Meteorological and human mobility data on predicting COVID-19 cases by a novel hybrid decomposition method with anomaly detection analysis: a case study in the capitals of Brazil

Tiago Tiburcio da Silva*, Rodrigo Francisquini, Mariá C. V. Nascimento

Instituto de Ciência e Tecnologia, Universidade Federal de São Paulo (UNIFESP) Av. Cesare M. G. Lattes, 1201, Eugênio de Mello, São José dos Campos-SP, CEP: 12247-014, Brasil

Abstract

In 2020, Brazil was the leading country in COVID-19 cases in Latin America, and capital cities were the most severely affected by the outbreak. Climates vary in Brazil due to the territorial extension of the country, its relief, geography, and other factors. Since the most common COVID-19 symptoms are related to the respiratory system, many researchers have studied the correlation between the number of COVID-19 cases with meteorological variables like temperature, humidity, rainfall, etc. Also, due to its high transmission rate, some researchers have analyzed the impact of human mobility on the dynamics of COVID-19 transmission. There is a dearth of literature that considers these two variables when predicting the spread of COVID-19 cases. In this paper, we analyzed the correlation between the number of COVID-19 cases and human mobility, and meteorological data in Brazilian capitals. We found that the correlation between such variables depends on the regions where the cities are located. We employed the variables with a significant correlation with COVID-19 cases to predict the number of COVID-19 infections in all Brazilian capitals and proposed a prediction method combining the Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition (EEMD) method with the Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average Exogenous inputs (ARIMAX) method, which we called EEMD-ARIMAX. After analyzing the results poor predictions were further investigated using a signal processing-based anomaly detection method. Computational tests showed that EEMD-ARIMAX achieved a forecast 26.73% better than ARIMAX. Moreover, an improve-

^{*}Corresponding author

Email addresses: ttsilva@gmail.com (Tiago Tiburcio da Silva), rodrigo@francisquini.com (Rodrigo Francisquini), mcv.nascimento@unifesp.br (Mariá C. V. Nascimento)

ment of 30.69% in the average root mean squared error (RMSE) was noticed when applying the EEMD-ARIMAX method to the data normalized after the anomaly detection.

Keywords: COVID-19, EEMD, ARIMAX, anomaly, meteorological data, human mobility data

1. Introduction

According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, a pandemic "refers to an increase, often sudden, in the number of cases of a disease above what is normally expected" "over several countries or continents, usually affecting a large number of people" (Dicker et al., 2006). Several pandemic outbreaks have befallen humanity over the centuries. One of the first recorded pandemics occurred between 165 A.D. and 180 A.D. in the reign of Marcus Aurelius, when Antonine Plague wiped out a third of the population in some areas of the Roman empire and decimated the Roman army (Ligon, 2006).

Almost 500 years later, during the mid-sixth century, the Justinian plague hit the Byzantine empire. During this epidemic, 40% of Constantinople's population was wiped out. One of the greatest pandemics in human history, the Black Death, or Bubonic plague, occurred between 1347 and 1352, and killed between 75 and 200 million people. Several other pandemics have occurred, such as New World Smallpox (1520-unknown), The Third plague (1855), and The 1918 Flu (1918-1920).

In 2002, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS), caused by SARS Coronavirus (SARS-CoV), emerged in the province of Guangdong, southern China, infecting thousands of people and causing the death of approximately one thousand humans (Zhong et al., 2003). Cheng et al. (2007) stated that "the presence of a large reservoir of SARS-CoV viruses in horseshoe bats, together with the culture of eating exotic mammals in southern China" was "a time bomb". The authors warned about the possibility of a resurgence of SARS-Cov and other new viruses in animals or laboratories and that everyone should be prepared for a new pandemic. Eight years later, a new coronavirus variant was discovered in the Middle East, the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV), which is still a reality. Four years after MERS-CoV, another coronavirus emerged in Wuhan, China (December 2019). Because of its similarity to SARS-CoV, this new coronavirus was called SARS-CoV-2, and the disease, COVID-19 (Coronavirus Disease 2019) (Huang et al., 2020).

COVID-19 is a highly contagious virus that spread rapidly around the world, causing worldwide travel restrictions as well as mandatory lockdown in many cities. On April 29, 2021, the World Health Organization (WHO) reported that the virus was in 223 Countries, with 148,999,876 confirmed cases, and 3,140,115 deaths (WHO, 2021a). In Brazil, until April 29, 2021, there had been confirmed 14,441,563 COVID-19 cases and 395,022 deaths caused by the virus (WHO, 2021b). For this reason, scientists around the world from the most diverse areas have focused their studies on understanding COVID-19 transmission dynamics (Fang et al., 2020), prevention (Ali and Ghonimy, 2020; Li et al., 2020 in press; Voysey et al., 2021), detection (Ismael and Şengür, 2021; Vidal et al., 2021), control measures (Meo et al., 2020), and prediction analysis (Hernandez-Matamoros et al., 2020; Katris, 2021; Petropoulos et al., 2020).

Historically, viral respiratory tract infections, such as the ones caused by the coronaviruses from past epidemics, H1N1 influenza and syncytial virus, were related to meteorological factors which possibly influenced the transmission and stability of the virus (Baker et al., 2019; Barreca and Shimshack, 2012; Chan et al., 2011; Lowen and Steel, 2014; Paynter, 2015). Several authors studied the correlation between climatic variables and the number of COVID-19 cases in the world: absolute humidity and temperature in the USA (Gupta et al., 2020); UV index, wind speed, absolute humidity, among others, in 206 countries/regions (Islam et al., 2020); average air humidity and temperature in Brazil (Neto and Melo, 2020); temperature, absolute humidity, dew point, among others, in Singapore (Pani et al., 2020); and wind speed and temperature in Turkey (Şahin, 2020), for example. However, only a few authors addressed the prediction of COVID-19 cases using models that consider climatic variables, as can be observed in da Silva et al. (2020); Makade et al. (2020).

Some studies also investigate the impact of human mobility on COVID-19 transmission. In these cases, mobility can be measured by passenger traffic in airports (Oztig and Askin, 2020), for example, or by changes in commuting patterns (Badr et al., 2020; Shao et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2020). All these studies show that there is a strong correlation between human mobility and the number of people infected by COVID-19.

To our knowledge, no study has yet investigated the impact of both human mobility and meteorological variables on COVID-19 transmission rates. Both these factors should both be considered in such studies as there is clear evidence that climate affects human mobility (Brum-Bastos et al., 2018). This statement likely holds since, on warmer days, people lean toward performing outdoor activities and attending open-air events; and on colder days, the opposite holds is true.

The daily number of COVID-19 cases can be modeled and studied through the time series theory. In a general way, a time series can be thought of as a combination of other time series, each explaining the original data at different frequencies (Büyüksahin and Ertekin, 2018). In this way, the frequency range of each subdivision is formed and creates more linear structures within them, making the prediction of this original time series more accurate. Several techniques can be used to obtain the decomposition, such as Principal Component Analysis (PCA) (Jolliffe, 2002), Variational Mode Decomposition (VMD) (Dragomiretskiy and Zosso, 2014), Fourier Transform (FT) (Graps, 1995), Empirical Mode Decomposition (EMD) (Huang et al., 1998), Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition (EEMD) (Huang and Wu, 2008), and Singular Spectrum Analysis (SSA) (Golyandina et al., 2001). Since PCA is limited to linear time series; FT is limited to linear, periodic, or stationary time series (Huang et al., 1998); SSA is an application of PCA in the time domain (Hsieh and Aiming Wu, 2002); the VMD application has to solve a variational optimization problem which requires predetermining an appropriate number of variational modes; and since EMD presents a mode-mixing problem; EEMD has been considered one of the most useful tools to decompose time series, either because of its simplicity or because it is not limited to linear nor stationary time series.

According to Dong et al. (2019), EMD-based methods, like EEMD, substantially enhance prediction accuracy and have been successfully used in several types of datasets, such as IoT systems (Yu et al., 2019), bitcoin (Khaldi et al., 2018), geology (Liu et al., 2019b), economy (Wu et al., 2019), finance (Lin et al., 2021), medicine (Liu et al., 2019a; Zha et al., 2018), machine fault diagnosis (Amirat et al., 2018), and water resource management(Niu et al., 2019). It has also been applied for meteorological data, such as temperature (Liu et al., 2019b), precipitation (Alizadeh et al., 2019), and wind speed (Santhosh et al., 2019). In this paper, we propose an adaptation of the EEMD method to decompose several time series, and to use these new decomposed time series in the forecast of another time series also decomposed by the same adaptation. The time series that will be predicted corresponds to the number of daily cases of COVID-19, and the other series, used as independent variables, correspond to the meteorological and human mobility time series. Only the time series that showed a reasonable correlation with the daily cases of COVID-19, in each city, are considered in the prediction. The prediction method employed in this paper is the Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average Exogenous inputs (ARIMAX) method (Box and Jenkins, 1990), a well-established method previously mentioned in the literature.

In this paper, we also aim at understanding how, together, meteorological conditions and human mobility affect the transmission of COVID-19. For such, we analyze both meteorological variables (rainfall, maximum temperature, minimum temperature, and humidity) and human mobility variables (movement trends over time by geography, across different categories of places: retail and recreation areas, grocery stores and pharmacies, parks, transit stations, workplaces, and residential areas). The main contributions of this paper can be summarized as follows:

- It provides a thorough analysis on the correlation of meteorological and human mobility variables in Brazilian capitals;
- It uses meteorological variables and human mobility in the prediction of daily cases of COVID-19 in Brazilian capitals;
- It adapts EEMD to decompose time series with independent variables;
- It proposes a novel method that combines the introduced EEMD-based method with ARI-MAX to predict time series with independent variables, called EEMD-ARIMAX;
- It develops an oriented-case anomaly detection algorithm to better investigate the significant errors in prediction and thus adjust the prediction;
- It improves the ARIMAX forecast by 26.73% using the new EEMD-ARIMAX method;
- It refines the method by using the introduced anomaly detection strategy, thus improving the prediction by 30.69%.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents a general literature review on the prediction of COVID-19 cases using human mobility and meteorological data. Moreover, it also shows a brief discussion on prediction methods, giving special attention to decomposition-based methods introduced to predict COVID-19 cases. Section 3 shows the main features of the data used in the case study and introduces the proposed EEMD-ARIMAX method. Section 4 presents the results obtained by the proposed strategy EEMD-ARIMAX after a thorough correlation data analysis is carried out. Section 5 shows the performed data anomaly detection and the results of the EEMD-ARIMAX and ARIMAX in normalized data. Section 6 wraps up the paper drawing some

conclusions and giving directions for future works. A list of symbols referring to all the notations used throughout the paper is presented in Appendix A.

2. Related Work

This section presents a brief literature review on predicting COVID-19 cases considering either meteorological or mobility variables. It also presents a short overview of methods for COVID-19 prediction, in particular, methods more closely related to the performed study.

2.1. Human mobility in the prediction of COVID-19 cases

According to Nayak et al. (2021), one of the primary impacts on predicting the COVID-19 cases consists of the variations in engagement, i.e. how committed people are to taking measures to reduce the number of COVID-19 cases. These measures include washing hands, wearing face masks, and maintaining social distancing. Concerning social distancing, one way to estimate the level of commitment is by analyzing the rates of human mobility. In line with this, Oztig and Askin (2020) considered the flow of people at airports as a human mobility measure, and observed that the greater the number of airports in a country, the more likely it is for the country to have a higher number of COVID-19 cases. This conclusion was drawn by the use of negative binomial regression analysis.

Badr et al. (2020) studied the correlation between social distancing and COVID-19 cases, where social distancing was quantified by mobility patterns. To model the mobility data, the authors considered changes in commuting patterns between and within counties in the USA. The data to model the mobility patterns were obtained by Teralytics (Zürich, Switzerland). Wang et al. (2020) coupled the data of confirmed COVID-19 cases with the Google mobility data in Australia. The authors concluded that the social restriction policies imposed in the country at the emergence of the first COVID-19 case were effective in curbing the spread of the virus. Moreover, they observed that the correlation between human mobility and the spread of COVID-19 varies according to the type of mobility.

Shao et al. (2021) used human mobility data from 47 countries in 6 continents collected from Mobility Trends Reports (from Apple Inc.), and showed that human mobility is strongly related to the COVID-19 transmission rate. Zhu et al. (2020) demonstrated a positive link between human mobility and the number of people infected by COVID-19, considering data from 120 cities

in China. These studies show a clear influence of human mobility on the spread of COVID-19. However, cities present different human mobility patterns depending on factors such as how technological the cities are, the conditions of public and private transportation systems, among others. Therefore, the relationship/correlation between human mobility and dissemination of COVID-19 must be evaluated considering the cities' particularities. Bearing this in mind, in this study we focus on the relationship between human mobility and the spread of COVID-19 cases in each of the 27 Brazilian capitals.

2.2. Meteorological variables in the prediction of COVID-19 cases

Şahin (2020) and Sharma and Gupta (2021) state that meteorological features should be used to improve the accuracy of COVID-19 predictions. Such variables are crucial factors affecting infectious diseases, whether in terms of changes in the transmission dynamics, regarding host susceptibility, or the survival of the virus in the environment (McClymont and Hu, 2021). In line with this, Gupta et al. (2020) studied the relationship among new COVID-19 cases, absolute humidity, and temperatures in the USA. The authors observed that the spread of COVID-19 was majorly influenced by the absolute humidity in a narrow range of 4 to 6 g/m³.

Islam et al. (2020) investigated the link between some environmental factors and COVID-19 cases in 206 countries/regions (until April 20, 2020). The relationship between the spread of COVID-19 and humidity, and UV index were inconclusive. Their investigation suggested a negative relationship between wind speed and COVID-19 cases. Moreover, a higher rate of COVID-19 cases was observed in environments with an absolute humidity between 5 and 10 g/m³. In Singapore, Pani et al. (2020) revealed that temperature, absolute humidity, and dew point have a positive correlation with the number of daily COVID-19 cases. Wind speed, atmospheric boundary layer height, and ventilation coefficient, on the other hand, showed a negative correlation with the number of COVID-19 cases. In Turkey, Şahin (2020) showed that wind speed has a positive correlation with COVID-19 cases, and that temperature and COVID-19 cases are negatively correlated.

In Brazil, Neto and Melo (2020) concluded that only the average air humidity was significantly correlated with the number of COVID-19 cases (considering data from Brazilian capitals, and data available from April 2020 to May 2020). The study revealed a positive correlation, in contrast with the results obtained by others studies performed in cities in China, Spain, and the United States. The authors also demonstrated that population density presented a strong positive correlation with

the number of COVID-19 cases in the Brazilian capitals. They emphasize that population density, which is linked with higher human mobility, and poorer social-economic environments that have deficient sanitary conditions contribute to the spread of the virus.

Although some studies have addressed the studies involving the relationship between meteorological variables and COVID-19, some results appear to be inconsistent. On the one hand, temperature and humidity, for example, were reported as having a significant impact in the majority of the studies. On the other hand, the correlation was positive in some cases and negative in others. These observations suggest that the link between meteorological features and the number of COVID-19 cases is complex and hard to generalize. There is evidence that meteorological variables contribute to the increase in the transmission of COVID-19, but the effect of these relationships should be studied locally, since other factors such as human mobility and public health measures (lockdown, for example) also have a strong influence on the number of COVID-19 cases.

2.3. COVID-19 and forecasting

From a methodological point of view, several studies attempt to understand the spread of COVID-19 using artificial intelligence. Albahri et al. (2020) provided an exhaustive overview of integrated artificial intelligence based on data mining and machine learning algorithms. The authors pointed to a need for integrated sensor technologies for outdoor scenarios to control the spread of the coronavirus. This process is only possible when there is an interconnection with IoT technologies. Nayak et al. (2021) present an overview of the applicability of intelligent systems such as machine learning and deep learning to solve COVID-19 outbreak-related issues. Sharma and Gupta (2021) reported and summarized the research performed on COVID-19 with machine learning and big data.

The literature presents few studies that address the problem of predicting new COVID-19 cases through decomposition methods. To our knowledge, only da Silva et al. (2020) and Mousavi et al. (2020) used decomposition methods in their predictions considering independent variables. Both proposed strategies were based on the variational mode decomposition (VMD). da Silva et al. (2020) used VMD and some prediction techniques including deep learning and machine learning, to predict COVID-19 cumulative confirmed cases in five Brazilian states and five American states with high daily incidences. The authors used temperature and precipitation as exogenous variables. They pointed that the VMD coupled with cubist regression achieved the best results among the

tested techniques. Mousavi et al. (2020) proposed a model based on the combination of VMD with Long Short Term Memory considering the daily temperature, humidity, and transmission rates in the prediction of new COVID-19 daily cases in Maharashtra, Tamil Nadu, and Gujarat, India. Among these works, only Mousavi et al. (2020) addressed the prediction of daily COVID-19 cases, since such prediction is more difficult because of the accumulated cases. In this study, we address the prediction of new daily cases of COVID-19.

3. Case study: predictive analysis of Brazilian data

In 2020, Brazil had an estimated population of 212,622,578 inhabitants (IBGE, 2020). Brazil was the country with the greatest number of COVID-19 cases in 2020 in Latin America, ranking third in the world. Capitals are the most affected cities, and some experience health system collapse, such as Manaus-AM (Ferrante et al., 2020). Since 23.86% of the Brazilian population lives in capital cities, the spatial units of analysis in this study were the 27 capitals in Brazil (IBGE, 2020).

Brazil is a country with continental dimensions and the 5th largest country in the world in territorial extension occupying an area of 8,510,295.91 km². The Brazilian climate has great variations, with 3 climate zones and 12 climate types (Alvares et al., 2013). We want to analyze the correlation among COVID-19 cases with meteorological and human mobility parameters, and if there are differences in these correlations within the same country.

3.1. Data

COVID-19 data were obtained from Brasil.io (Justen and et al., 2020), which compiles newsletters from the State Health Secretariats of Brazil. Meteorological data were obtained from the *Centro de Previsão de Tempo e Estudos Climáticos* located at the *Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais* (CPTEC, 2020). The meteorological data considered in this study are:

- Minimum Temperature (Min Temp): refers to the daily minimum temperature in degrees Celsius;
- Maximum Temperature (Max Temp): refers to the daily maximum temperature in degrees Celsius;
- Humidity (Hum): refers to the daily air humidity in percentage;

• Rainfall (Rain): refers to the daily total precipitation in millimeters.

Human mobility data were obtained from the COVID-19 Community Mobility Reports (GOOGLE, 2020) prepared by Google. These reports point to geographical movement trends over time, across different categories of places. The place categories are:

- Retail and recreation (RR): refers to mobility trends to places like restaurants, shopping centers, theme parks, etc;
- Grocery and pharmacy (GP): refers to mobility trends to places like grocery markets, farmers markets, pharmacies, etc;
- Parks (PA): refers to mobility trends to places like local parks, public beaches, public gardens, etc;
- Transit stations (TS): refers to mobility trends to places like subway, bus, train stations, etc;
- Workplaces (WO): refers to mobility to places of work;
- Residential (RE): refers to mobility to places of residence.

The Residential category shows a change in the permanence of people in their homes, while the other categories measure changes in the total number of visitors. Changes in mobility patterns each day were compared with a baseline corresponding to the same day of the week. This baseline corresponds to the median of the corresponding day of the week, during the five weeks from January 3 to February 6, 2020.

The number of observations in human mobility data and meteorological data varies according to the number of data in the variable relative to daily COVID-19 cases in each city. In each city, all reported data start at the day they confirmed the first COVID-19 case in the city (column "first case" in Table B.8 of the Appendix B) and end at the final compiled day: November 6, 2020. A more descriptive analysis of the data is presented in Appendix B.

3.2. Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition

Time series decomposition techniques have the goal of extracting simple periodic signals from the original time series, which can be used as inputs to machine learning approaches or other statistical models. Our study focuses on the use of the Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition (EEMD) technique (Huang and Wu, 2008), an adaptive data analysis method based on local characteristics of the data. EEMD catches nonlinear, non-stationary oscillations effectively. EEMD has been successfully used in several types of datasets (Lin et al., 2021; Niu et al., 2019), mainly in meteorological data, such as temperature (Liu et al., 2019b), precipitation (Alizadeh et al., 2019), and wind speed (Santhosh et al., 2019).

EEMD is an improvement of the empirical mode decomposition (EMD) method (Huang et al., 1998; Huang and Wu, 2008). It aims at decomposing the original data into a series of modes, called finite intrinsic mode functions (IMFs) and a residual, identifying the oscillatory modes that coexist. EEMD overcomes the so-called mode-mixing problem found in EMD. The mode-mixing occurs when different oscillation components coexist in a single IMF and very similar oscillations reside in different IMFs (Huang and Wu, 2008).

EEMD uses an ensemble of IMFs obtained by applying EMD to several different series of the original time series obtained by adding white Gaussian noise. Adding a white Gaussian noise reduces the mode-mixing problem by occupying the whole time-frequency space (Huang and Wu, 2008). In summary, EEMD has the following steps.

- 1. Let W_t , *m* and *s* be the input data corresponding to, respectively, the original time series that will be decomposed, the number of ensembles, and the number of IMFs to be extracted from W_t .
- 2. Make k = 1, a control variable that indicates the ensemble to be generated in the iteration.
- 3. Generate a new time series Z_t , obtained from W_t for the ensemble k, adding to it a white noise with a standard deviation σ_{noise} proportional to the standard deviation of W_t , called $\sigma_{original}$. Therefore, $\sigma_{noise} = \mu \sigma_{original}$, where μ is a relatively small number which must be empirically determined. Make j = 1, a control variable related to the index of the IMF of the k-th ensemble to be defined in the following steps, referred to as IMF^k_j.
- 4. Identify all the local extreme values of Z_t a combination of high and low values of the series. After that, interpolate all this values by a cubic spline interpolation as the upper (high values) and lower envelopes (low values), respectively e_{max}^k and e_{min}^k .
- 5. Calculate the point-to-point arithmetic mean between the envelopes $-m_t^k = (e_{min}^k + e_{max}^k)/2$ - and subtract this "average time series" from time series Z_t , obtaining the time series $d_t^k - d_t^k = Z_t - m_t^k$.

- 6. If $j \le s$, then $\text{IMF}_{j}^{k} = d_{t}^{k}$, j = j + 1, $Z_{t} = Z_{t} d_{t}^{k}$, and repeat steps 4 and 5. If j > s, assign Z_{t} to the residual time series, called $\text{Res}_{W_{t}}$.
- 7. Make k = k + 1 and repeat Steps 3 to 6 until k > m, i.e. until the method obtains the *m* ensembles.

The values of μ and *m* were empirically chosen after several computational tests. These tests indicated that an ensemble number m = 125 and the μ value equals 0.01 presented better outcomes. Furthermore, because of the proposed EEMD-ARIMAX method in Section 3.4, the number of IMFs into which the time series is decomposed was fixed in advance and, after tests, we found that a decomposition into 5 IMFs plus a residual was the most appropriate, i.e., s = 5.

Figure 1 shows the IMFs extracted from the data of São Paulo COVID-19 cases, by applying the EEMD algorithm. The IMFs were plotted from the first to the last component extracted from the series, where the last plot corresponds to the residual. The x-axis indicates the days, whereas the y-axis represents the values of the decomposed time series.

3.3. Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average Exogenous inputs (ARIMAX)

The Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) model proposed by Box and Jenkins (1990) is the most general class of models for forecasting time series due to its simplicity of application and capability of handling non-stationary data. The AR part of ARIMA indicates that the variable of interest is regressed on its own lagged values. The MA part indicates that the regression error is a linear combination of error values that occurred in the past. Finally, the I (for "integrated") part represents the order of differencing to turn the time series into a stationary series (if necessary). Differencing means replacing the original series by the difference between their values and the previous values (Box and Jenkins, 1990). The ARIMA model that includes other time series as input variables (exogenous variables) is referred to as Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average Exogenous inputs (ARIMAX) model.

The parameters of ARIMAX(p, d, q, n) model are: p, the number of autoregressive terms; d, the number of nonseasonal differences needed for stationary; q, the number of lagged forecast errors in the prediction equation; n, the number of exogenous variables; η , a constant; and, ϕ_i , for $i = 1, ..., p, \theta_j$, for j = 1, ..., q, and ζ_l , for l = 1, ..., n, the model parameters. Mathematically, this model can be formulated as in Equation (1).



Figure 1: Decomposed IMFs and residual obtained by EEMD considering the number of COVID-19 cases in São Paulo.

$$W_{t} = \eta + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \phi_{i} W_{t-i} - \sum_{j=1}^{q} \theta_{j} e_{t-j} + \sum_{l=1}^{n} \zeta_{l} Y_{l}, \qquad (1)$$

where W_t and W_{t-i} , for i = 1, ..., p, are the predicted values of the time series; Y_l , for l = 1, ..., n, are the exogenous variables; and e_{t-j} , for j = 1, ..., q, represent the error terms.

3.4. EEMD-ARIMAX

To our knowledge, EEMD has not yet been used to predict time series with independent variables. The main idea behind EEMD-ARIMAX is to predict time series of independent and dependent variables. For this, we first decompose each time series of the independent variables (Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n) and dependent variables by applying the EEMD method, creating *s* levels of decomposition for each variable. Then, in each level of the decomposition, we use the ARIMAX method to predict the IMFs related to the dependent variables, by considering the IMFs of the variables Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n as the exogenous variables. We employ the same procedure to predict the time series of the residual values. Finally, by summing the predicted time series, we obtain the prediction for the original time series of the daily number of COVID-19 cases. The algorithm of the proposed EEMD-ARIMAX method can be described by steps 1-5:

- 1. Let X_t be dependent variable under study, $Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n$ the independent/predictor variables, *m* the number of ensembles, and *s* the number of IMFs that will be extracted of each time series;
- 2. Apply EEMD to decompose the time series of the dependent and independent variables individually, to obtain a set of *s* IMFs and a time series Res, in each decomposition;
- 3. Fit IMFs of the same "levels" using ARIMAX meaning that the *j*-th IMF of the time series represented by the "Daily number of COVID-19 cases", denoted here by $\text{IMF}_{X_l}^j$, will be fitted by the *j*-th IMFs of the same level *j* of the time series related to meteorological/mobility variables Y_l , denoted by $\text{IMF}_{Y_l}^j$, for all l = 1, ..., n. The estimated *j*-th IMF is denoted by $\text{IMF}_{Y_l}^j$;
- 4. Denote the residual values obtained by applying EEMD in Y_1, \ldots, Y_n by $\text{Res}_{Y_1}, \ldots, \text{Res}_{Y_n}$, respectively. Denote the residual value found by applying EEMD in X_t by Res_{X_t} . Let Respectively be the estimated time series of Res_{X_t} through ARIMAX using $\text{Res}_{Y_1}, \ldots, \text{Res}_{Y_n}$ as exogenous variables;

5. Denote the fitted values of variable X_t by \hat{X}_t . Thereby, $\hat{X}_t = I\hat{M}F^1 + \ldots + I\hat{M}F^s + \hat{Res}$.

A flowchart of the proposed EEMD-ARIMAX method is presented in Figure 2.

4. Results and discussion

We apply a lag of 5 days in the number of new confirmed COVID-19 daily cases, since symptoms start five days after someone is infected, and the patients seek medical advice (He et al., 2020). All studies were performed considering the database with this lag. We used R statistical software (R Core Team, 2020) in all tests carried out for this paper.

4.1. Correlation analysis

We evaluate the pairwise correlation between the number of COVID-19 cases and meteorological/mobility variables using Spearman correlation. For more details about this measure, see Appendix C.

Tables 1 and 2 show the correlation values – columns " ρ " – between the number of COVID-19 cases and the meteorological and human mobility variables, respectively, for all Brazilian capitals, in the period considered. In addition, these tables present the *p*-value regarding the statistical significance of the corresponding variables at a significance level of $\alpha = 0.01$. Therefore, if the *p*-value of the indicated correlation is less than or equal to 0.01, the correlation is said to be statistically significant.

We consider that two variables are correlated if $\rho \ge 0.3$ or $\rho \le -0.3$. As stated before, if ρ is positive, the variables are directly proportional, otherwise, they are inversely proportional. Therefore, on the one hand, we say that there is a positive correlation between a pair of variables when $\rho \ge 0.3$, meaning that there is evidence that the variables grow together. On the other, when the correlation is negative, i.e., $\rho \le -0.3$, it means that the analyzed pair of variables has an opposite behavior: the greater the values of one variable, the smaller the values of the other variable. For better visualization, we highlighted the positive correlations in dark gray, and negative correlations in light gray.

According to the results, the number of COVID-19 cases and meteorological variables were correlated in 16 cities. In 11 of them, the correlated meteorological variable was the minimum temperature. The number of COVID-19 cases and meteorological variables were not correlated in



Figure 2: Flowchart of the proposed EEMD-ARIMAX method.

Design	City Federative unit	Rain	(mm)	Max Te	emp (°C)	Min Te	mp (°C)	Hun	n (%)
Region	City-redefative unit	ρ	p-value	ρ	p-value	ρ	p-value	ρ	p-value
	Belém-PA	0.005	0.945	0.137	0.037	-0.013	0.842	-0.137	0.037
	Boa Vista-RR	0.154	0.019	-0.201	0.002	-0.290	0.000	-0.071	0.285
	Macapá-AP	-0.015	0.817	0.133	0.043	0.052	0.433	-0.021	0.749
North	Manaus-AM	0.009	0.885	-0.051	0.429	0.028	0.672	-0.013	0.843
	Palmas-TO	-0.527	0.000	0.315	0.000	-0.489	0.000	-0.614	0.000
	Porto Velho-RO	-0.293	0.000	0.135	0.040	-0.349	0.000	-0.261	0.000
North Northeast Midwest	Rio Branco-AC	-0.170	0.009	-0.191	0.003	-0.241	0.000	0.067	0.307
	Aracaju-SE	0.064	0.324	-0.527	0.000	-0.433	0.000	-0.003	0.959
	Fortaleza-CE	0.219	0.001	-0.325	0.000	0.013	0.837	0.329	0.000
	João Pessoa-PB	0.206	0.002	-0.517	0.000	-0.305	0.000	0.118	0.071
	Maceió-AL	0.355	0.000	-0.570	0.000	-0.372	0.000	0.153	0.016
Northeast	Natal-RN	-0.012	0.857	-0.321	0.000	-0.293	0.000	-0.171	0.008
	Recife-PE	0.267	0.000	-0.367	0.000	-0.139	0.031	0.316	0.000
	Salvador-BA	0.004	0.953	-0.482	0.000	-0.511	0.000	-0.058	0.368
	São Luis-MA	0.339	0.000	-0.402	0.000	-0.017	0.801	0.289	0.000
	Teresina-PI	-0.520	0.000	0.393	0.000	-0.361	0.000	-0.585	0.000
	Brasilia-DF	-0.609	0.000	-0.111	0.083	-0.677	0.000	-0.597	0.000
	Campo Grande-MS	-0.086	0.188	0.098	0.130	-0.079	0.224	-0.209	0.001
Midwest	Cuiabá-MT	-0.127	0.053	-0.482	0.000	-0.590	0.000	0.263	0.000
	Goiânia-GO	-0.427	0.000	0.232	0.000	-0.166	0.010	-0.551	0.000
	Belo Horizonte-MG	-0.053	0.421	-0.169	0.009	-0.292	0.000	-0.066	0.311
Gaudhaaad	Rio de Janeiro-RJ	-0.077	0.227	-0.194	0.002	-0.336	0.000	-0.078	0.225
Southeast	São Paulo-SP	-0.082	0.192	-0.207	0.001	-0.369	0.000	-0.203	0.001
	Vitória-ES	0.012	0.861	-0.100	0.127	-0.225	0.001	-0.072	0.274
	Curitiba-PR	0.003	0.959	-0.155	0.016	-0.254	0.000	-0.099	0.127
Courth	Florianópolis-SC	0.127	0.050	-0.247	0.000	-0.192	0.003	0.167	0.009
South	Porto Alegre-RS	0.214	0.001	-0.227	0.000	-0.118	0.068	0.154	0.016

Table 1: Spearman correlation between the number of COVID-19 cases and the meteorological data.

Danian	City Federative unit	R	R	0	ЗР	F	'A	1	S	W	/0	F	Е
Region	City-redefative unit	ρ	p-value										
	Belém-PA	0.041	0.534	0.102	0.121	0.082	0.209	0.020	0.766	0.127	0.052	0.010	0.882
	Boa Vista-RR	0.308	0.000	0.420	0.000	0.247	0.000	0.332	0.000	0.427	0.000	-0.238	0.000
	Macapá-AP	-0.039	0.557	0.004	0.956	-0.041	0.537	-0.060	0.359	0.057	0.385	0.021	0.747
North	Manaus-AM	0.109	0.094	0.146	0.024	0.069	0.291	0.132	0.042	0.080	0.218	0.054	0.403
	Palmas-TO	0.380	0.000	0.410	0.000	0.461	0.000	0.365	0.000	0.332	0.000	-0.312	0.000
	Porto Velho-RO	0.103	0.119	0.175	0.008	0.052	0.433	0.045	0.499	0.166	0.012	-0.004	0.957
	Rio Branco-AC	-0.122	0.062	-0.051	0.437	-0.106	0.106	-0.097	0.138	-0.045	0.488	0.227	0.000
	Aracaju-SE	-0.021	0.741	0.075	0.248	-0.145	0.025	-0.068	0.299	0.056	0.389	0.093	0.151
	Fortaleza-CE	-0.348	0.000	-0.256	0.000	-0.406	0.000	-0.322	0.000	-0.207	0.001	0.350	0.000
	João Pessoa-PB	0.023	0.723	0.162	0.013	-0.092	0.160	-0.058	0.378	0.169	0.010	-0.029	0.661
	Maceió-AL	-0.267	0.000	-0.185	0.004	-0.290	0.000	-0.243	0.000	-0.233	0.000	0.345	0.000
Northeast	Natal-RN	-0.041	0.527	0.076	0.238	-0.076	0.244	0.005	0.943	0.030	0.647	0.090	0.163
	Recife-PE	-0.286	0.000	-0.175	0.007	-0.313	0.000	-0.279	0.000	-0.177	0.006	0.336	0.000
	Salvador-BA	-0.015	0.820	0.126	0.052	0.003	0.969	0.008	0.901	0.087	0.178	0.024	0.716
	São Luis-MA	-0.297	0.000	-0.192	0.003	-0.290	0.000	-0.339	0.000	-0.222	0.001	0.316	0.000
	Teresina-PI	0.333	0.000	0.279	0.000	0.530	0.000	0.406	0.000	0.484	0.000	-0.398	0.000
	Brasilia-DF	0.258	0.000	0.341	0.000	0.294	0.000	0.228	0.000	0.251	0.000	-0.153	0.016
Notice	Campo Grande-MS	0.437	0.000	0.562	0.000	0.343	0.000	0.382	0.000	0.392	0.000	-0.161	0.013
Midwest	Cuiabá-MT	-0.534	0.000	-0.369	0.000	-0.597	0.000	-0.544	0.000	-0.352	0.000	0.519	0.000
	Goiânia-GO	0.491	0.000	0.608	0.000	0.431	0.000	0.500	0.000	0.482	0.000	-0.472	0.000
	Belo Horizonte-MG	0.099	0.128	0.195	0.003	0.128	0.050	0.162	0.012	0.311	0.000	-0.235	0.000
Contheost	Rio de Janeiro-RJ	-0.106	0.099	-0.011	0.862	-0.145	0.023	-0.087	0.176	-0.009	0.888	0.098	0.126
Southeast	São Paulo-SP	-0.197	0.002	-0.006	0.929	-0.118	0.059	-0.163	0.009	-0.059	0.344	0.137	0.029
	Vitória-ES	0.280	0.000	0.339	0.000	0.027	0.683	0.253	0.000	0.369	0.000	-0.245	0.000
	Curitiba-PR	0.155	0.016	0.137	0.034	0.149	0.021	0.127	0.049	0.237	0.000	-0.185	0.004
Couth	Florianópolis-SC	0.548	0.000	0.532	0.000	0.367	0.000	0.608	0.000	0.500	0.000	-0.563	0.000
South	Porto Alegre-RS	0.379	0.000	0.453	0.000	0.146	0.023	0.421	0.000	0.410	0.000	-0.372	0.000

Table 2: Spearman correlation between the number of COVID-19 cases and the human mobility data.

any of the cities in the South region. The maximum temperature and the number of COVID-19 cases were correlated in all cities in the Midwest region.

The correlations between the number of COVID-19 cases and minimum temperature were negative, indicating that the number of cases increases when the minimum temperature decreases. The same behavior was observed between the number of COVID-19 cases and maximum temperature, except in Teresina-PI and Palmas-TO. In these two cities, the relationship between the number of COVID-19 cases and maximum temperature was inversely proportional.

Humidity and the number of daily COVID-19 cases are correlated in the following cities: Palmas-TO, Fortaleza-CE, Recife-PE, Teresina-PI, Brasília-DF, and Goiânia-GO. Particularly in Palmas-TO and Teresina-PI, the humidity and number of COVID-19 cases showed a strong correlation. The average humidity of Palmas-TO was the lowest among the capitals of the North region. The average humidity of Teresina-PI was the second lowest average of the capitals of the Northeast region. Since humidity is directly linked to temperature, these facts could explain the inversely proportional correlations between the number of COVID-19 cases and the maximum temperature in both cities.

Among the 6 capitals that showed a correlation between the number of COVID-19 cases and humidity, Fortaleza-CE and Recife-PE presented correlations of 0.329 and 0.316 respectively. The other four capitals showed negative correlations. One can observe that the rainfall variable and the number of COVID-19 cases are not correlated in Fortaleza-CE and Recife-PE. In Palmas-TO, Teresina-PI, Brasília-DF, and Goiânia-GO, on the other hand, it is possible to see that they were negatively correlated.

It is known that meteorological data regarding temperature, humidity, and rainfall are related and, therefore, influence one another. In this study, however, we will only consider the meteorological variables of each capital that had a correlation with the number of COVID-19 cases greater than 0.3, in absolute value. These values are summarized in Table 3.

As mentioned before, Table 2 shows the correlation between the mobility variables and the number of COVID-19 cases. The mobility variables and the corresponding cities with which the number of COVID-19 cases have a positive correlation are:

- Retail and recreation: Boa Vista-RR, Palmas-TO, Teresina-PI, Campo Grande-MS, Goiânia-GO, Florianópolis-SC, Porto Alegre-RS;
- Grocery and pharmacy: Boa Vista-RR, Palmas-TO, Teresina-PI, Brasília-DF, Campo Grande-MS, Goiânia-GO, Vitória-ES, Florianópolis-SC, Porto Alegre-RS;
- Parks: Palmas-TO, Teresina-PI, Campo Grande-MS, Goiânia-GO, Florianópolis-SC;
- Transit stations: Boa Vista-RR, Palmas-TO, Teresina-PI, Campo Grande-MS, Goiânia-GO, Florianópolis-SC, Porto Alegre-RS;
- Workplaces: Boa Vista-RR, Palmas-TO, Teresina-PI, Campo Grande-MS, Goiânia-GO, Belo Horizonte-MG, Vitória-ES, Florianópolis-SC, Porto Alegre-RS;
- Residential: Fortaleza-CE, Maceió-AL, Recife-PE, São Luis-MA, Cuiabá-MT.

On the one hand, the positive correlation between the mobility parameters and the number of COVID-19 cases, except for the Residential variable, shows that the increase in the number of COVID-19 cases is directly proportional to the rise in the populations' mobility trends in traffic,

Table 3: Variables per Brazilian capital which showed some level of correlation with the number of COVID-19 cases and were considered in the proposed models.

Region	City-Federative unit	Meteorological variables	Mobility variables
	Belém-PA	-	-
	Boa Vista-RR	-	RR, GP, TS, WO
	Macapá-AP	-	-
North	Manaus-AM	-	-
	Palmas-TO	Rain, Max Temp, Min Temp, Hum	RR, GP, PA, TS, WO, RE
	Porto Velho-RO	Min Temp	-
	Rio Branco-AC	-	-
	Aracaju-SE	Max Temp, Min Temp	-
	Fortaleza-CE	Max Temp, Hum	RR, PA, TS, RE
	João Pessoa-PB	Max Temp, Min Temp	-
Northeast	Maceió-AL	Rain, Max Temp, Min Temp	RE
	Natal-RN	Max Temp	-
	Recife-PE	Max Temp, Hum	PA, RE
	Salvador-BA	Max Temp, Min Temp	-
	São Luis-MA	Rain, Max Temp	TS, RE
	Teresina-PI	Rain, Max Temp, Min Temp, Hum	RR, PA, TS, WO, RE
	Brasilia-DF	Rain, Min Temp, Hum	GP
Midana	Campo Grande-MS	-	RR, GP, PA, TS, WO
Midwest	Cuiabá-MT	Max Temp, Min Temp	RR, GP, PA, TS, WO, RE
	Goiânia-GO	Rain, Hum	RR, GP, PA, TS, WO, RE
	Belo Horizonte-MG	-	WO
Southoost	Rio de Janeiro-RJ	Min Temp	-
Soumeast	São Paulo-SP	Min Temp	-
	Vitória-ES	-	GP, WO
	Curitiba-PR	-	-
Gausti	Florianópolis-SC	-	RR, GP, PA, TS, WO, RE
South	Porto Alegre-RS	-	RR, GP, TS, WO, RE

pharmacies, work, parks, and retail. This means that the higher the mobility rate, the greater the number of cases. On the other hand, some cities showed a negative correlation between mobility variables and the number of COVID-19 cases. They are:

- Retail and recreation: Fortaleza-CE, Cuiabá-MT;
- Grocery and pharmacy: Cuiabá-MT;
- Parks: Fortaleza-CE, Recife-PE, Cuiabá-MT;
- Transit stations: Fortaleza-CE, São Luis-MA, Cuiabá-MT;
- Workplaces: Cuiabá-MT;
- Residential: Palmas-TO, Teresina-PI, Goiânia-GO, Florianópolis-SC, Porto Alegre-RS.

Therefore, for example, the negative correlation between Residential and daily COVID-19 cases means that the fewer people stayed at home, the greater the number of COVID-19 cases.

The negative correlations between COVID-19 cases and meteorological parameters in Cuiabá-MT are due to a sequence of null values at the end of the series describing the number of COVID-19 cases. If these values were excluded, the correlation coefficient between these variables would be positive.

4.2. Analysis of the number of predicted cases

The EEMD-ARIMAX method was implemented in the R software using the "*Rlibeemd*" and "*forecast*". We generated 125 new time series for each variable considering that the standard deviation of Gaussian noise was 1% of the standard deviation of the corresponding original time series.

Table 4 shows the results of the EEMD-ARIMAX method for all Brazilian capitals. We compared EEMD-ARIMAX with the ARIMAX method. The objective was to analyze the effect of EEMD on the prediction. In both methods and for each city, we present the widely employed mean error (ME), root-mean-square deviation (RMSE), and mean absolute error (MAE) measures to describe the results of the predictions. For details about these measures, see Section Appendix C. Column "City-Federative unit" shows the pair city-federative unit and the parameters used by ARI-MAX to forecast the number of COVID-19 cases in this capital. These parameters were calibrated for each city using *auto.arima()* function in R. The independent variables that were considered to predict the number of cases of COVID-19 in each corresponding city are shown in Table 3 and follow the Spearman correlation coefficients shown in Tables 1 and 2.

In all cities, the proposed decomposition method improved the predictions of the time series in terms of RMSE values. The average RMSE of the predictions considering only the ARIMAX method was 211.987 with a standard deviation of 186.335. Using the EEMD-ARIMAX method, the average RMSE was 155.330 with a standard deviation of 145.645. EEMD-ARIMAX showed an improvement of 26.73% over ARIMAX. Appendix D presents some graphics comparing the original time series with the predicted values by EEMD-ARIMAX in all Brazilian regions.

Region	City Enderstive unit		ARIMAX		EEMD-ARIMAX			
Region	City-redefative unit	ME	RMSE	MAE	ME	RMSE	MAE	
	Belém-PA (1,0,1)	3.213	139.121	100.688	-5.891	89.189	61.905	
	Boa Vista-RR (0,1,1)	5.092	235.997	123.145	-2.248	159.335	97.518	
	Macapá-AP (3,0,2)	0.229	185.697	79.845	-7.852	148.587	69.708	
North	Manaus-AM (2,1,3)	9.106	213.732	152.327	-12.994	142.140	102.373	
	Palmas-TO (2,0,2)	-0.223	62.519	37.374	-0.168	53.240	34.604	
	Porto Velho-RO (2,1,3)	6.074	186.198	104.206	0.266	162.095	98.116	
	Rio Branco-AC (0,1,2)	1.088	46.482	28.736	-1.487	30.006	18.009	
	Aracaju-SE (0,1,1)	1.413	163.284	91.061	-0.183	107.398	58.659	
	Fortaleza-CE (1,0,1)	7.275	255.173	139.918	0.023	150.085	98.026	
	João Pessoa-PB (3,0,2)	6.512	104.106	73.007	-0.629	61.758	42.498	
	Maceió-AL (2,1,2)	0.646	89.629	54.596	0.010	61.337	41.793	
Northeast	Natal-RN (1,0,3)	4.485	209.895	104.098	-12.078	183.497	99.912	
	Recife-PE (3,0,2)	3.028	144.336	82.521	-6.969	114.020	69.529	
	Salvador-BA (0,1,3)	3.456	329.488	197.839	-2.474	214.520	134.791	
	São Luis-MA (2,0,3)	2.091	54.378	32.419	-2.704	32.342	19.566	
	Teresina-PI (2,0,3)	0.703	78.607	59.522	-4.655	56.288	43.008	
	Brasilia-DF (0,1,4)	5.992	272.017	173.067	3.756	176.154	111.602	
Midwoot	Campo Grande-MS (0,1,4)	4.305	130.909	65.215	-7.399	87.561	50.282	
Midwest	Cuiabá-MT (1,0,4)	1.487	58.115	28.884	1.521	35.695	19.566	
	Goiânia-GO (4,1,1)	7.194	262.247	165.356	-11.852	209.587	150.245	
	Belo Horizonte-MG (2,1,3)	6.596	273.975	183.085	-1.762	186.489	123.697	
Contheast	Rio de Janeiro-RJ (2,1,3)	12.532	444.872	294.399	-15.907	318.717	227.619	
Southeast	São Paulo-SP (0,1,5)	18.479	988.765	660.780	4.203	775.817	494.870	
	Vitória-ES (1,0,2)	3.598	62.274	42.943	1.440	41.234	27.729	
	Curitiba-PR (5,1,0)	0.843	127.775	76.223	-9.406	103.601	61.407	
South	Florianópolis-SC (0,1,3)	26.907	230.129	80.612	15.483	210.716	86.626	
South	Porto Alegre-RS (0,1,1)	25.704	373.925	140.315	-23.187	282.510	140.701	

Table 4: Results achieved by ARIMAX and EEMD-ARIMAX methods.

5. Anomaly analysis

The data used in the case study have several registration errors that may affect the accuracy of the prediction model. We used an anomaly detection strategy to identify whether there is a relationship between data errors and significant errors in the values predicted by EEMD-ARIMAX. The employed anomaly detection method uses the Fourier transform in graphs as a tool to analyze the daily variation in the number of COVID-19 cases in each region. Thus, it identifies days with potentially anomalous numbers of COVID-19 cases.

Section 5.1 presents a discussion about the strategy adopted to define and quantify the model errors. Section 5.2 shows the concept of anomaly adopted and a tool to highlight anomalies. Section 5.3 addresses the methodology employed to compare the errors of the model with the detected anomalies. Section 5.4 presents the strategy adopted to correct the anomalies and run the model again.

In summary, the anomaly analysis shows that there is a direct relationship between the days when the EEMD-ARIMAX significantly missed the prediction and the days when the anomaly detection strategy pointed to an abnormality. This indicates that the data errors affected the models' effectiveness. After normalizing and correcting the data, EEMD-ARIMAX's accuracy showed a significant increase.

5.1. Analyzing Model Errors

We analyzed the days for which the model significantly missed the predicted number of cases for each city. The error made by the model was quantified by the difference between the observed and predicted number of cases, as shown in Equation (2).

$$e_i^t = \left| 1 - \frac{c_i^t}{\hat{c}_i^t} \right| \tag{2}$$

where c_i^t and \hat{c}_i^t are, respectively, the observed and predicted number of COVID-19 cases on day t in city i. An error is considered significant when $e_i^t > TD(E_i)$, where E_i is the vector formed by the elements $e_i^t \forall t$, and TD is defined by Equation (3), where $Mean(E_i)$ and $STD(E_i)$ are the arithmetic mean and standard deviation of E_i , respectively.

$$TD(E_i) = Mean(E_i) + (1.5 \times STD(E_i))$$
(3)

Figure 3 illustrates the values of e_i^t considering the city of Goiânia - GO. The threshold value $TD(E_i)$ is highlighted in red. Therefore, every day *t* whose e_i^t is above the red line corresponds to a significantly mispredicted day by the model.



Figure 3: Model errors of Goiânia - GO and the significance threshold.

5.2. Analyzing Data Anomalies

A spectral anomaly detection strategy was adopted to detect days when the recorded number of daily COVID-19 cases was potentially anomalous. While the model errors are identified by comparing the predicted values with the observed values, the anomaly detection strategy analyzes the daily variation in the number of cases considering the distance between cities to identify potentially anomalous variations.

For example, if a city has a slight variation over two days in the number of COVID-19 cases, we expect nearby cities to have a similar variation. Similarly, if the number of cases in a city suffers a significant increase from one day to the other, we expect nearby cities also to have a relative increase in the number of cases.

To perform this analysis, we model a complete and weighted network where a node $v_i \in V$ represents a city, and the weight of the edge w_{ij} is the Euclidean distance between cities *i* and *j*. Each node v_i carries the daily variation in the number of cases in city *i*, with the daily variation s_i^t defined by Equation (4), $\forall t > 1$.

$$s_i^t = \left| 1 - \frac{c_i^t}{c_i^{(t-1)}} \right|$$
 (4)

A signal S^t contains the values s_i^t for every city *i* in the dataset. We calculate the spectra \hat{S}^t of S^t signal, $\forall t > 1$, using the Fourier transform for graphs (Sandryhaila and Moura, 2013). In graph Fourier analysis, the graph Laplacian eigenvectors associated with small eigenvalues λ_t vary slowly across the graph, whereas eigenvectors associated with larger eigenvalues oscillate more rapidly (Ortega et al., 2018). It means that if two vertices are connected by an edge with a large weight, the values of the eigenvector at those locations are likely to be similar. This concept is then used to define low and high frequencies for signals indexed by graphs.

According to this definition, abrupt oscillations are concentrated at the high frequencies of the signal spectrum. To highlight abrupt variations and expose anomalies, we accentuate the magnitude of the high frequencies of \hat{S}^t spectrum to make anomalous variations more evident, generating a new spectrum \hat{R}^t . We apply the inverse Fourier transform to \hat{R}^t to get a new R^t signal, that contains the accentuated variation in the number of cases in each city. The intuition behind this operation is that, if the variation in the number of cases in a city *i* is normal, then $r_i^t < s_i^t$ probably holds, where r_i^t is the *i*-th element in vector R^t . On the other hand, if the city has an anomalous variation, $r_i^t > s_i^t$ probably holds. Figure 4 shows a graphic visualization of the normal variation and the accentuated variation.

The threshold used to determine whether a variation is anomalous or not is calculated in the same way for errors, as defined in Equation (3), for R^t . Figure 5 illustrates the values of R_i , which is a vector with the r_i^t of a given city *i*, and the threshold $TD(R_i)$. It is worth noting the similarity between Figures 3 and 5, which points out that there is a direct relation between the cases in which EEMD-ARIMAX significantly missed the prediction and the days when the attenuator pointed out potentially anomalous variations.

5.3. Comparing Errors and Anomalies

As presented in Section 5.2, Figures 3 and 5 indicate that there is a direct relationship between the days when EEMD-ARIMAX made a significant error and the days whose variation in the number of cases was interpreted as potentially anomalous. We compared the model's errors with anomalous variations to establish a quantifier that indicates whether there is, in fact, a direct relationship between them.



Figure 4: Observed variation versus accentuated variation in all 27 capitals of Brazil on August 17, 2020.



Figure 5: Accentuated daily variations in the number of COVID-19 cases in Goiânia - GO and the anomaly threshold.

For each city, two sets were defined: set *CE*, containing the days on which the model made a significant error; and set *CA*, with the days whose variation was detected as potentially anomalous. To quantify the relationship between *CE* and *CA*, we adopted the following criterion: if a day $t \in CE$, 1 < t < nc and $\{t - 1, t, t + 1\} \cap CA = \emptyset$, then the error made by the model on day *t* and the anomalous variation that occurred on the days adjacent to *t* are directly related.

Figure 6 shows the percentage of days the model made significant mispredictions and which are directly related to a day with a potentially anomalous variation. On average, more than 60% of the days when the model was wrong were detected as anomalous, as indicated by the red line, which represents the average.



Figure 6: Percentage of days which EEMD-ARIMAX significantly mispredicted and corresponded to an anomaly.

This result indicates that EEMD-ARIMAX was affected by errors in the data and the results point that the model's errors are directly related to anomalous variations. To overcome this problem and correct the anomalies, we adopted a spectral strategy for removing anomalies, also based on the Fourier transform. Section 5.4 presents the methodology employed to correct the data anomalies.

5.4. Normalizing Data

As discussed before, the abrupt variations are concentrated in the high frequencies. To detect the anomalies, the presented anomaly detection strategy accentuated the magnitude of the high frequencies. Then, to correct the anomalies, we adopted a strategy that does the opposite, using a low-pass filter that attenuates high frequencies. Unlike the high-frequency accentuator, the low pass filter decreases the magnitude of the high frequencies, attenuating abrupt variations.

While the accentuator was applied to the signal that carried the daily variation in the number of cases, the low-pass filter was applied to the signal formed by the number of cases on each day, that is, the *C* signal, where c_i^t is the number of cases in city *i* at day *t*, to generate a filtered signal \hat{C} . Figure 7 compares an original signal and a filtered signal. It is possible to note that, in general, the signal oscillation is mitigated, ensuring a more reliable signal.



Figure 7: Observed Daily Cases versus Normalized Daily Cases

By applying both the ARIMAX and the EEMD-ARIMAX methods to the normalized data, we obtained the results shown in Table 5, which are presented as in Table 4. The average RMSE for the forecasting considering only the ARIMAX method was 142.981 with a standard deviation of 122.703. The average RMSE for the EEMD-ARIMAX method was 107.664 with a standard deviation of 99.917. Therefore, EEMD-ARIMAX was 24.70% better than ARIMAX.

There was an improvement of 30.69% in the prediction by EEMD-ARIMAX when normalized data were used. Figure 8 shows all the RMSEs obtained by the EEMD-ARIMAX method using non-normalized (black) and normalized (red) data.

Region	City Federative unit		ARIMAX		EEMD-ARIMAX			
Region	City-rederative unit	ME	RMSE	MAE	ME	RMSE	MAE	
	Belém-PA (4,1,1)	3.496	88.611	64.383	0.823	55.300	40.659	
	Boa Vista-RR (1,0,1)	7.276	228.034	115.729	-15.266	165.307	100.733	
	Macapá-AP (2,1,3)	2.783	129.141	56.598	-0.702	79.429	39.340	
North	Manaus-AM (4,1,1)	0.796	18.994	12.861	0.005	12.406	8.771	
	Palmas-TO (3,1,2)	1.301	49.561	35.493	1.416	35.471	26.719	
	Porto Velho-RO (2,1,3)	-0.193	151.779	87.690	-0.024	131.221	19.299	
	Rio Branco-AC (2,0,2)	0.287	44.530	29.891	-1.546	28.245	17.304	
	Aracaju-SE (0,1,1)	2.672	103.176	65.999	-2.111	75.438	48.003	
	Fortaleza-CE (1,0,1)	7.836	179.518	103.165	0.758	122.469	76.730	
	João Pessoa-PB (0,1,4)	2.929	83.694	57.921	0.827	45.635	32.355	
	Maceió-AL (0,1,5)	1.645	65.674	43.626	-1.429	42.589	29.490	
Northeast	Natal-RN (0,1,3)	2.701	155.989	83.457	-12.897	130.216	72.329	
	Recife-PE (0,1,5)	2.483	105.509	63.195	-4.679	80.054	50.157	
	Salvador-BA (4,1,1)	3.053	195.621	121.726	-7.470	155.119	102.551	
	São Luis-MA (0,1,4)	1.747	42.258	31.661	1.173	25.417	18.514	
	Teresina-PI (3,1,2)	2.676	59.647	42.921	2.135	47.579	35.588	
	Brasilia-DF (3,1,2)	4.041	135.460	87.941	-1.909	91.309	57.297	
Midwaat	Campo Grande-MS (3,1,2)	3.944	90.317	55.276	-0.974	62.449	40.751	
Midwest	Cuiabá-MT (2,1,3)	1.410	54.245	37.052	-0.109	35.409	24.553	
	Goiânia-GO (3,1,2)	3.249	136.126	88.814	-11.139	100.058	73.351	
	Belo Horizonte-MG (3,1,2)	4.806	156.051	109.193	1.246	114.420	81.809	
Conthoost	Rio de Janeiro-RJ (0,1,5)	8.852	292.669	192.758	-22.889	230.824	159.742	
Southeast	São Paulo-SP (0,1,5)	12.976	628.305	420.853	-57.823	497.835	314.477	
	Vitória-ES (3,1,2)	1.569	56.394	41.890	3.439	44.391	32.097	
	Curitiba-PR (2,1,3)	2.342	99.194	61.001	-7.094	80.935	51.362	
South	Florianópolis-SC (0,1,3)	18.719	184.337	76.301	-8.676	144.855	68.046	
South	Porto Alegre-RS (0,1,1)	25.068	325.657	129.257	-2.233	272.542	150.659	

Table 5: Results achieved by ARIMAX and EEMD-ARIMAX using normalized data.



Figure 8: RMSE of original data versus RMSE of normalized data.

6. Final Remarks and Future Works

As stated by Fildes et al. (2008), contributions to forecasting are normally achieved by developing new methods that establish a connection between their effectiveness and the context they are applied to. The contributions offered by this paper meet this purpose, since it is case-oriented and we examine the system as a whole, identifying patterns in the time series as well as anomalies to draw conclusions about the correlation between meteorological and mobility variables. The novel method is an EEMD-ARIMAX hybrid, which uses an intelligent strategy to detect anomalies in data after the method has provided a forecast.

The analysis of the original data indicated that the correlation between the number of COVID-19 cases and the meteorological/human mobility variables depends on the region the Brazilian city under study is located. The prediction methods ARIMAX and EEMD-ARIMAX achieved an average square error of 211.99 and 155.33, respectively. These results indicate that the decomposition method improved the prediction of COVID-19 cases.

Because some data anomalies were observed, e.g., as very high peaks and negative numbers of

cases, we proceeded with an anomaly study to normalize the data. When the ARIMAX and EEMD-ARIMAX methods were applied to the normalized data, an average quadratic error equal to 142.98 and 99.92 was found, respectively, confirming the positive effect of the data decomposition in the prediction of COVID-19 cases. Therefore, anomaly detection played a key role in effectively fitting the COVID-19 curve as it repaired the data deficiencies found in the vast majority of real-world applications.

Future studies may involve the use of other prediction methods, including deep learning strategies. We also suggest the use of optimization algorithms, such as nature-inspired metaheuristics (Kar, 2016; Abualigah, 2021) and the sine and cosine algorithm (Mirjalili, 2016), to either identify approximations of the local maxima and minima or to optimize the decision on which points to interpolate in the EEMD-ARIMAX. Optimization algorithms can also be used to find the best values of p, d, and q in the ARIMAX model (p, d, q, n) in each extracted IMF, or to determine a linear regression model as described by Makade et al. (2020), which used particle swarm optimization for this task. Another future work direction would be to determine anomalies in the extracted IMFs instead of in the original time series.

Acknowledgments

This study was financed in part by the *Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil* (CAPES) - Finance Code 001 – *Bolsista* CAPES/BRASIL (Grant: 88887.507037/2020-00); *Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo* (FAPESP) (Proc. 17/24185-0; 13/07375-0) and *Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico* (CNPq) (306036/2018-5).

The authors are also grateful to *Centro de Previsão de Tempo e Estudos Climáticos* for providing the meteorological dataset and the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions, which improved the quality of this paper.

References

Abualigah, L., 2021. Group search optimizer: a nature-inspired meta-heuristic optimization algorithm with its results, variants, and applications. Neural Computing & Applications 33, 2949– -2972. doi:10.1007/s00521-020-05107-y.

- Şahin, M., 2020. Impact of weather on COVID-19 pandemic in Turkey. Science of The Total Environment 728, 138810. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138810.
- Albahri, A.S., Hamid, R.A., Alwan, J.k., Al-qays, Z., Zaidan, A.A., Zaidan, B.B., Albahri, A.O.S., AlAmoodi, A.H., Khlaf, J.M., Almahdi, E.M., Thabet, E., Hadi, S.M., Mohammed, K.I., Alsalem, M.A., Al-Obaidi, J.R., Madhloom, H., 2020. Role of biological data mining and machine learning techniques in detecting and diagnosing the novel coronavirus (covid-19): A systematic review. Journal of Medical Systems 44. doi:10.1007/s10916-020-01582-x.
- Ali, R., Ghonimy, M., 2020. Radiological findings spectrum of asymptomatic coronavirus (COVID-19) patients. Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine 51. doi:10.1186/ s43055-020-00266-3.
- Alizadeh, F., Roushangar, K., Adamowski, J., 2019. Investigating monthly precipitation variability using a multiscale approach based on ensemble empirical mode decomposition. Paddy and Water Environment 17, 741–759. doi:10.1007/s10333-019-00754-x.
- Alvares, C.A., Stape, J.L., Sentelhas, P.C., de Moraes Gonçalves, J.L., Sparovek, G., 2013. Köppen's climate classification map for Brazil. Meteorologische Zeitschrift 22, 711–728. doi:10.1127/0941-2948/2013/0507.
- Amirat, Y., Benbouzid, M., Wang, T., Bacha, K., Feld, G., 2018. EEMD-based notch filter for induction machine bearing faults detection. Applied Acoustics 133, 202–209. doi:10.1016/j. apacoust.2017.12.030.
- Badr, H., Du, H., Marshall, M., Dong, E., Squire, M., Gardner, L., 2020. Association between mobility patterns and COVID-19 transmission in the USA: a mathematical modelling study. The Lancet Infectious Diseases 20, 1247–1254. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30553-3.
- Baker, R.E., Mahmud, A.S., Wagner, C.E., Yang, W., Pitzer, V.E., Viboud, C., Vecchi, G.A., Metcalf, C.J.E., Grenfell, B.T., 2019. Epidemic dynamics of respiratory syncytial virus in current and future climates. Nature Communications 10. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-13562-y.
- Barreca, A.I., Shimshack, J.P., 2012. Absolute Humidity, Temperature, and Influenza Mortality: 30 Years of County-Level Evidence from the United States. American Journal of Epidemiology 176, S114–S122. doi:10.1093/aje/kws259.

- Box, G.E.P., Jenkins, G., 1990. Time Series Analysis, Forecasting and Control. Holden-Day, Inc., USA.
- Brum-Bastos, V.S., Long, J.A., Demšar, U., 2018. Weather effects on human mobility: a study using multi-channel sequence analysis. Computers, Environment and Urban Systems 71, 131 152. doi:10.1016/j.compenvurbsys.2018.05.004.
- Büyüksahin, U.C., Ertekin, S., 2018. Time series forecasting using empirical mode decomposition and hybrid method, in: 2018 26th Signal Processing and Communications Applications Conference (SIU), pp. 1–4. doi:10.1109/SIU.2018.8404560.
- Chan, K.H., Peiris, J.S., Lam, S.Y., Poon, L.L., Yuen, K.Y., Seto, W.H., 2011. The Effects of Temperature and Relative Humidity on the Viability of the SARS Coronavirus. Advances in virology doi:10.1155/2011/734690.
- Cheng, V.C.C., Lau, S.K.P., Woo, P.C.Y., Yuen, K.Y., 2007. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus as an Agent of Emerging and Reemerging Infection. Clinical Microbiology Reviews 20, 660–694. doi:10.1128/CMR.00023-07.
- CPTEC, 2020. Centro de Previsão de Tempo e Estudos Climáticos. https://www.cptec.inpe. br/. Accessed on April 29, 2021.
- da Silva, R.G., Ribeiro, M.H.D.M., Mariani, V.C., dos Santos Coelho, L., 2020. Forecasting Brazilian and American COVID-19 cases based on artificial intelligence coupled with climatic exogenous variables. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 139, 110027. doi:10.1016/j.chaos.2020.110027.
- Dicker, R., Coronado, F., Koo, D., Parrish, R.G., 2006. Principles of Epidemiology in Public Health Practice 3rd Edition. CDC, USA.
- Dong, J., Dai, W., Tang, L., Yu, L., 2019. Why do EMD-based methods improve prediction? A multiscale complexity perspective. Journal of Forecasting 38, 714–731. doi:10.1002/for. 2593.
- Dragomiretskiy, K., Zosso, D., 2014. Variational Mode Decomposition. IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing 62, 531–544. doi:10.1109/TSP.2013.2288675.

- Fang, Y., Nie, Y., Penny, M., 2020. Transmission dynamics of the COVID-19 outbreak and effectiveness of government interventions: A data-driven analysis. Journal of medical virology 92, 645—-659. doi:10.1002/jmv.25750.
- Ferrante, L., Steinmetz, W.A., Almeida, A.C.L., Leão, J., Vassão, R.C., Tupinambás, U., Fearnside, P.M., Duczmal, L.H., 2020. Brazil's policies condemn amazonia to a second wave of COVID-19. Nature Medicine 26, 1315–1315. doi:10.1038/s41591-020-1026-x.
- Fildes, R., Nikolopoulos, K., Crone, S.F., Syntetos, A.A., 2008. Forecasting and operational research: a review. Journal of the Operational Research Society 59, 1150–1172. doi:10.1057/ palgrave.jors.2602597.
- Golyandina, N., Nekrutkin, V., Zhigljavsky, A., 2001. Analysis of Time Series Structure: SSA and Related Techniques. Routledge.
- GOOGLE, 2020. COVID-19 Community Mobility Reports. https://www.google.com/ covid19/mobility/?hl=en. Accessed on January 13, 2021.
- Graps, A., 1995. An introduction to wavelets. IEEE Computational Science and Engineering 2, 50–61. doi:10.1109/99.388960.
- Gupta, S., Raghuwanshi, G.S., Chanda, A., 2020. Corrigendum to "Effect of weather on COVID-19 spread in the US: A prediction model for India in 2020" [Sci. Total Environ. 728 (2020) 1–8/138860]. Science of The Total Environment 748, 142577. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv. 2020.142577.
- He, W., Yi, G.Y., Zhu, Y., 2020. Estimation of the basic reproduction number, average incubation time, asymptomatic infection rate, and case fatality rate for COVID-19: Meta-analysis and sensitivity analysis. Journal of medical virology 92, 2543—2550. doi:10.1002/jmv.26041.
- Hernandez-Matamoros, A., Fujita, H., Hayashi, T., Perez-Meana, H., 2020. Forecasting of COVID-19 per regions using ARIMA models and polynomial functions. Applied Soft Computing 96, 106610. doi:10.1016/j.asoc.2020.106610.

- Hsieh, W.W., Aiming Wu, 2002. Nonlinear singular spectrum analysis, in: Proceedings of the 2002 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks. IJCNN'02, pp. 2819–2824. doi:10.1109/ IJCNN.2002.1007595.
- Huang, C., Wang, Y., Li, X., Ren, L., Zhao, J., Hu, Y., Zhang, L., Fan, G., Xu, J., Gu, X., Cheng, Z., Yu, T., Xia, J., Wei, Y., Wu, W., Xie, X., Yin, W., Li, H., Liu, M., Xiao, Y., Gao, H., Guo, L., Xie, J., Wang, G., Jiang, R., Gao, Z., Jin, Q., Wang, J., Cao, B., 2020. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. The Lancet 395, 497–506. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5.
- Huang, N.E., Shen, Z., Long, S.R., Wu, M.C., Shih, H.H., Zheng, Q., Yen, N.C., Tung, C.C., Liu, H.H., 1998. The empirical mode decomposition and the Hilbert spectrum for nonlinear and non-stationary time series analysis. Proc. R. Soc. of London A 454, 903—-995. doi:10.1098/rspa. 1998.0193.
- Huang, N.E., Wu, Z., 2008. A review on Hilbert-Huang transform: Method and its applications to geophysical studies. Reviews of Geophysics 46. doi:10.1029/2007RG000228.
- IBGE, 2020. IBGE Cidades 2020. https://cidades.ibge.gov.br/. Accessed on January 27, 2021.
- Islam, N., Bukhari, Q., Jameel, Y., Shabnam, S., Erzurumluoglu, A., Siddique, M.A., Massaro, J.M., D'Agostino, R.B., 2020. COVID-19 and climatic factors: A global analysis. Environmental Research, 110355doi:10.1016/j.envres.2020.110355.
- Ismael, A.M., Şengür, A., 2021. Deep learning approaches for COVID-19 detection based on chest X-ray images. Expert Systems with Applications 164, 114054. doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2020. 114054.
- Jolliffe, I., 2002. Principal Component Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York.
- Justen, A., et al., 2020. COVID-19. https://brasil.io/dataset/covid19/caso/.
- Kar, A.K., 2016. Bio inspired computing–a review of algorithms and scope of applications. Expert Systems with Applications 59, 20–32. doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2016.04.018.

- Katris, C., 2021. A time series-based statistical approach for outbreak spread forecasting: Application of covid-19 in greece. Expert Systems with Applications 166, 114077. doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2020.114077.
- Khaldi, R., El Afia, A., Chiheb, R., Faizi, R., 2018. Forecasting of bitcoin daily returns with eemd-elman based model, in: Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning and Optimization Algorithms: Theory and Applications, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA. pp. 1–6. doi:10.1145/3230905.3230948.
- Li, Y., Liang, M., Gao, L., Ayaz Ahmed, M., Uy, J.P., Cheng, C., Zhou, Q., Sun, C., 2020 in press. Face masks to prevent transmission of COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. American Journal of Infection Control doi:10.1016/j.ajic.2020.12.007.
- Ligon, B., 2006. Plague: a review of its history and potential as a biological weapon. Seminars in Pediatric Infectious Diseases 17, 161–170. doi:10.1053/j.spid.2006.07.002.
- Lin, G., Lin, A., Cao, J., 2021. Multidimensional KNN algorithm based on EEMD and complexity measures in financial time series forecasting. Expert Systems with Applications 168, 114443. doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2020.114443.
- Liu, G., Hu, X., Wang, E., Zhou, G., Cai, J., Zhang, S., 2019a. SVR-EEMD: An Improved EEMD Method Based on Support Vector Regression Extension in PPG Signal Denoising. Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine 2019. doi:10.1155/2019/5363712.
- Liu, H., Zhan, Q., Yang, C., Wang, J., 2019b. The multi-timescale temporal patterns and dynamics of land surface temperature using Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition. Science of The Total Environment 652, 243–255. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.10.252.
- Lowen, A.C., Steel, J., 2014. Roles of Humidity and Temperature in Shaping Influenza Seasonality. Journal of Virology 88, 7692–7695. doi:10.1128/JVI.03544-13.
- Makade, R.G., Chakrabarti, S., Jamil, B., 2020. Real-time estimation and prediction of the mortality caused due to COVID-19 using particle swarm optimization and finding the most influential parameter. Infectious Disease Modelling 5, 772–782. doi:10.1016/j.idm.2020.09.003.

- McClymont, H., Hu, W., 2021. Weather Variability and COVID-19 Transmission: A Review of Recent Research. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 18. doi:10.3390/ijerph18020396.
- Meo, S.A., Abukhalaf, A.A., Alomar, A.A., AlMutairi, F.J., Usmani, A.M., Klonoff, D.C., 2020. Impact of lockdown on COVID-19 prevalence and mortality during 2020 pandemic: observational analysis of 27 countries. European Journal of Medical Research 25. doi:10.1186/ s40001-020-00456-9.
- Mirjalili, S., 2016. SCA: A Sine Cosine Algorithm for solving optimization problems. Knowledge-Based Systems 96, 120–133. doi:10.1016/j.knosys.2015.12.022.
- Mousavi, M., Salgotra, R., Holloway, D., Gandomi, A.H., 2020. COVID-19 Time Series Forecast Using Transmission Rate and Meteorological Parameters as Features. IEEE Computational Intelligence Magazine 15, 34–50. doi:10.1109/MCI.2020.3019895.
- Nayak, J., Naik, B., Dinesh, P., Vakula, K., Rao, B.K., Ding, W., Pelusi, D., 2021. Intelligent system for COVID-19 prognosis: a state-of-the-art survey. Applied Intelligence doi:10.1007/s10489-020-02102-7.
- Neto, R.A.A., Melo, G.C., 2020. Correlation between weather, population size and COVID-19 pandemic: a study of Brazilian capitals. Journal of Health and Biological Sciences 8, 1–5. doi:10.12662/2317-3076jhbs.v8i1.3358.p1-5.2020.
- Niu, W., Feng, Z., Zeng, M., fei Feng, B., Min, Y., Cheng, C., Zhou, J., 2019. Forecasting reservoir monthly runoff via ensemble empirical mode decomposition and extreme learning machine optimized by an improved gravitational search algorithm. Applied Soft Computing 82, 105589. doi:10.1016/j.asoc.2019.105589.
- Ortega, A., Frossard, P., Kovacevic, J., Moura, J.M., Vandergheynst, P., 2018. Graph Signal Processing: Overview, Challenges, and Applications. Proceedings of the IEEE 106, 808–828. doi:10.1109/JPROC.2018.2820126.
- Oztig, L., Askin, O., 2020. Human mobility and coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a negative binomial regression analysis. Public Health 185, 364 367. doi:10.1016/j.puhe.2020.07. 002.

- Pani, S.K., Lin, N.H., RavindraBabu, S., 2020. Association of COVID-19 pandemic with meteorological parameters over Singapore. Science of The Total Environment 740, 140112. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140112.
- Paynter, S., 2015. Humidity and respiratory virus transmission in tropical and temperate settings. Epidemiology & Infection 143, 1110–8. doi:10.1017/S0950268814002702.
- Petropoulos, F., Makridakis, S., Stylianou, N., 2020. COVID-19: Forecasting confirmed cases and deaths with a simple time series model. International Journal of Forecasting doi:10.1016/j. ijforecast.2020.11.010.
- R Core Team, 2020. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria. URL: https://www.R-project.org/.
- Sandryhaila, A., Moura, J.M.F., 2013. Discrete signal processing on graphs: Graph fourier transform, in: 2013 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, pp. 6167–6170. doi:10.1109/ICASSP.2013.6638850.
- Santhosh, M., Venkaiah, C., Kumar, D.V., 2019. Short-term wind speed forecasting approach using Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition and Deep Boltzmann Machine. Sustainable Energy, Grids and Networks 19, 100242. doi:10.1016/j.segan.2019.100242.
- Shao, W., Xie, J., Zhu, Y., 2021. Mediation by human mobility of the association between temperature and COVID-19 transmission rate. Environmental Research 194, 110608. doi:10.1016/j. envres.2020.110608.
- Sharma, S., Gupta, Y.K., 2021. Predictive analysis and survey of COVID-19 using machine learning and big data. Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics 24, 175–195. doi:10.1080/ 09720502.2020.1833445.
- Vidal, P.L., Moura, J., Novo, J., Ortega, M., 2021. Multi-stage transfer learning for lung segmentation using portable X-ray devices for patients with COVID-19. Expert Systems with Applications , 114677doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2021.114677.
- Voysey, M., Clemens, S., Madhi, S., et al., 2021. Safety and efficacy of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (AZD1222) against SARS-CoV-2: an interim analysis of four randomised controlled trials

in Brazil, South Africa, and the UK. The Lancet 397, 99–111. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20) 32661-1.

- Wang, S., Liu, Y., Hu, T., 2020. Examining the Change of Human Mobility Adherent to Social Restriction Policies and Its Effect on COVID-19 Cases in Australia. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 17. doi:10.3390/ijerph17217930.
- WHO, 2021a. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic. https://www.who.int/ emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019. Accessed on April 29, 2021.
- WHO, 2021b. WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard. https://covid19.who. int/. Accessed on April 29, 2021.
- Wu, Y.X., Wu, Q.B., Zhu, J.Q., 2019. Improved EEMD-based crude oil price forecasting using LSTM networks. Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications 516, 114–124. doi:10. 1016/j.physa.2018.09.120.
- Yu, J., Ding, F., Guo, C., Wang, Y., 2019. System load trend prediction method based on IF-EMD-LSTM. International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks 15. doi:10.1177/ 1550147719867655.
- Zha, Y., Liu, G., Shang, X., Wang, F., Cai, J., Wei, X., 2018. Non-invasive assessment of cerebral hemodynamics with CWNIRS-ICG and application of EEMD-SSE in PPG signal extraction. Optik 156, 22–30. doi:10.1016/j.ijleo.2017.10.116.
- Zhong, N., Zheng, B., Li, Y., Poon, L., Xie, Z., Chan, K., Li, P., Tan, S., Chang, Q., Xie, J., Liu, X., Xu, J., Li, D., Yuen, K., Peiris, J., Guan, Y., 2003. Epidemiology and cause of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in Guangdong, People's Republic of China, in February, 2003. The Lancet 362, 1353 1358. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(03)14630-2.
- Zhu, Y., Xie, J., Huang, F., Cao, L., 2020. The mediating effect of air quality on the association between human mobility and COVID-19 infection in China. Environmental Research 189, 109911. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2020.109911.

Appendix A. List of symbols

This appendix contains a list of symbols used throughout the paper and their descriptions.

Symbol	Description
X_t, W_t	time series
т	number of ensembles in EEMD
S	number of IMFs to be extracted from X_t or W_t
k	variable that specifies an ensemble in a given iteration of EEMD
n	number of meteorological and human mobility variables
Y_1,\ldots,Y_n	meteorological and human mobility variables
Z_t	time series obtained from W_t
$\sigma_{\it original}$	standard deviation of X_t
σ_{noise}	standard deviation of Z_t
μ	a relatively small number which relates σ_{noise} and $\sigma_{original}$
e_{max}^k	upper envelope of X_t
e_{min}^k	lower envelope of X_t
m_t^k	time series which correspond to average between e_{max}^k and e_{min}^k
d_t^k	time series obtained by the operation $d_t^k = Z_t - m_t^k$
IMF_j^k	<i>j</i> -th IMF of ensemble <i>k</i>
$\mathrm{IMF}_{X_t}^j$	<i>j</i> -th IMF obtained from the time series X_t
$\mathrm{IMF}_{Y_t}^j$	<i>j</i> -th IMF obtained from the time series Y_t
RES_{Y_j}	residual values found by applying EEMD to Y_j
$\mathrm{I}\hat{\mathrm{M}}\mathrm{F}^{j}$	<i>j</i> -th IMF of the estimated time series
Res	estimated residual values
\hat{X}_t	time series $\hat{X}_t = I\hat{M}F^1 + \ldots + I\hat{M}F^s + \hat{Res}$
ρ	Spearman correlation coefficient

Table A.6: Part 1 of the list of symbols and notations used in this paper.

Symbol	Description
р	number of autoregressive terms in ARIMAX
d	number of nonseasonal differences needed for stationarity in ARIMAX
q	number of lagged forecast errors in ARIMAX
η	constant of the ARIMAX
ϕ_i	<i>i</i> -th element of parameter ϕ in ARIMAX, for $i = 1,, p$
$ heta_j$	<i>j</i> -th element of parameter θ in ARIMAX, for $j = 1, \dots, q$
ζ_l	<i>l</i> -th element of parameter ζ in ARIMAX, for $l = 1,, n$
e_{t-j}	error terms of the ARIMAX, for $j = 1,, q$
пс	number of days in the dataset
c_i^t	observed number of COVID-19 cases on day t in city i
\hat{c}_i^t	predicted number of COVID-19 cases on day t in city i
e_i^t	error in the prediction of the number of COVID-19 cases on day t in city i
S_i^t	absolute value of the difference between 1 and $\frac{c_i^t}{c_i^{(t-1)}}$
S^t	set $S^t = \{s_i^t, \forall i\}$
\hat{S}^{t}	spectrum of S^{t}
λ_t	eigenvalues of graph Laplacian
R^t	time series obtained by applying the inverse Fourier transform in \hat{R}^t
r_i^t	<i>i</i> -th element of vector R^t

Table A.7: Part 2 of the list of symbols and notations used in this paper.

Appendix B. Descriptive statistics of the data

This section presents a brief discussion about the data employed in the prediction analysis.

Tables B.8 and B.9 summarize, in terms of average values (Mean) and standard deviations (SD), the sample data over the considered period. The results were divided according to the five regions of Brazil: North, South, Midwest, Northeast and Southeast.

Considering each region, the cities with the highest average daily number of COVID-19 cases are Manaus (North region), Salvador (Northeast region), Brasília (Midwest region), São Paulo (Southeast region) and Curitiba (South region). These are the largest cities in each region, except Curitiba (IBGE, 2020).

Regarding meteorological variables, the North region had the highest average rainfall, while the Midwest region had the lowest average rainfall. The South region had the lowest averages in terms of maximum and minimum temperatures. The Midwest region had the least average values of humidity.

The behavior of the Brazilian population changed after the first confirmed COVID-19 cases. This can be attested by the human mobility data. Figure **B**.9 displays the human mobility variables in São Paulo.

The red vertical line points to the day when the first case was found in the city. A few days after the first case was confirmed, the population started to change mobility patterns. For example, grocery consumers were visiting stores less often; the number of park visits by people has reduced; transit stations became less busy; and so on. But we can see that, on average, 50 days after the sudden change in human mobility patterns, the population slowly started to return to their old mobility trends. As a consequence, the number of COVID-19 cases increased, as we can see in Figure B.10.

Table B.9 shows the mobility data for the 27 capitals of Brazil. One can notice an abrupt change in the mobility behaviour within the 50 days after the first COVID-19 case was reported in São Paulo. This information is clear by the average values of mobility trends for retail and recreational, parks, transit stations and work. Again, they are negative in relation to the baseline, and the average values of the residential data are positive.

The mobility data for parks and transit stations related to the cities Rio Branco, Macapá, Palmas, Porto Velho, and Boa Vista were incomplete. These data comprised a limited sequence of days in the middle of the corresponding series. To overcome this limitation, we generated the missing data



Figure B.9: Human mobility numbers in São Paulo during 297 days starting at February 15, 2020, and ending on December 2, 2020. The first recorded COVID-19 case in São Paulo was on February 25, 2020.



Figure B.10: The number of COVID-19 cases in São Paulo in 261 days starting at February 25, 2020.

using the ARIMA method, with the training data being the data until the last day before the missing data sequence. The missing data are deliberate because it was not possible to guarantee anonymity, not meeting the minimum standards of quality and privacy (GOOGLE, 2020).

Appendix C. Spearman correlation coefficient and metrics

We used the Spearman correlation coefficient to find the strength of the pairwise relationship between the data variables. Spearman is a well-known nonparametric measure to assess the rank correlation between a pair of variables by a monotonic function. COVID-19 number of cases per day is the dependent variable, whereas the meteorological and mobility information is modeled as independent variables. The generalized expression for the Spearman rank correlation is given by Equation (C.1).

$$\rho = 1 - \frac{6}{n_s^3 - n_s} \sum_{i=1}^{n_s} D_i^2, \qquad (C.1)$$

where ρ is the rank correlation, D_i is the pairwise difference between the ranks of the samples, and n_s is the number of samples. Our interpretation to the Spearman correlation coefficient absolute value considers 0.0 to 0.3 a negligible correlation between the variables; 0.3 to 0.5 is a low correlation; 0.5 to 0.7 is a moderate correlation; 0.7 to 0.9 is considered a high correlation; and 0.9

Table B.8: Average Daily meteorological outcomes and number of COVID-19 cases in the 27 capitals of Brazil in 2020.

Design	City Federative unit	Einst soos	Daily	cases	Rain	(mm)	Max Ter	np (°C)	Min Ter	np (°C)	Hun	n (%)
Region	City-redefative unit	First case	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
	Belém-PA	Mar. 18	197.115	163.009	6.492	8.713	32.591	1.220	24.332	0.462	59.919	10.053
	Boa Vista-RR	Mar. 21	193.299	262.747	5.078	7.657	33.063	1.961	24.375	0.880	53.000	9.937
	Macapá-AP	Mar. 20	89.681	203.286	6.281	7.543	31.980	1.677	24.012	0.604	59.450	11.207
North	Manaus-AM	Mar. 13	275.665	247.215	6.410	7.896	32.311	1.950	23.721	0.784	59.995	11.206
	Palmas-TO	Mar. 03	77.244	97.651	2.119	5.899	33.996	2.528	22.209	1.953	39.237	17.909
	Porto Velho-RO	Mar. 21	147.697	208.449	3.749	6.423	32.428	2.376	22.135	1.715	55.524	13.013
	Rio Branco-AC	Mar. 17	53.766	62.227	2.380	4.276	31.317	2.943	20.317	2.171	55.371	13.951
	Aracaju-SE	Mar. 14	164.038	214.724	1.967	3.317	29.294	1.824	22.250	1.475	28.462	19.018
	Fortaleza-CE	Mar. 16	244.119	290.521	3.311	7.183	31.981	1.201	23.357	0.937	58.372	15.969
	João Pessoa-PB	Mar. 18	144.842	140.762	2.779	5.967	28.802	1.693	21.486	1.363	63.376	10.272
	Maceió-AL	Mar. 08	122.709	136.231	5.456	9.884	29.239	2.082	21.443	1.566	62.794	9.238
Northeast	Natal-RN	Mar. 03	111.988	233.551	4.619	9.039	30.218	1.286	23.232	1.176	61.497	9.626
	Recife-PE	Mar. 12	156.083	173.076	2.260	4.368	29.335	1.958	20.974	1.456	61.335	10.460
	Salvador-BA	Mar. 03	389.050	428.000	5.575	8.374	28.175	1.906	21.435	1.533	66.525	9.684
	São Luis-MA	Mar. 03	104.190	76.485	7.966	9.269	33.299	1.720	23.829	0.791	60.032	15.095
	Teresina-PI	Mar. 19	171.391	138.570	2.627	7.227	34.424	2.411	22.657	1.625	42.158	18.229
	Brasília-DF	Mar. 07	779.633	657.652	2.375	5.481	27.984	2.863	16.345	2.612	39.216	16.106
Maharat	Campo Grande-MS	Mar. 14	160.004	187.732	1.750	5.499	30.653	4.819	17.163	3.834	35.860	12.122
Midwest	Cuiabá-MT	Mar. 20	60.142	109.988	1.308	3.943	34.861	4.163	20.596	3.417	36.021	18.261
	Goiânia-GO	Mar. 12	283.125	365.502	1.329	3.574	31.603	3.214	17.988	2.861	35.294	15.746
	Belo Horizonte-MG	Mar. 16	210.390	332.629	1.351	3.995	25.840	3.350	12.242	3.817	45.616	13.695
Contherest	Rio de Janeiro-RJ	Mar. 06	503.545	516.836	1.854	5.997	27.892	3.521	18.761	2.485	55.643	13.905
Southeast	São Paulo-SP	Feb. 25	1271.117	1278.987	2.964	7.599	25.624	3.750	15.645	2.769	57.710	13.350
	Vitória-ES	Mar. 19	92.176	76.349	2.360	6.893	26.274	2.906	17.717	2.126	44.898	8.728
	Curitiba-PR	Mar. 12	171.408	209.541	2.245	5.928	23.207	4.778	11.358	3.694	54.833	17.165
Gundh	Florianópolis-SC	Mar. 12	101.121	283.230	2.537	6.708	22.200	3.791	14.001	3.442	59.544	14.037
South	Porto Alegre-RS	Mar. 11	182.946	403.069	4.030	10.551	23.528	5.564	12.644	4.368	53.136	14.700

Region	City Endonative unit	R	R	G	GP		PA		TS		WO		RE	
Region	City-redefative unit	Mean	SD	Mean	SD									
	Belém-PA	-35.312	25.832	4.949	21.322	-13.462	41.875	-32.188	24.972	-21.145	19.478	12.034	5.534	
	Boa Vista-RR	-31.186	21.595	8.182	16.354	-36.738	24.833	-43.054	21.553	-11.242	16.423	11.390	3.688	
North	Macapá-AP	-42.397	24.631	8.931	24.195	-27.592	31.360	-47.847	23.750	-24.284	22.120	11.987	7.568	
	Manaus-AM	-23.004	25.252	16.410	20.614	-24.385	27.292	-9.732	24.354	-11.941	20.574	9.251	5.030	
	Palmas-TO	-35.517	17.736	-2.090	14.930	-41.091	25.342	-45.692	15.887	-17.876	17.140	12.697	4.885	
	Porto Velho-RO	-29.745	20.042	6.087	15.260	-29.370	22.445	-46.111	19.859	-14.788	15.953	12.303	3.601	
	Rio Branco-AC	-35.617	21.537	6.472	15.572	-33.934	14.490	-28.929	63.994	-14.957	16.856	11.804	3.668	
	Aracaju-SE	-46.437	18.266	-6.336	17.536	-56.685	20.277	-54.538	19.647	-30.080	17.081	15.000	4.343	
	Fortaleza-CE	-45.432	24.277	-4.360	17.288	-62.331	19.439	-36.432	23.416	-29.174	20.000	14.182	6.150	
	João Pessoa-PB	-51.675	22.633	-5.308	17.577	-57.333	24.585	-62.936	27.449	-26.303	18.695	15.192	5.459	
Northeast	Maceió-AL	-42.037	21.166	-7.828	16.143	-38.832	21.254	-31.180	18.528	-23.820	17.033	12.307	5.150	
	Natal-RN	-48.429	20.224	-9.037	17.077	-50.954	20.587	-27.471	24.412	-25.692	16.102	14.550	4.710	
	Recife-PE	-48.929	21.464	-3.467	21.369	-44.417	19.026	-31.562	24.025	-28.167	19.216	14.371	5.480	
	Salvador-BA	-54.418	16.492	-5.414	19.258	-59.180	14.703	-41.184	17.735	-30.661	16.285	17.607	5.021	
	São Luis-MA	-31.474	27.618	11.358	23.003	-21.694	29.205	-40.272	21.138	-20.672	20.521	12.263	5.741	
	Teresina-PI	-54.567	19.814	-12.811	27.881	-38.712	17.869	-62.210	15.384	-30.399	17.221	18.502	5.268	
	Brasília-DF	-36.727	17.673	7.796	13.966	-30.976	23.291	-26.543	16.725	-22.788	18.711	14.759	5.558	
Midmost	Campo Grande-MS	-27.508	16.548	4.185	13.095	-29.798	17.011	-32.571	14.316	-10.849	15.021	10.853	3.451	
windwest	Cuiabá-MT	-41.134	18.657	-0.522	12.057	-53.534	12.493	-29.556	17.200	-19.806	16.210	13.207	4.201	
	Goiânia-GO	-39.908	17.393	-0.362	13.111	-26.267	17.847	-26.750	14.500	-20.613	16.128	13.562	5.294	
	Belo Horizonte-MG	-47.415	14.166	4.148	14.837	-44.076	14.669	-29.508	14.944	-25.538	16.407	14.521	4.824	
Contheost	Rio de Janeiro-RJ	-45.077	19.047	-4.496	14.365	-48.171	23.398	-40.508	18.095	-27.805	18.166	13.780	6.015	
Soumeast	São Paulo-SP	-44.402	19.667	-0.363	13.463	-33.719	19.750	-37.387	19.178	-27.207	20.239	14.891	7.061	
	Vitória-ES	-52.575	14.905	-5.888	17.864	-53.996	14.497	-52.712	15.100	-28.112	15.954	15.914	4.505	
	Curitiba-PR	-41.554	16.657	-5.158	17.210	-28.533	16.907	-34.696	15.779	-24.579	16.085	14.421	5.087	
Couth	Florianópolis-SC	-57.192	13.327	-31.829	13.217	-73.671	11.086	-71.725	16.036	-33.600	15.449	17.012	5.506	
South	Porto Alegre-RS	-49.398	15.710	-5.241	13.805	-38.000	18.273	-41.813	14.907	-29.606	16.680	17.739	5.349	

Table B.9: Average Daily Mobility outcomes in the 27 capitals of Brazil in 2020.

to 1 indicates a very high correlation. If ρ is positive, then the variables are directly proportional, otherwise, they are inversely proportional.

To evaluate the performance of fitting models we used widely employed measures known as Mean Error (ME), Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), and Mean Absolute Error (MAE). Their respective formulas are given by Equations (C.2), (C.3), and (C.4):

$$ME = \frac{1}{n_s} \sum_{i=1}^{n_s} (predict_i - observed_i), \qquad (C.2)$$

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_s} \sum_{i=1}^{n_s} (predict_i - observed_i)^2},$$
 (C.3)

$$MAE = \frac{1}{n_s} \sum_{i=1}^{n_s} |predict_i - observed_i|, \qquad (C.4)$$

where *predict_i* are the predicted values for the model, and *observed_i* are the original values of the time series, for all $i = 1, ..., n_s$.

Appendix D. Prediction analysis

This section shows an illustrative analysis of the results achieved by EEMD-ARIMAX on the data without the normalization by the anomaly detection strategy.

Figures D.11 to D.17 present the original daily number of COVID-19 cases and the daily predicted number of COVID-19 cases by the EEMD-ARIMAX method in each of the Brazilian capitals. The x-axis corresponds to the days of the analyzed period and the y-axis refers to the number of daily COVID-19 cases.

On the one hand, Porto Alegre-RS, São Paulo-SP and Rio de Janeiro-RJ were the cities with the worst prediction results by the EEMD-ARIMAX method, reaching RMSE values of 282.510, 775.817, and 318.717, respectively. On the other, Cuiabá-MT, Palmas-TO, Rio Branco-AC, and São Luis-MA were the cities that had the best prediction results by the EEMD-ARIMAX method, reaching RMSEs of 35.695, 53.240, 30.006, and 32.342, respectively.



Figure D.11: Predicted (red) and observed (black) models to the North region of Brazil.



Figure D.12: Predicted (red) and observed (black) models to the North region of Brazil (continuation).



Figure D.13: Predicted (red) and observed (black) models to the Northeast region of Brazil.



Figure D.14: Predicted (red) and observed (black) models to the Northeast region of Brazil (continuation).



Figure D.15: Predicted (red) and observed (black) models to the Midwest region of Brazil.



Figure D.16: Predicted (red) and observed (black) models to the Southeast region of Brazil.



(c) Porto Alegre-RS

Figure D.17: Predicted (red) and observed (black) models to the South region of Brazil.