use the 'pass" button as much as possible" will increase one's hit score. Using an Instrunent with the above-described characteristics and strategies such as this is certain to produce "statistically signiflcant* rosults, given enough trials and the assumption of random transition probabilities. Other biases also exist which could form the bases of other onhancing strategies but the above discussion would seen adequate to establish the existence of non-randomness which we have suggested.

The report available to us contains data only upon one test of one instrument. It must, thereforo, be assumed that the other instruments demonstrated non-random characteristics of a similat nature. Further, the report does not reveal which subject used
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which instrument so we are unable to ascertain whether or not subject number 2 's results could be due to the effects discussed above, but the magnitude of the effect is adequate to explain the results if one assumes the adoption of a selection strategy which "capitalizos" upon the non-random characteristics which are demonstrably present.
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